

RECEIVED

MAR 10 2020

Clerk,

SC Court of Appeals

I need AN order for the clerk of Court Newberry SC. To Release all Body CAM Footage used IN trial Court CASE No. 2019 GS 3600/51, 2019 GS 3600/62 TO Be used IN Appellate CASE No. 2019-000760. TO Support the FACT that C.J. The SON is never Interviewed or questioned AND to Support the FACT that there is no Police witness OR Statement sheets. Anybody could of written them ~~AND~~ ~~there~~ (No Corroborating witness to obtain warrants or to prosecute) AND to Dispute the FACT that I'm not yelling screaming AND cussing violently (cheif lies) when I'm ~~asked~~ Talking to my EX common law wife. [See 18] IN MEMORANDUM
p. 11

This is my Memorandum to the court
Regarding my Appeal. I would like for you
to keep in mind I AM completely innocent
of these charges Brought Against me. I never
entered this Ladies House, I Remained on the front
porch the entire time, That Being said I will
do my Best to Bring up issues that I Feel
I was wronged At in these procedures Against
me. I was RailRoaded From the Beginning starting
From the Complaintant Lying on me. Her AND her
sons stories change From Body CAM her AND
Her sons testimony ^{AND the statement sheets} Are not ~~the~~ the same
AND every side of the story varies Except
mine. Including the Chief of police AND
The Solicitor Lying on me in Court All
The way to Indicting me not according to
the law (R. 231 1-5) Bear with me here. I'm
not A lawyer nor Have I Been to the
Law library, I'm Innocent, I did not
Assault this Lady nor Did I enter her
House. ~~Because of the evidence~~ All the
Testimony From Both sides support the
Fact that no Assault Happened. The Judge
Also says ~~this~~ that this is not an
Assault (R. 222 10-17) Then Read (R. 222 15-17)

The Judge ALSO SAYS How can they find Him guilty That Based on the Facts there was no offer made either much less an Assault. The Solicitor Himself agrees (R222 13) The Solicitor SAYS Himself that He doesn't see an Assault + ~~Battery~~ Battery AND I Quote. "I don't see an Assault + Battery He certainly was up to no good there. But He still tries this case ~~knowing I'm innocent~~. He offered a 0-3 drop Burglary 1st AND drop Assault 1st to Assault 2nd. I told them I'm not guilty of Assault. I don't Assault her. I warned Her to leave me alone.

Using the Assault to justify this Burglary charge, AND they dare did something BAD wrong cause thats All they talk about. I know somethings wrong I'm not guilty, But I will try AND do this to the Best of my ABILITY to explain the wrongs Against me, ~~Thanks~~. Thanks



~~to the judge and the jury and the public and the media and the world and the universe and the whole lot of them~~

1

I WAS ISSUED A trespass Notice AND Released. Chief says IN (Body cam) That this is ~~not~~ A simple ASSAULT or Disorderly Conduct But Decides its neither of these things when He does not Arrest me ^(R.103 14-21) AND ISSUES ME A trespass notice. ^{you're trespassing} At ^{not go back} This point Everybody Involved Decides its not AN ASSAULT, Disorderly Conduct, Burglary, Robbery, Kidnapping or Theft. The Complaint (R.54 1-6) The Chief of police (R.103 14-21) AND Me. All Sign the trespass. I WAS Released AFTER I WAS Served (R.103 17-18) the trespass notice. you HAVE BEEN ReproMANNED. ~~serve trespass~~ you were trespassing Do not go BACK. ~~now read~~ (R.226 8-9) So under state law you CANNOT charge Burglary after deciding its A trespass Because its not An element of Burglary. now read (R.226 16-17) ALSO the Supreme Court HAS ALSO found that trespass CANNOT Be ANY crime to support Burglary. when you Served trespass (R.103 17-18) AND Released me. ~~now~~ you MADE the decision that its A trespass. when you serve something it Becomes official. So under state AND Federal law After ReproMANNING trespass you CANNOT come BACK later AND charge Burglary. This could ALSO open up Double- Jeopardy I ~~can~~ serve trespass. Their name R.226

LATER AND SERVE WARRANTS FOR BURGLARY
FOR THE SAME INCIDENT. ~~RE~~

[2]

* JUROR REMOVED * VOIR DIRE QUESTION *

(R 172 23-25 thru R 174 1-22)

except for losing arguments + She was allowed to sit and watch the entire trial. Both the solicitor ~~prosecutor~~ (R 173 5-9) AND my Public Defender (R 174 14-15) knew this when they seated her. She could of been trying to gain favor with the solicitor by swaying this jury for the sake of her son. AND the solicitor knows this when he seats her. Because ~~it~~^{IT} says she is R 173 7-9) actively involved in her sons case AND ~~notably~~ notably her son was on the trial docket for this week. *So they knew.*
when they seated her. This is loading up the jury. At this point the jury is tainted. Her influence alone could have swayed this jury for the solicitor ^{AND against me} for her sons sake. The Judge knows this, The solicitor knows this AND my Public Defender knows this But this Judge still allows this tainted jury to decide my fate. This is wrong AND unethical on all parties involved.

[3] Introduction of Evidence that's not mine (R 94 15-19)

I WAS Released with the Allan wrench I HAD IN my pocket. I Did not Have it with me when I WAS ARRESTED (David Lawsons CAR CAM VIDEO) ^{proves this} He was the Arresting officer (which I never got to question) proves for a fact I Did not Have This Allan wrench on me when I WAS ARRESTED AND yet The

[4] *Solicitor Lies* IN opening Statements SAYING (R 29 7-8) He's saying that I still HAD this Allan wrench on me planting A SEED that's not true But He goes on AND (R 29 16-17) AND pulls out this Blue Handled Allan wrench That wasn't taken From me AND starts Flashing IT Around like A weapon when clearly it is A tool. This is not Evidence its A prop. How can they use something that's not taken From me AS A prop when they Have A picture of the one I HAD on A still Shot (R 29 18-19). He SAYS that when they Find me in the Area Later that night AND take me Into custody. AND I still HAD this 1-Handle Allan wrench on me That is A Lie LAWSONS CAR CAM VIDEO

(I did not get to question Lawson to Dispute this nor did I get to see his car cam or body cam video. JAIL Log also proves All I had on me was a lighter, AND Cigarettes, NO ALIEN wrench

P. 6

Proves this. I did not have it on me. Then He goes on AND SAYS I WAS Released after I WAS TAKEN INTO custody Later that night. This is Another Lie. I WAS ARRESTED AND Taken to INTO custody Later that Evening (R 99 24-25 then (R100 1-13) I WAS NOT Released after I WAS taken INTO custody I WAS taken to the Emergency Room then to the Detention center. (R10 11-12) Where I WAS Held without Bond AND NO opportunity for Bond for 5 months. I never WAS taken IN Front of a circuit court Judge for A opportunity for A Bond.

See Enclosed #
Bond Sheet
(See Bond Sheet AND READ Front AND BACK)

Zero time to prepare

(R 25 5-6 months)
They say 4 months

No Motion of Discovery

I WAS ARRESTED Dec 7th 2018 (See Bond sheet) 2 1/2 months Later FEB 22nd 2019 I WAS INDICTED (See Indictments) 2 months after I WAS INDICTED April 22nd (See transcripts) I WAS offered A 0-3 years Drop Burglary 1st. Drop Assault 1st to Assault 2nd I said I WAS not guilty AND 10 minutes later I WAS pulling A Jury for something that carries A Life sentence. I've never

Seen A Motion of Discovery AND still Haven't seen one. I've written the Clerk of Court Newberry County numerous Times Filing Requests to the Solicitor AND my Public Defender trying to at least

See Enclosed Time Date & stamped letters to clerk

(Motion Discovery)

~~100~~

P:7

get the Statement Sheets they Filled out Against me. The clerk of court sent my Indictments And Sentencing Sheets. And Bond papers. which say non-Bailable (see enclosed bond paper) But never A Response From the Solicitor or my Public Defender Regarding Motion Discovery, Never Have seen A Statement Sheet Against me which was Detrimental to my trial And my Appeal Since the complainants stories change From Body Cam, Statement Sheets And court testimony.

[5]

corroboration of witness to obtain warrants

The son is never questioned nor is He interviewed by Chief Sinclair (R 78 6-8) then (R 110 2-19) The only time The son is even talked to is when He picks these unwitnessed statements up From the son, He is never questioned nor interviewed All this is in Body Cam video. In Body Cam The Chief goes Back to the complainants House And HANDS ^(SAYERANCE) Sheriff Statement Sheets to Be Filled out And Leaves And Comes Back later And picks them up

(R 110 2-19) From the son, This is the only time He is seen. you don't even know who writes these statements against me. The chief just takes ~~them~~ the mom's word. So how can he say these warrants are brought about on corroboration of a witness when the witness is never interviewed and you do not know who wrote ~~them~~ these statements. All in body cam video. Also the chief drops these statements off and leaves with no instructions about filling them out especially witnessing by the mom for minor reasons, in body cam video but (R 120 25 thru 121 2) so no one tells her how to do this in body cam ^(proves this) So she is on the phone with someone telling her how to fill this papers out or she would of never know to witness for minor reasons, off of body cam video. ^{Someone} Telling them how to corroborate these statements against me. Son allowed to watch mom testify to further corroboration against me (R 35 20-21) At this point The Judge knows the corroborating witness is

Only Reason I can think of her witnessing the sons statement sheet would be for someone warned about him being a minor since he is 18 yrs old

6

watching the other testify. His testimony is Already prejudicial Due to the Fact that He would Do And say Anything the Mom wants Him to say. They are Already Lying on me And A Big part of my Defence is to catch them in these lies But the son is Allowed to watch her testify And ~~he is allowed to~~ his testimony is Based on "like she said"

(R 67 15-17) Then Read (R 71 7-9) Then Read (R 70 3-4) Then Read ~~(R 70 3-4)~~ R (77 16-18) The son is never questioned nor interviewed, you don't know if He even Filled out the Statement Sheets (Body CAM video proves this, Chief Drops Statements sheet off And leaves) Then He is Allowed to sit And watch His mom testify to further corroborate the lies Against me saying what she SAYS verbatim Almost. At that point my Defence was zero to catch them in the lies Against me And the Judge knows this the entire time. He knows I was Indicted on Corroboration of witness And Allows this to happen ~~for~~ (R 35 20-21)

IM
Represented
IN court
for looking
back

Like she said
R 67 16-17
like she had said
R 70 3-5
ke she had said
R 71 6-9
that she talking about
'77 16-18)

7

Evidence withheld

[7] Evidence Withheld

(R 96 12-16)

First I was never given A Motion of Discovery. I've never seen the unwitnessed statement sheets against me AND only seen Body CAM video IN court on the day of my trial. (R 96 12-16) I was detained AND questioned by A County Police while the chief goes AND questions SAVARANACE. ~~and on the same day SAVARANACE was interviewed by the police~~

(AND SAVARANACE'S MOM who WASN'T even there At the time of Incident (Body CAM video))

Evidence Withheld) → This Body CAM video of me being detained AND questioned is withheld. The Jury was Allowed to see SAVARANACE being questioned But they were not Allowed to see Body CAM (Sgt Claytor's) video of me being detained + questioned. It shows ~~exactly~~ my Demeanor

AND corroborates my side of the story Since it's Her word Against mine. (Son is

never interviewed nor do you know who wrote the statement (p. 110 11-14))

This video Shows my Demeanor. This is Extremely Important to my Defence.

First it corroborates my side.

Sgt Danny Claytor

Evidence Withheld)

See 5 and 6

no corroborations witness

It would Allow the Jury to see that
 I'm not lying And that she is. Also
 It shows my Demeanor And Corroborates
 my side As to my Attitude especially when
 the chief of police lies on the witness
 stand (R101 15-25 thru 102 1-5) (*R102 5*)
 Chief says ^{yelling AND} I'm cussing AND screaming violently
 But when He see me He stops. This is A lie
 And shows A Demeanor that's not there CAR CAM
 video (~~R102 5~~) (R102 5) proves this Because
 it sees the same thing He does And video does
 not lie. (I'm calm As can be) And the Fact
 that you Release me on trespass proves this is
 A Lie. you DO NOT Release violently, cussing
And screaming people with A weapon go on
trespass notice Back Into The community you
took An oath on to protect. The witholding
 of this Body CAM video of my Being Detained And
 questioned By Sgt Claytor (R 96 12-16)
 Shows my Demeanor And my side of the
 story, not the one portrayed By this lie.
 This lie alone condemns me coming from
 the chief of Police of Whitmire And A
 Jury partly from Whitmire, ^{CAR} Body CAM
 CAM does not lie ~~the car cam does not lie~~
~~the car cam does not lie the car cam does not lie~~

18

Chief Lies

No Evidence to Bring About WARRANTS +
 INDICTMENTS. wrongfully Indicted + wrongfully Tried
 (R 110 2-19) you can tell right here that
 the Chief did not do his Job correctly.
 He did not Intervein the son to
 Corroborate SAVERANCES side of the story.

BodyCAM Video proves that the son is
 never questioned. (R 110 12-13) This is the
 only time the son is seen (corroborating witness)
 you cant even prove he was there. This was
 Hour or so AFTER the Incident. He is picking
 up these statement sheets Back up that
 He drops off And leaves And comes Back
 Later And picks them up ^{From the son (R. 131 3-4)} later. You dont
 even know who writes them. The sons

is witnessed by his mom. (R 120 25 thru 131 1-2)
 she could of wrote Both of these Statuents
 IF she didnt write them Both she defirantly

See } told Him what to write Because she witnessed
 Side Note } them. Further Corroborating the lies against
 me. The Chief Just takes her word For
 It. ~~There is no investigation~~

~~There is no investigation~~
~~There is no investigation~~
~~There is no investigation~~
 He Does Zero Investigation.
 Does not Interrein son. Does not
 witness the statements against me

He does not even know who writes
 them. Then He takes these unwitnessed
 statements AND no interview of son ~~AND~~
~~AND zero type of investigation~~ AND zero type of investigation. Just
 Her word alone to A Magistrate to
 Bring About warrants on Corroboration of
 witness. All this is supported by Body CAM
 video AND court testimony. In Body CAM
 she says that I knock, she answers
 AND we have A conversation About her + her
 son Having the Flu. This is true ~~AND~~
~~AND~~ In Body she says she shuts the
 door AND I open it Back AND step
 INSIDE. This is A lie AND this chief
 Just takes her word for it, why dont
 He fingerprint the door, why doesnt He
 question the son, why dont He witness
 these statements. He even gets on the
 witness stand AND lies. He say that I'm
 cussing AND screaming violently. You dont
 let cussing AND screaming ^{violently} people go on
 violently ~~let~~ cussing AND screaming people with A
 weapon go on trespass notice. He says
 I'm ~~in~~ (R101 21-23 (R101 19) He ~~cussing~~ ^{screaming}
 yelling AND ~~cussing~~ AND cussing at her.

Spanning
 from A person
 Vennetta

yelling

violently

ASI turn the

p. 14

Then Read (R 101 21-23) on the car

video He very violently. This is A
Lie, CAR CAM video proves this

I AM Leaned in the car talking to
my ex. AND IM not no where

Near yelling, screaming AND cussing
violently. IF IM doing that AND

you Are looking for ME AN Alleged
ASSAULT & Burglary CALL

~~me~~ with A weapon, you DRAW Down
on me, your lying CAR CAM proves

This. you make me lay on my face
until Backup gets there. ~~you definitely~~
~~take the weapon from me~~

~~For your protection.~~ you
definitely take the so called weapon FROM ME
you do not Release yelling cussing screaming
violently people ~~go with~~

A weapon go on A trespass notice
Back into the community you protect

(R 110 2-19) you can tell Right here that
He just takes this ladies word for

this. you dont got NO Evidence, you dont
even HAVE A witness, How can he

take this to A magistrate AND obtain
A warrant on corroboration of A

witness. When you can't even
prove He was there. He drops
the statement sheets off in body
can and tells her for her and
her son to kill these out. ~~and~~
~~and~~ and leaves. Never see the
son until later when he comes back
to pick them up. At this point you
can't even corroborate the questioning of
and the statement of your corroborating
witness. Body can prove this and you
on the stand blatantly lying to a
loaded jury ~~and~~ [see 2] I mean
flat out lying ~~and~~ (car
can and trespass notice prove this) ~~and~~
and the solicitor lies too. In opening
statements [see 4] This indie is
already lying on me. you can read
her court testimony and tell she is
lying, and then they let the witness
watch her testify and he says the
same thing she says except he lying
like crazy and so is she I never
entered her house. At one point the son
says she had left the room (A 73 15)
~~and~~

BECAUSE
you didn't
question him
(because you
did not
witness
these state-
ments)

like she said
67 16-17
ke she had said
70 3-5
ke she had said
71 6-8
what she said
about
(A 77 16-18)

How AM I ASSAULTING her when she
 isn't even in the Room. There Stories
 Change from Body Cam, Statement sheets
 And Court testimony. Body cam ~~4~~ ~~2~~
~~Statement sheets~~ (I think I never SAW
 these videos except for the day of my trial.
 I AM still trying to obtain these for
 Appeal purposes) But I Body Cam
 SAVERANCE SAYS I "Step Inside" her
 House. Nothing of all this Bull crap
 that is testified too. Now IN court
 I ~~came~~ CAME IN there A second
 time. ~~SAVERANCE~~ ~~THIS LIES~~
~~SAVERANCE~~ They Are lying on me AND
 I need some kind of Investigator
 to Help. IM truly INNOCENT, Lie Detectors.
~~you~~ you CAN tell they Are lying
 IN Court testimony AND this ~~police~~
 police Just takes Her word for it.
 Stemming from A personal vendetta
 of Her talking CRAP ABOUT me. I went
 there to tell Her to Stop. This is not
 A Burglary ^{1st} nor AND ASSAULT ^{1st}. Then
 This prosecutor, He watches the SAME
 Body cam I do. He offers me A 0-3
 Drop Burglary ^{1st} AND ASSAULT ~~AND~~ ^{1st} to

Investigator of some type. I've written
 SLEP over 8 times with no Response.
 I need lie detectors or some kind
 of Analyst to look at these transcripts
 to tell they are lying. ~~over and over~~

~~over and over~~ when they offer
 0-3 Prop Burglary ^{1st} ASSAULT ^{1st} plea to
 ASSAULT ^{2nd} they should of tried ASSAULT ^{2nd}

Then they seat A Juror ~~with~~ whos son
 HAS A case in your office. whos son
 is on the trial Docket for this WEEK it
 SAYS. [see 12] Then Hes sayin' stuff
 like (R-51 23) "BLADE" its A tool, I

Dont know all what goes on IN these
 Legal terms they are talking ABOUT but
~~something says~~ ~~over and over~~ ~~over and over~~ ~~over and over~~
~~over and over~~

They INdicted me wrong AND tried
 me wrong (R 231 (1-2) To A loaded

Jury. with no physical Evidence, AND
 without A Corroborating witness, no questioning
 of the son, no witnessing of the statements
 Body cam supports this These Facts. ~~over and over~~

~~over and over~~ You try me on Just Her
 word Alone. AND CANT use His testimony
 Because He watches Her testify (R 35 (7-22)

no
 circumstances
 Evidence

His testimony would already be precious
 Because He would do and say anything
 she tells Him to due to Mother/Son
 Relationship. Another thing is if you
 Are A Mother protecting your House And
 Kids From ~~an~~ AN Alleged ASSAULT
 AND shut the Door in His Face, AND
 He is trying to open it, wheres the
 struggle. Flea or Fight I think its
 called. IF you Are A mother protecting
 your Kid from AN ASSAULT. YOU FIGHT
 TO DEATH. There is no testimony of A
 struggle. They are lying. AND this chief
 just takes there word for it. Well not
 At First its only After He gets these
 unwitnessed statements ~~about~~ (see order to
 Release body cam video to prove this) that
 He changes His Mind. He Releases me
 ON A trespass notice AND Lets me go.

(unwitnessed
 By police
 no one
 knows who
 writes these)

~~There is no struggle~~
~~There is no struggle~~
~~There is no struggle~~
 This is not A Burglary
~~There is no struggle~~
~~There is no struggle~~
~~There is no struggle~~
~~There is no struggle~~
~~There is no struggle~~

The Judge Says Must Find Guilty
 of an Assault Before ~~moving on~~
~~to the Burglary~~ you can consider
 Burglary Solicitor Asks that they take
 that instruction out (R 223 17-19)
 That they must Find Him guilty of
 one of the Assaults Before moving on
 to the Burglary (R 227 1-2). ~~never~~
~~will someone be able to find out~~
~~that the judge has denied the request~~
 The Judge Denies
 This Request ~~But takes out the assault~~
~~body of the assault~~
~~asking great body of the assault~~
 But He Keeps Asking, ~~(R 234 17-25)~~
 The Judge Actually tells Him to
 STAND AT EASE, I'M not A Lawyer,
 nor DO I UNDERSTAND ~~this~~ This Legal
 Jargon But I know something's wrong.
 This is not A Burglary AND this is
 what I think is wrong (R 231 1-2)
~~or that they might be charged~~
~~me~~ They Indicted ^{me} for the wrong
 Crime.

P. 231 Not Indicted Based on the Law, based on the Facts

Trespass

P. 226 9-10

But was issued
Trespass notice
And Released
P. 226 11-12

So how can you
come back later
and charge
Burglary

P. 236 - Consider Assault
Must with Burglary 1st charged twice
for same crime???

Mr. McFarr,
With open eyes and Foremost As Always I
keep in mind that I am completely innocent
of these charges against me. I never entered
this Ladies House. Also I still have not
been to the Law Library but know I was Rail-
roaded starting with her taking on me

CO. 113
Charge 2nd and 3rd Assault??
Charged great bodily
Injury instead of other? P. 215
P. 227 charged
First

re charged Jury
misled body injury
and P. 227

Charged Injury
P. 179 9-10
Assault and Battery
So why or how can he
Judge says right that Verner ask 2nd and 3rd
not guilty of Assault
Did Verner ask 2nd and 3rd
Lesser Included LA's
new CO. 113 unless separate
Solicitor says he don't
see an Assault + Battery
P. 225

Crooked Solicitor
to set Burglary for
accounts???

Trying
on two

Only Evidence Against are his her word
Son is never interviewed Don't know
who wrote the statements. Son sits and watches
mom testify AND SAYS same thing she says.

CAN'T Even use His
testimony cause He watches
AND SAYS same thing
She does

Her word

or against mine

no further

and use
testimony

CANT win
like that when
Solicitor telling him
what to say or
statement

IN BODYCAM

this proof
is the
evidence
that was
changed
by AS
Burglary
is charged
of an
AD

p 168 - non lawyer CAP (Statement witnessed by Jen
Chief said need to talk to
Solicitor to see if he could get
Higher warrant, either chief or
Solicitor calls Jen off body
cam telling her what to write
on statements P.P.P.P.P.

There is a
cant use the songs as witness
cant even know use
word
All you have is her
statements & definitely cant use
her

Never Intervened
If He wrote the
Court testimony
Like
Even close to being the same
point the son actually says she
had left the room
My word against her word
get to see is her word
being questioned. That with hold
the body cam footage (Sgt Clayton) of
me being detained & questioned
There is no proof of an
Assault or of a Burglary
The Judge or even P.P.P.P.P.
There is no evidence
of an Assault
And the
Solicitor
agrees

Even says there
was no proof of an
other
when started on
ground of
being of
this or
in

NEWBERRY COUNTY

General Sessions

SURETY, CASH, PERCENTAGE BOND (Bond Form II)

COUNTY/MUNICIPALITY: MUNICIPALITY

TODAY'S DATE
December 8, 2018

TRIAL COURT: COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS COURT: NEWBERRY

DEFENDANT'S NAME AND ADDRESS

Name: Ansel Bradley Wallen
Address: 1971 Hwy. 66
City, State Zip: Whitmire, S.C. 29178

SSN: [REDACTED]

DATE OF BIRTH: [REDACTED]

DRIVER'S LICENSE NO: [REDACTED]

TELEPHONE: (803) 271-4410

DEFENDANT ATTY:

JUDGE: Barry S. Koon

1ST APPEARANCE DATE / TIME:

Friday, January 25, 2019
9:00 AM

FIRST APPEARANCE PLACE:

Newberry County Courthouse
1236 College St. Newberry, SC 29108

NON-BAILABLE

CRIMINAL CHARGING

DOCUMENT NUMBER(S):

2018A3620400075

2018A3620400076

CHARGE(S):

1-) Burglary 1st Degree 2-) Assault & Battery 1st Degree

FINDING OF FACT:

Nature of the offense

RESTRICTIONS ON TRAVEL, ASSOCIATION OR RESIDENCE:

Do NOT have ANY contact directly or indirectly with the victim, Jennifer Saverance or her family.

OTHER CONDITIONS:

Must appear in court on your court date.

(ARRESTED Dec 7th)

I WAS NEVER GIVEN AN
OPPORTUNITY FOR BOND. MY
FIRST APPEARANCE WAS SCHEDULED FOR
JANUARY 25th 2019 (SCHEDULED
FOR JANUARY 25th 2019) WHICH I WAS
INCARCERATED AND WAS NOT ABLE
TO GO BUT WAS INMATED LESS THAN
A MONTH LATER 2-22-19. I NEVER
SPOKE TO ANYONE (OVER)

18-8 W 11:00

FILED

UNTIL April 22ND 2019 2 MONTHS AFTER I WAS INDICTED
 Arrested Dec 7TH 12-7-11
 First appearance Jan 25TH 1-25-12
 Indicted Feb 22ND 2-22-12
 Trial April 22ND 4-22-12

Zero time to prepare

(April 22ND DAY of trial)

I was called to court AND offered
 A 0-3 Drop Burglary 1ST Drop Assault 1ST ←
 to Assault 2ND. I SAID not guilty AND
 Ten Minutes later I was putting A
 Jury for something that carries A LIFE
 sentence. I HAD not talked to ANYBODY
 until that DAY. I HAD not even seen
 no Body CAM Footage or Anything. I never
 seen A motion of Discovery AND still Have
 not. I Had zero time to prepare. see
enclosed letters to Clerk. I've written the
 Solicitor AND My public Defender Trying to
 obtain my motion Discovery Filing then
 thru the Clerk of court. with no Response.
 I Have not seen the Statement sheets,
 Police Reports or Body cam Footage against
 me. This WAS not only Detrimental to my
 Defence In my trial But Also to
 my appeal issues. I don't even know
 what was said In Body CAM except
 what I Remember From courts or these
 unwitnessed Statements. Biggest thing I Remember
 is police dropping these statement sheets off and
 coming back later AND picking them up (In Body cam vid
 first thing I thought was you don't even know
 who wrote them.

(First time I talked to ANYBODY)

Less than A month After
 1ST appearance I was Indicted.
~~RECEIVED~~
 EXACTLY 2 MONTH
 AFTER I WAS
 Indicted I WAS
 on trial for
 something that
 carries A LIFE
 sentence without
 seeing ANY EVIDENCE
 against me

S J D H J
 ONAG

Clerk,
I have written the Solicitor AND Charles Verner Requesting
a Motion of Discovery AND Filed the Request thru your office.
I wrote Charles Verner twice Before my trial AND asked for it
Also Filed this letter thru your office Asking for copies of
those letters. I have Been Locked up since Dec 7th 2018 AND
even Requesting my Motion of Discovery ever since AND still
are not Received one. My access to the law library is
very limited Right now. AM I Requesting this in the wrong
way? Could you please forward those letters of mine Requesting
my Motion of Discovery (Rule 5) Back to the Solicitor AND Charles
Verner for me? Also, Please time Stamp, Date, Copy AND Return
his letter for me and place in my Court File please.

Thank you

FILED
JUDICIAL COUNTY
2019 AUG 12 AM 11:17
ELIZABETH P. FOLK
CLERK OF COURT

Arnel Braeley Wallers 292474
Broad River Corr: WA-119
4460 Broad River RD,
Columbia S.C. 29210

D.S. ALSO I sent Chief of Police Jeremiah Sinclair
The copy of The letter I Filed thru your office
Requesting A copy of the trespass notice I WAS
issued AND signed. I still have not Received A Response
How CAN I go ABOUT getting it?
ISS. I Hate to Ask But could you
get me the Address for the
Governors office in S.C.
over

Thank you Again,

Arnel Braeley Wallers

LEGAL MAIL

There was three witnesses for the state in my jury trial. Jennifer Saverance
J. S. Saverance, Jeremiah Sinclair. I was the only witness for my side.
During my trial I had been interacting with one of my jurors. We had
been making eye contact ^{the whole trial} AND I could tell she was a good lady. While I
was testifying she smiled a few times and you could tell she was on
my ^(I guess the solicitor could too!) side. Then Right Before they go out to Deliberate the Solicitor stands
and tells the Judge that her son has a case in his office and asks for
her to be removed, and the Judge does. If you knew her son has
a case in your office why did you even seat her. This is wrong
and unethical on the behalf of the Solicitors office in Newberry S.C.
I would like to know if this is grounds for a mistrial ^(or appeal)? Also I only
had two months to prepare for this trial, well not really, I really had zero
time. I was indicted ^{First Appearance: 1-25-19} on 2-22-19 and on 4-23-19, 2 months later, I was offered a
7-3 Assault ~~and~~ AND when I said not guilty they took me straight out to pull
a jury for something that carries a life sentence, (Burglary 1st Assault 1st) I had
zero time to prepare. I had not even seen body cam video until after I pulled a
jury. I wrote my attorney asking for my Motion of Discovery AND I still have
not received one. Are these grounds for mistrial?

RECEIVED

AUG 12 2019

SC Court of Appeals

Thank you,
Ansel Bradley Waller #292474
Broad River Corr. WA-1194
4460 Broad River Rd.
Columbia S.C. 29210

P.S. IM INDIGENT. How can I get copies of my transcripts?

P.S.S. Also How do I get my Rule 5, I've been asking since this
9 months all started, I've written my public defender before AND AFTER
the trial AND still no response. I also wrote the solicitor
AND asked for it too. No response. I filed these thru the
Clerk of Court for my County. How do I go about
getting it?

LEGAL MAIL

Ansel Bradley Wallen 290474
WA-119

Please Mail to: Jerry Kitchens Clerk of Court
South Carolina Court of Appeals
P.O. Box 11629
Columbia S.C. 29211

LEGAL MAIL

Public Defender

8-26-19

Charles, Verver

I Need Copies of the
two Letters I Sent you
from Jail. File them thru
the Clerk of Court.

FILED
CLERK OF COURT
ELIZABETH P. FOLK
2019 JUN 20 AM 10:37

RECEIVED

AUG 12 2019

SC Court of Appeals

Thank You,

Ansel Bradley Waller

P.S. There WAS Another Cop. A County cop was
Dispatched to Assist Terimiah Sinclair
with me on Sinclair Ave. How do I get
his Body Cam Videos AND his name?

P.S.S. I ALSO NEED 2 COPIES OF
MY RULE 5 AND WARRANTS AND
STATEMENT sheets they signed

(over)

Also could you send me copies
of Police Reports. All this should be
in my Rule 5.

Thank You

Ansel Brawley Walker 292474
KICKLAND R & E CO-07
4344 Broad River RD.
Columbia SC 29210

Clerk,

This is second time
I've written you. I need
copies of my Rule 5. Copies
of warrants, statement
sheets, And sentencing sheets.
Also How Do I get Body
cam Videos from Jerimiah
Sinclair on Dec 7th at
111 times of the scene
of incident? Also How
can I find out the name of
county cop that was
dispatched to Sinclair
on Dec 7th to
assist Jerimiah Sinclair (over)

I need to know how I
CAN get Copies of his
Body Cam Videos.

Please forward + Time
Stamp + Date letter
that's MARKED SLED
SLED
P.O. Box 21398
Columbia S.C. 29221

Thank you,

Ansol Bradley Wall
Ined Bradley Waller

P.S. Time, Date, + Stamp
Please

P.S.S. ALSO CAN I
Copies of when AND
What Court my APPEAL WAS
Filed in. This is very importa
AND BY WHO
P.S.S.S. Forward Charles
Verners Letter not
this one

FILED
CLERK OF COURT
2019 JUN 20 10:37
ELIZABETH G. POPE

9-14-19

10

MR. RUFFIN,

First, AND I will Always say this at the
Beginning of every letter, I AM completely Innocent
of the charges Against me, Always keep this in mind.
I never entered this Lanier house, I REMAINED on the
Front Porch ^{the entire time,} AND CAN prove this From the transcripts that
I Received From you. When I First WAS ARRESTED I wrote
my Public Defender telling him that I thought that I WAS
Being conspired Against. I'm trying to obtain these letters
thru the clerk of court now with no Response. I NEVER
SAW A motion of Discovery Before my trial AND HAVE
written him before the trial Asking for it in the
same letters that I wrote him. Telling him of the
conspiracy. I still have not seen A motion of Discovery
AND HAVE Filed numerous letters thru the clerk of
court Asking the Solicitor AND my public Defender. I
was arrested 12-7-2018
dictated 2-23-19 AS given zero time to prepare AND now I feel
betrayed 0-3 (ASSAULT 2ND)
April 23rd (months later) 4-23-19
I am not guilty BECAUSE they thought I WAS Homeless AND A NEANSANCE
to society. This being said I will not involve you in
this as I have written SLED 4 times AND the
Governor once trying to get An Investigation into
this/these matters. I will tell you issues I feel
are Appellate issues, But I would ask you if you
could please get my Motion of Discovery so I
can compare Police Reports, Statement Sheets, Body Cam (over)

Motion of Discovery
with Holding
Discovery

video, AND COURT Testimony. THANK you. Its not only Important For Appellate issues But with the other issues especially of them lying. As my story never VARIES OR WAVES, IN ANY WAY AND their storie changes. In everyone of these.

2

WITHHOLDING OF EVIDENCE (Body cam video, Police Reports, 96/12-16 (Transcripts) 103/ (Statement sheets, Court testimony) (I ONLY SAW these during my trial)

I WAS DETAINED AND QUESTIONED By A County Police while chief SINCLAIR went to question The complainent. This Body CAM video of me, Being Detained AND questioned WAS WITHHOLD. It shows my Demeanor AND Corroborates my side (Especially when the chief of police LIES on the witness stand (101/15-25 ^{THRU} 102/1-4) Chief SAYS I AM CUSSING AND SCREAMING violently But when He sees me He stops. This is A lie AND Shows A Demeanor that is not there. CAR CAM video proves this Because ^{IT SEES THE SAME THING HE DOES} AND THE FACT THAT He Releases me on A trespass notice proves this. you do not Release violently screaming AND cussing people with A weapon go on trespass notice. The withholding of the Body CAM video of my Being Detained AND questioned shows my Demeanor, not the one portrayed By this lie. ALSO (96/12-16) Everyone Involved Decides this is A trespass, chief signs them, She signs them, AND I Sign them. I WAS NEVER Allowed to see this trespass notice (No Motion Discovery)

It WAS Detramental to my Defence. Everyone SAYS (Also ARRESTING officers video to dispute Having Allan wrench) ON me when I WAS Arrested. This is A Lie P. 82

Warrant Seeker
ARRESTING OFFICER LIES ON WITNESS STAND (101/15-25 THRU 102/1-4)
Accused Assaulting Burglary
WITHHOLDING OF EVIDENCE

BACK INTO THE Community you create it

and video does not show

9-14-19

(3)

[3]

Its A trespass. This is not A Burglary
INTRODUCTION OF EVIDENCE THATS NOT MINE
(94/15-19) (Transcripts) [(29/5-8) Lie]

I WAS Released with Allen wrench I HAD IN
My pocket. *I DID NOT HAVE IT WITH ME WHEN I WAS
ARRESTED* AND clearly the one IN the video is
Red AND ^(THEY INTRODUCE A Blue one) this one is Blue. yet Introduced AS EVIDENCE (94/15-19)
Corroboration of witness to OBTAIN WARRANTS

IN Body CAM video the son is never questioned
NOR interviewed. (101/7-8) ALSO IN Body CAM
video the chief Drops Statement sheets OFF with
The Complaint and picks up with The son (witness)
IN Body CAM this is the only time the witness is
seen; you CANT even say who writes these
Statements. ALSO these statements Are NOT witnessed
By ANY police Because He Drops them off AND (BodyCAM
video) Leaves BUT they ARE signed witnessed By the
Mom. (120/25 ^{THRU} 121/1-2)* Someone WAS
worried ABOUT Him Being A Minor, SO Someone told
her what to write By phone call Because no
one else WAS there. yet these Statements Are
Introduced INTO Evidence (178/17-18) against me
AND to OBTAIN the WARRANTS. (conspiracy)
Corroborating witness Allowed to watch Mother
TESTIFY 70/3-4 (cover)

[4]

NEVER Questioned
OR interviewed

OFF of
BodyCAM
no police
witness

(she was
not told
this IN
any of
Body cam
video)

The sons testimony is already preguous due to mother/saw

Relationship. He would do and say anything that his

mom wants But He is Allowed to sit and watch

his mother testify. Everyone involved knows this

including the Judge (35/20-21) This is wrong

And unethical. They are already lying against me but

are Allowed to watch each other testify.

Solicitor lies * (29/5-8) Transcripts

The Evidence that was introduced that

wasnt mine (see introduction of evidence that

not mine) is lied about in opening statements.

When I was arrested I only had a cigarette

lighter and cigarettes on me, which would be

in Mr. Lawsons (arresting officer) car cam

video. I was never Allowed to question the

arresting officer nor did I ever get to

see car cam video of arrest to dispute this

fact. This more evidence withheld (p33)

* JUDGE REMOVED * (172/23-25 174/13)

VOIR DIRE Questions (172/23-25 174/13)

She was Allowed to sit and watch the

knife trial. Both the solicitor (173 8-9)

and my public Defender knew this when they

seated her (174/14-15) The jury is tainted at this

point. She could of been trying to gain favor

for her son whose case was won the no pocket for

from either side and the Judge knows this

tainted jury to decide my case. This is wrong

5

See 3
Introduction
of Evidence
that was
mine

6

7

9-11-19

Illegally prosecuted and indicted

(231/12) He admits right here that

I was illegally prosecuted and illegally

indicted. I was not indicted based on

the law. Everyone involved knows this

at this point including the judge.

Read (225/20-25 and 226/1)

Then Read (228/12-18)

This is the solicitor, he says right

here that I am not guilty of either

of these things, yet he still prosecutes

the case to a tainted jury (juror removed)

son was case
to solicitors
office and
my lawyer
is his lawyer
that both know

After he indicts me not according

to the law (231/1-2)

Goes to 8 too

(173 8-9)
(174 14-15)
my lawyer

Actively involved
with her sons case.
They both knew when
they seated her
the solicitor and my
lawyer

8

9-14-18

Double Jeopardy

Everybody involved decides its not a Burglary, Robbery, Kidnapping or theft. The complainant, The Chief, AND I All Sign the trespass. At this point ~~It is a trespass. I was released with~~ the warning. you were trespassing do not go back. NOW Reg (226 8-9)



SO under State Law you cannot charge Burglary After deciding its a trespass, BECAUSE ITS not AN Element of Burglary. This would Be Double Jeopardy. charged me twice For the same crime BECAUSE they are not one of the same. NOW - I v. Hancock. So after Reprimanding trespassing, you cant come back later and charge Burglary; ASSAULT

Continued IN 9

Please
Make copies & Return me

~~Please~~ ~~make~~
~~copies~~ & ~~Return~~
~~to me~~

Arnel Bradley Waller 292474
BRET MURRAY 192
4460 Broad River Rd.
Columbia S.C. 29210



RECEIVED
REDLIVED

MAR 09 2020 MAR 10 2020

880 Court of Appeals
MAILROOM

Jerry ABBot Kitchens Clerk
South Carolina Court of Appeals
Post office Box 11629
Columbia S.C. 29211