

The South Carolina Court of Appeals

The State, Respondent,

v.

Brooks Kenyatta Easterling, Appellant.

Appellate Case No. 2019-001550

ORDER

This court dismissed this appeal on April 8, 2020, because Appellant failed to provide a *sufficient* explanation as required by Rule 203(d)(1)(B)(iv) of the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules (SCACR). *See* Rule 203(d)(1)(B)(iv), SCACR (providing the explanation should identify the issue to be raised on appeal, how the issue was raised below, and the ruling of the lower court on the issue). Appellant has now filed a motion to reinstate this appeal, noting Appellant did file a *pro se* guilty explanation. Appellant, however, does not indicate whether issue raised in the explanation was raised to or ruled on by the circuit court. Within ten days of this order, Appellant shall file a response addressing whether the issue set forth in Appellant's guilty plea explanation is preserved for this court's review. If the issue was not raised to and ruled on by the circuit court, Appellant shall include argument and citation to legal authority showing how the issue can be reviewed on appeal. Upon receipt, or the expiration of ten days, this court will consider Appellant's motion to reinstate.


FOR THE COURT

Columbia, South Carolina

FILED
Apr 29 2020

cc:

Brooks K. Easterling, 00300418

Alan McCrory Wilson, Esquire

William M. Blich, Jr., Esquire

Daniel Ryan Goldberg, Esquire

Robert Michael Dudek, Esquire

Thomas Micah Leddy, Esquire