

State of South Carolina in the Court of Appeals.

Appeal from Beaufort County Judge Thomas Cooper Circuit Court Judge

The State

VS

RECEIVED

APR 23 2020

SC Court of Appeals

TYRONE LORENZA ROBINSON

APPELLANT

APPELLANT case NO: 2017-002233

MOTION TO ACCEPT AN SECOND PROSE RESPONSE MERITORIOUS BRIEF

FACTS

UPON FURTHER RESEARCH INTO THIS CASE BY THE LAWS THAT GOVERN THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ON THE FIVE OF "ACQUITTAL". I HAVE DISCOVERED THAT I WAS LEGALLY ACQUITTED ON INDICTMENT # 2012-GJ-07-01935 THAT I AM CURRENTLY BEING HELD UNLAWFULLY RESTRAINED ON AND UNLAWFULLY IMPRISONED ON. IN VIOLATION OF SOUTH CAROLINA CODE OF LAWS 17-23-90 WHICH STATES "QUOTE" AND IF ANY PERSON COMMITTED AS A FORESAID

UPON HIS TRIAL shall be ACQUITTED he shall
be DISCHARGED FROM IMPRISONMENT

The ACQUITTAL ARGUED IN THE BRIEF IS
GOVERNED BY THE LAWS THAT GOVERN THE STATE
OF SOUTH CAROLINA. THAT ARE SET FORTH INSIDE
OF THE WRITTEN WRITE UP OF THE CASE LAW OF.
THE STATE VS. LOUIS ENGLISH FULLER 552 S.E.
2d 282 WHICH STATES "QUOTE END".


IF ACCESSORY AFTER THE FACT IS NOT CHARGED
IN THE INDICTMENT, BUT IS INSTRUCTED
TO CLARIFY MERE PRESENCE, A FINDING OF
ACCESSORY AFTER THE FACT IS THE EQUIVALENT
TO A FINDING OF NOT GUILTY.

The ACQUITTAL ARGUED IN THIS BRIEF IS
ALSO GOVERNED BY THE LAWS THAT GOVERN THE STATE
OF SOUTH CAROLINA. THAT ARE SET FORTH INSIDE
OF THE WRITTEN WRITE UP OF THE CASE LAW OF.
STATE VS. RICHARDSON 25 S.E. 220 "QUOTE END".
SO HERE WE MIGHT SAY THAT AFTER THE JURY
WERE CHARGED WITH THE TRIAL OF THIS CASE
THEY HAVE ~~BEEN~~ BEEN DISCHARGED WITHOUT ANY
LAWFUL CAUSE, THE PRISONER IS ACQUITTED.

THE PURPOSE FOR A PETITIONER FILING A PROSE
RESPONSE BRIEF, IS TO PROVE TO THE APPEALS COURT
THAT THE CASE HAS MERITS. BECAUSE THE ARGUMENT
SET FORTH IN THIS PROSE RESPONSE MERITORIOUS
BRIEF. ARE GOVERNED BY THE CASE LAW MERITS
PREVIOUSLY LISTED, THAT GOVERN THE LAWS OF THE
STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA. AS A MATTER OF LAW
THRU THE ARGUMENT SET FORTH IN THE BRIEF
SUPPORTED BY THE TRIAL TRANSCRIPT ON RECORD. I HAVE
PROVE TO THIS COURT THAT THE ARGUMENT ON THE
ISSUE OF ACQUITTAL HAS SOUTH CAROLINA CASE
LAW MERITS. FOR THIS APPEALS COURT TO DECIDE
ON THE MERITS OF. BASED ON THE FACTS EXPLAINED
AND THE MERITORIOUS ARGUMENT SET FORTH IN
THE SECOND AMENDED PROSE RESPONSE MERITOR-
IOUS BRIEF. THIS COURT OUT OF THE INTEREST OF
JUSTICE TO PREVENT A MISARRIAGE OF JUSTICE AND
INJUSTICE SHOULD GRANT THE SECOND AMENDED
PROSE RESPONSE MERITORIOUS BRIEF. DECIDE
ON THE ISSUE OF ACQUITTAL ON THE MERITS AND
DISCHARGE ME FROM UNLAWFUL RESTRAINT ON
INDICTMENT # 2012-ES-07-01935 THAT I WAS ACQUITTED
ON AND AM CURRENTLY BEING HELD UNLAWFULLY RESTRAINED
ON.

SIGNATURE: Tyron L. Robinson
PRINT: TYRONE L. ROBINSON
DATE:

TYROME L. ROBINSON #235104
R-A- Rm 232
LIEBER CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION
P.O. BOX 205
RIDGEVILLE, S.C. 29472



RECEIVED
APR 21 2020
WALHOOB
LIEBER CI

RECEIVED
APR 23 2020
SC Court of Appeals

SOUTH CAROLINA COURT OF APPEALS
JENNY ABBOTT KITCHINS, CLERK
POST OFFICE BOX 11629
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29211

FOR LEGAL USE ONLY

