

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
In The Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM SPARTANBURG COUNTY  
Court of General Sessions

The Honorable Grace G. Knie, Seventh Circuit Court Judge

Appellate Case No. 2018-002064

**RECEIVED**  
MAY 04 2020  
SC Court of Appeals

The State of South Carolina, III, ..... Respondent

v.

Leonard E. Scruggs, III, ..... Appellant

**INITIAL ANDERS BRIEF OF RESPONDENT**

WILLIAM G. YARBOROUGH III  
William G. Yarborough, Attorney at Law, LLC  
308 West Stone Avenue  
Greenville, South Carolina 29601  
(864) 331-1612

HONORABLE ALAN WILSON  
SC Attorney General

WILLIAM M. BLITCH  
Assistant Attorney General  
Post Office Box 11549  
Columbia, South Carolina 29211

COUNSEL FOR APPELLANT

COUNSEL FOR RESPONDENT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS.....1

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES..... 2

STATEMENT OF ISSUES ON APPEAL.....3

STATEMENT OF THE CASE.....4

STANDARD OF REVIEW.....5

ARGUMENT

The plea court erred by accepting the Appellant’s guilty plea because there was no factual basis for the guilty plea; the factual summary presented by the State rather established that the Appellant had a viable case for self-defense to the charges.....5-7

CONCLUSION.....7

CERTIFICATE OF COUNSEL.....7

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

CASES

*LaPiana v. State* 270 S.C. 563, 243 S.E.2d 448 (1978) ..... 3, 5

*Rollison v. State*, 346 S.C. 506, 552 S.E.2d 290 (2001) ..... 5

*State v. Armstrong*, 263 S.C. 594, 211 S.E.2d 889 (1975).....,..... 3, 5

*State v. Day*, 341 S.C. 410, 535 S.E.2d 431 (2000) ..... 5

*State v. Goodson*, 312 S.C. 278, 440 S.E.2d 370 (1994) ..... 5

*State v. Jacobs*, 393 S.C. 584, 713 S.E.2d 621 (2011) ..... 3

*State v. Nesbitt*, 411 S.C. 194, 768 S.E.2d 67 (2015) ..... 3

*State v. Rikard*, 371 S.C. 295, 638 S.E.2d 72 (Ct.App. 2006)..... 3, 5

## STATEMENT OF ISSUES ON APPEAL

- I. Whether the plea court erred in accepting the Appellant's guilty plea when the State did not present or establish a factual basis for the plea, and where the factual summary presented by the State at the plea hearing rather established that the Appellant had a viable case for self-defense to the charges.

## STATEMENT OF THE CASE

The Spartanburg Grand Jury indicted Appellant for attempted murder and possession of a weapon during a violent crime. (Indictment 2018-GS-42-857 (two counts). Appellant was also charged with possession of a firearm by a convicted felon. (2018-GS-42-858; Transcript, p. 3 l. 8-13; p. 14-16). The undersigned, William Yarborough III, represented the Appellant. (Transcript p. 1). Assistant Solicitor Spenser Smith represented the State.

Appellant appeared on November 6, 2018 before the Honorable Grace G. Knie to enter a guilty plea to possession of a firearm by a convicted felon, assault and battery in the first degree, and to the unlawful carrying of a pistol. (Plea H'rg Transcript, p. 3-1; p. 5-6; Sentencing sheet, Transcript p. 3 l. 9-13). The State had dismissed a third indictment for intimidation of a witness. (Transcript p. 3 l. 18-20). On the assault and battery charge, Judge Knie sentenced the Appellant to ten (10) years, five (5) years suspended, to be served on house arrest, and two (2) years probation with 547 days of credit for time served. On the possession of a firearm by a felon charge, Judge Knie sentenced Appellant to five (5) years with 547 days credit for time served, and also allowed the active sentence to be served on house arrest. For the unlawful carrying of a pistol, Appellant was sentenced to one year, with active time to be served on house arrest, with 547 days credit for time served. The sentences were ordered to run concurrent. (Sentencing sheets, Transcript p. 21 l. 9 – p. 22 l. 7).

This appeal follows.

## STANDARD OF REVIEW

"In criminal cases, the appellate court sits to review errors of law only." *State v. Nesbitt*, 411 S.C. 194, 199, 768 S.E.2d 67, 70 (2015) (quoting *State v. Jacobs*, 393 S.C. 584, 586, 713 S.E.2d 621, 622 (2011)).

## ARGUMENT

- I. **The plea court erred by accepting the Appellant's guilty plea because there was no factual basis for the guilty plea; the factual summary presented by the State rather established that the Appellant had a viable case for self-defense to the charges.**

### Relevant Facts

The alleged incident giving rise to the charges occurred on May 5, 2017, in which the Appellant shot his son, Shyem Scruggs, in the neck and in the hand during a physical altercation. (Transcript p. 12 l., 24-25; 13 l. 1). According to Shyem, the shooting was the result of an internal family dispute, explaining: "he and his father had gotten into an argument, that he had squared up on his father." (Transcript p. 13 l. 15-20). Shyem stated that he was shot in the midst of fighting and struggling with his father, whom had retrieved a firearm when the dispute began to escalate. (Transcript p. 13 l. 21-23)

When giving the factual summary during the plea hearing, the State further explained Shyem was a reluctant witness and had "shot the bird" (given the middle finger) at investigators and told them to leave when they attempted to question him at the hospital. (Transcript p. 13 . 11-14). Shyem only became willing to speak to the State upon his incarceration for a possession of a stolen vehicle charge, as well as several drug charges, during which he demanded payment and release prior to when he would testify. (Transcript p 14 l. 6-20) The State admitted at the plea hearing that there would have been severe credibility issues with Shyem had this case gone to trial in light of his demands to investigators in exchange for testifying, his criminal history, and being known as a heavy drug user that exhibited erratic behavior. (Transcript p. 16 l. 21-22 and p. 18 l. 12-16; p. 14). Additionally, Shyem had informed defense counsel on several occasions prior to the plea that he wished for the charges against his father to be dismissed.

(Transcript p. 16. l. 17-19). Moreover, there were no eyewitnesses or any type of footage capturing the incident. (Transcript p. 13 l. 24 – p. 14 – l.1) Indeed, Shyem was the only witness to the alleged offenses.

### **Discussion**

The record in a guilty plea hearing must establish a factual basis for the guilty plea. *State v. Rikard*, 371 S.C. 295, 300, 638 S.E.2d 72, 75 (Ct.App. 2006); *LaPiana v. State*, 270 S.C. 563, 569, 243 S.E.2d 448, 451 (1978); *State v. Armstrong*, 263 S.C. 594, 598, 211 S.E.2d 889, 891 (1975). Further, before a guilty plea is accepted, the defendant must understand the nature and crucial elements of the charges, the consequences of the plea, and the constitutional rights he is waiving, and the record must reflect a factual basis for the guilty plea. *Rollison v. State*, 346 S.C. 506, 511, 552 S.E.2d 290, 292 (2001).

Self-defense is a complete defense to a crime, including attempted murder and murder. Once the defendant has adequately raised the issue of self-defense, the State must disprove self-defense beyond a reasonable doubt, in addition to proving beyond a reasonable doubt the unlawful act of the defendant. *State v. Day*, 341 S.C. 410, 535 S.E.2d 431 (2000); *State v. Goodson*, 312 S.C. 278, 440 S.E.2d 370 (1994).

In the present case, the facts presented at the plea hearing clearly establish Appellant had a very viable self-defense case for trial. The factual summary provided by the State established that the Appellant fired his gun only upon the moment Shyem began physically fighting with him, causing Appellant to fear for his life or in the least, fear the affliction of great bodily harm. Appellant only raised his gun when Shyem, whom is a known drug user with unpredictable behavior, “squared up” on him.

Further, the facts presented at the plea hearing demonstrate that the State would not

have been able to prove its case against the Appellant beyond a reasonable doubt had the case gone to trial. The State admitted that there were no other witnesses other than Shyem, whom had serious credibility issues in light of his wish to have the case dropped, his demands for money and release from jail, and his general combativeness with the State throughout the course of the case. The State also conceded that no witness, recording or other documentation existed to corroborate any of Shyem's story.

### CONCLUSION

Based on the foregoing, the Appellant respectfully requests this Honorable Court to vacate the Appellant's guilty plea and sentences and remand for a new trial.

Respectfully submitted,



William G. Yarborough, III  
William G. Yarborough III,  
Attorney at Law, LLC  
308 West Stone Avenue  
Greenville, SC 29609  
(864) 331-1612

Attorney for Appellant  
May 1, 2020

**CERTIFICATE OF COUNSEL**

The undersigned certifies that to the best of my ability this *Anders* Brief of Appellant complies with Rule 211(b), SCACR, and the April 15, 2014 order from the South Carolina Supreme Court entitled "Revised Order Concerning Personal Identifying Information and Other Sensitive information in Appellant Court Filings."

Respectfully submitted,



William G. Yarborough, III  
William G. Yarborough III,  
Attorney at Law, LLC  
308 West Stone Avenue  
Greenville, SC 29609  
(864) 331-1612

Attorney for Appellant  
May 1, 2020

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
In The Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM SPARTANBURG COUNTY  
Court of General Sessions

The Honorable Grace G. Knie, Seventh Circuit Court Judge

Appellate Case No. 2018-002064

**RECEIVED**  
MAY 04 2020  
SC Court of Appeals

The State of South Carolina,.....Respondent

v.

Leonard E. Scruggs, III,.....Appellant

**DESIGNATION OF MATTER  
TO BE INCLUDED IN RECORD ON APPEAL**

Appellant proposes the following to be included in the Record on Appeal:

1. True Billed Indictments
2. Sentencing Sheets
3. Transcript of guilty plea hearing held on November 6, 2018.

I certify that this designation contains no matter that is irrelevant to this appeal.

Respectfully submitted,



William G. Yanborough, III  
SC Bar Number 10271  
308 West Stone Avenue  
Greenville, SC 29609  
(864) 331-1612

Attorney for Appellant  
May 1, 2020

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
In The Court of Appeals

---

APPEAL FROM SPARTANBURG COUNTY  
Court of General Sessions

The Honorable Grace G. Knie, Seventh Circuit Court Judge

---

Appellate Case No. 2018-002064

---

**RECEIVED**  
MAY 04 2020  
SC Court of Appeals

The State of South Carolina,.....Respondent

v.

Leonard E. Scruggs, III, .....Appellant

---

**PETITION TO BE RELIEVED AS COUNSEL**

---

Counsel for Leonard E. Scruggs, III states:

1. He was plea counsel for Appellant and has not been retained as appellate counsel.
2. He was present at and has reviewed the record of the Appellant's guilty plea hearing before the Honorable Grace G. Knie, which was held on November 6, 2018, and, in his opinion, the appeal is without legal merit sufficient to warrant the vacation of the plea.
3. He has briefed an arguable legal issue that arose from the guilty plea hearing pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396 (1967).

WHEREFORE, he asks the Court to relieve him as counsel for Leonard E. Scruggs, III.

Respectfully submitted,



---

William G. Yarborough, III  
SC Bar Number 10271  
308 West Stone Avenue  
Greenville, SC 29609  
(864) 331-1612

Attorney for Appellant  
May 1, 2020

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
In The Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM SPARTANBURG COUNTY  
Court of General Sessions

The Honorable Grace G. Knie, Seventh Circuit Court Judge

Appellate Case No. 2018-002064

**RECEIVED**  
MAY 04 2020  
SC Court of Appeals

The State of South Carolina,.....Respondent

v.

Leonard E. Scruggs, III,.....Appellant

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

The undersigned certifies that a copy of the Appellant's Initial *Anders* Brief, Designation of Matter, and Petition to be Relieved in this action has been served upon the Respondent, represented by Assistant Attorney General William M. Blich, by depositing it in the United States mail, postage prepaid addressed to:

The Honorable Alan Wilson  
S.C. Attorney General  
Attn: Assistant Attorney General William M. Blich  
Post Office Box 11549  
Columbia, South Carolina 29211


The undersigned further certifies that a copy of same has been served upon the Appellant, by depositing it in the United States mail, postage prepaid addressed to:

Leonard E. Scruggs, III


509 Wildwood Drive  
Spartanburg, SC 29306

The undersigned lastly certifies that all parties required to be served by the appellate court rules  
have been served.

This 1<sup>st</sup> day of May 2020



Law Office of William G. Yarborough, III  
308 W. Stone Avenue  
Greenville, South Carolina 29609



The Honorable Jenny Abbott Kitchings, Clerk of Court  
S.C. Court of Appeals  
P.O. BOX 11629  
Columbia, South Carolina 29211

RECEIVED  
MAY 04 2020  
SC Court of Appeals