

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA )  
COUNTY OF ORANGEBURG )

IN THE GENERAL SESSIONS COURT  
FIRST JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

State of South Carolina, )

2013-GS38-1108; 1151; 1154 & 1157

vs. )

Calvin E. Snell, )

Defendant. )  
\_\_\_\_\_ )

**RECEIVED**  
MAY 29 2020  
SC Court of Appeals

ORDER

FILED FOR RECORD  
APR 17 11 AM  
CLERK OF COURT  
ORANGEBURG, SC

This matter came before the Court on August 31, 2016 upon the motion of Defendant Calvin E. Snell for a "sentence correction hearing." Present at the hearing were the Defendant, appearing *pro se*, and Deputy Solicitor Thomas Scott.

Defendant entered a guilty plea before this Court on October 24, 2013 to four counts of burglary, 2<sup>nd</sup> degree, non-violent on indictment numbers 2013-GS38-1108; 2013-GS38-1151; 2013-GS38-1154; and 2013-GS38-1157. Defendant was represented by Attorney Mark Wise. The State recommended a sentence of 40 years, suspended to the service of 25 years. The State proposed a sentence of ten years, suspended upon the service of six years on three of the indictments and a sentence of ten years suspended upon the service of seven years on one indictment, with all sentences to run consecutively.

The Court followed this recommendation, sentencing Defendant to ten years suspended upon the service of six years on indictments 2013-GS-38-1108; 2013-GS38-1151; and 2013-GS38-1154 and ten years suspended upon the service of seven years on indictment 2013-GS38-1157. The Court ordered all sentences to run consecutively and checked the appropriate boxes on each sentencing sheet.

During his motion, Defendant argued that the Court ordered the sentences on indictment


1/2 *too*

TEST: TRUE COPY  
*Winnifia B. Clark*  
CLERK OF COURT  
ORANGEBURG COUNTY, SC

numbers 1108, 1151 and 1154 to run concurrently with each other, but consecutively to the sentence on indictment 1157.

Upon review of portions of the transcript from the guilty plea as well as after hearing arguments from both parties, the Court denies the Defendant's motion and finds that it was the intention, and is still the intention, that each sentence was to run consecutively with every other sentence.

AND IT IS SO ORDRED.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
The Honorable Edgar W. Dickson  
Presiding Judge

This 16<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2020

Orangeburg, SC

2/2 