



The South Carolina Court of Appeals

JENNY ABBOTT KITCHINGS
CLERK

V. CLAIRE ALLEN
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

POST OFFICE BOX 11629
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29211
1220 SENATE STREET
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29201
TELEPHONE: (803) 734-1890
FAX: (803) 734-1839
www.sccourts.org

June 22, 2020

The Honorable Julie J. Armstrong
100 Broad St Ste 106
Charleston SC 29401-2210

REMITTITUR

Re: Wendy Wellin v. Peter Wellin
Lower Court Case No. 2014CP1007038
Appellate Case No. 2019-000833

Dear Clerk of Court:

The above referenced matter is hereby remitted to the lower court or tribunal. A copy of the judgment of this Court is enclosed.

Very truly yours,

V. Claire Allen

CLERK

Enclosure

cc: John Thomas Lay, Jr., Esquire
Gray Thomas Culbreath, Esquire
John Dwight Hudson, Jr., Esquire

Robert H. Hood, Esquire
James Bernard Hood, Esquire
Mary Agnes Hood Craig, Esquire
Lindsay Anne Joyner, Esquire
John Fisher Beach, Esquire
Lyndey Ritz Zwing Bryant, Esquire
Marvin D. Infinger, Esquire
Robert H. Brunson, Esquire
Merritt Gordon Abney, Esquire
Patrick Coleman Wooten, Esquire
Miles Edward Coleman, Esquire
Florence Patricia Scarborough, Esquire
Edward G.R. Bennett, Esquire

The South Carolina Court of Appeals

Wendy C. H. Wellin, Respondent,

v.

Peter Wellin, Cynthia W. Plum, and Marjorie W. King,
Individually and as Co-Trustees and Beneficiaries of the
Wellin Family 2009 Irrevocable Trust, u/a/b November
2, 2009, Appellants,

v.

Wendy C. H. Wellin, Individually and as Trustee of the
Keith S. Wellin Florida Revocable Living Trust u/a/d
December 11, 2001, Hamilton College, Keith S. Wellin
Florida Revocable Living Trust, Campbell Hard, and
Heather Lane, Respondents.

In the Matter of: Keith S. Wellin.

Appellate Case No. 2019-000833


ORDER

Appellants' petition for a writ of supersedeas is denied. Respondents' motions to dismiss this appeal are granted because the underlying orders¹ are not immediately appealable pursuant to section 14-3-330 of the South Carolina Code (2017).

Appellants acknowledge bifurcation orders generally are not immediately appealable. *See Flagstar Corp. v. Royal Surplus Lines*, 341 S.C. 68, 533 S.E.2d 331 (2000) (holding an order bifurcating the issue of exclusion under an insurance

¹ Appellants appeal from the circuit courts' orders of May 15, 2019, August 23, 2018, and October 20, 2017. Appellants, however, concede the August 23, 2018 and October 20, 2017 orders, standing alone, are not immediately appealable.

contract from the issue of occurrence was not immediately appealable and, "after trial, [the appellant would] be free to advance on appeal that the trial judge abused his discretion in ordering bifurcation"); *Senter v. Piggly Wiggly Carolina Co.*, 341 S.C. 74, 533 S.E.2d 575 (2000) (holding an order bifurcating issues in a contract case between liability and damages was not immediately appealable). Appellants, however, argue the underlying bifurcation order is immediately appealable based on our supreme court's decision in *Morrow v. Fundamental Long-Term Care Holdings, LLC*, 412 S.C. 534, 773 S.E.2d 144 (2015), wherein the court held an order bifurcating issues of direct liability and corporate liability was immediately appealable because the order impacted the substantial right of the plaintiffs to choose their defendant and effectively granted potential summary judgment on the issue of direct corporate liability. In *Morrow*, the circuit court ordered "discovery and a trial on the nursing home negligence claims could go forward, and only if the Morrows were successful, a new jury could hear the corporate negligence claims in a later proceeding." *Id.* at 536, 773 S.E.2d at 145. This case is distinguishable from *Morrow* as the underlying bifurcation order in this case does not state or even suggest that the first phase of the bifurcated trial will be dispositive of any claim tried in the second phase of the trial, and the order contains no language restricting the evidence Appellants are entitled to present in either phase. Accordingly, this appeal is dismissed,² and the remittitur will be sent as provided in Rule 221 of the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules.



J.




J.



J.

Columbia, South Carolina

FILED



² Nothing prevents Appellants from appealing after trial and advancing their argument that the circuit court abused its discretion in ordering bifurcation. See *Flagstar Corp.*, 341 S.C. at 72, 533 S.E.2d at 333.

cc:

The Honorable Frank R. Addy, Jr.

The Honorable Julie J. Armstrong

John Thomas Lay, Jr., Esquire

Gray Thomas Culbreath, Esquire

John Dwight Hudson, Jr., Esquire

Robert H. Hood, Esquire

James Bernard Hood, Esquire

Mary Agnes Hood Craig, Esquire

Lindsay Anne Joyner, Esquire

John Fisher Beach, Esquire

Lyndey Ritz Zwing Bryant, Esquire

Marvin D. Infinger, Esquire

Robert H. Brunson, Esquire

Merritt Gordon Abney, Esquire

Patrick Coleman Wooten, Esquire

Miles Edward Coleman, Esquire

Florence Patricia Scarborough, Esquire

Edward G.R. Bennett, Esquire