

31 BEAR CREEK Rd  
LITTLE MTN SC 29075  
06-23-2020

The Honorable Jenny Abbott Kitchings  
South CAROLINA COURT OF APPEALS  
PO BOX 11629  
Columbia SC 29211

FAX (803)-734-1839

RE: CASE# 2018-001387

PATRICIA B. LUTZ, APPELLANT  
V.

EASTPOINT PROPERTIES

SCOLLON FAMILY PARTNERSHIPS, RESPONDENTS

**RECEIVED**

Jun 23 2020

SC Court of Appeals

DEAR MS. KITCHINGS:

I RECEIVED AT 6:40 PM, MONDAY, JUNE 22, 2020 THE RESPONSE FROM HARRELL, MARTIN, PEACE, LAW GROUP (HMP) DATED 06-18-2020 AND POSTMARKED 06-18-2020 AND 06-19-2020 TO MY REQUEST FOR AN EXTENSION TO MY CASE DUE TO COVID 19 AND THE FACT I DO NOT HAVE ANY COMPUTER ACCESS; MY PHONE WILL NOT EVEN TEXT OR TAKE MESSAGING.

I AM REQUESTING MY EXTENSION FOR MY CASE; I HAVE INFORMATION WHICH I HAVE NOT DISCLOSED IN THE PAST AND HAVE NOT BEEN ALLOWED TO DO SO. AS FAR AS H.M.P. IS CONCERNED, IT STATES IN WRITING TO THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS IN ITS LETTER TO YOU DATED 06-18-2020 INFORMATION THAT IS NOT ACCURATE.

I HAD TO WAIT FOR THE SUPREME COURT S.C. COURT OF APPEALS TO LET ME KNOW IF IT GRANTED ME PERMISSION TO CONTINUE WITH MY CASE. AFTER WAITING MONTHS, THE SC COURT OF APPEALS DID GRANT ME PERMISSION TO CONTINUE WITH MY CASE. THIS INCLUDED INSTRUCTION

UNDER NO CIRCUMSTANCE IS ANY LIBRARY, INCLUDING, THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS, ALLOWING THE PUBLIC TO RESEARCH ANYTHING ON ITS/THEIR PROPERTY DUE TO COVID-19 PANDEMIC. I HAVE NOT WASTED TIME ON THIS CASE; I HAVE TO WAIT FOR INSTRUCTION ON THIS CASE FROM THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS. I CANNOT

AND WILL NOT TAKE FOR GRANTED, ASSUME, OR TELL THE <sup>SC</sup> SUPREME COURT OF APPEALS HOW TO DO ITS BUSINESS.

AS FAR AS "CONSULT OTHER COUNSEL" IS CONCERNED, I HAVE TRIED TO GET LEGAL REPRESENTATION. H.M.P IS VERY AWARE IT THOUGHT THIS CASE HAD BEEN WRAPPED-UP YEARS AGO. MANY ATTORNEYS HAVE TOLD ME THIS CASE HAS NOT BEEN PROPERLY REPRESENTED FROM THE BEGINNING BY SEVERAL LAWYERS AND OTHER LAWYERS I HAVE SPOKEN WITH STATED THEY WOULD NOT GET INVOLVED WITH THE CASE BECAUSE THEY DID NOT WANT TO REPORT FELLOW J.D.'S; MOST IMPORTANTLY THESE LAWYERS DID NOT WANT TO HEAR ANY MORE ABOUT THIS CASE BECAUSE THEY DID NOT WANT TO BE SUBJECT TO ~~DIS~~ DISCIPLINE FOR NOT FORWARDING KNOWLEDGE TO PROPER AUTHORITIES.

I WILL MOVE FORWARD WITH MY CASE WITH FACTS THAT HAVE NOT BEEN COVERED BY COURT DUE TO CERTAIN INDIVIDUALS ATTEMPTING TO COVER-UP, SQUELCH MY CASE. I ALSO WILL USE INFORMATION THAT HAS NOT YET BEEN DISCLOSED.

THERE IS NOTHING "ALLEGED" ABOUT THE CAUSE OF MY MEDICAL CONDITIONS NOR IS ANYTHING "ALLEGED" ABOUT THE PROPERTY THAT WAS RENTED IN THE APARTMENT AND WAS SUPPLIED BY THE RESPONDENTS, MOLD IS MOLD AND I KEPT THE OTHER BAMBOO BLIND THAT WAS ALSO SUPPLIED BY THE RESPONDENTS THAT WAS KEPT IN THE OTHER BEDROOM. MEDICAL TIMELINE PROVES I BECAME SICK IN THE RESPONDENTS APARTMENT. NOBODY COULD NOT BE SICK UNDER THE CONDITION I HAD BEEN FORCED TO ENDURE DUE TO LACK OF MAINTENANCE OF THE RESPONDENTS NONCHALANT, IN YOUR FACE, TAKE IT OR LEAVE IT "AS IS" OPERATIONS. I STAYED IN THAT APARTMENT

AGAINST MY WILL, I COULD NOT FIND ANOTHER PLACE TO QUICKLY GET A PLACE TO RENT BECAUSE OF MY LACK OF INCOME OF COURT ORDERED ALIMONY AND DISABILITY.

I LEFT THE RESPONDENTS APARTMENT AS SOON AS I DID GET ANOTHER PLACE TO RENT. MY PETS AND I SUFFERED DUE TO RESPONDENTS APPEARING TO BELIEVE THEY WERE SUPERIOR TO THE LANDLORD/TENANT LAWS AND "MAINTENANCE" OF THE RESPONDENTS PROPERTIES. MY PETS AND I STILL ARE SUFFERING.

THE MOLD TEST PROVED THE RESPONDENTS BAMBOO SHADE THEY SUPPLIED PRIOR TO MY MOVING ONTO THEIR PROPERTY WAS HEAVILY LADEN WITH MOLD. I STARTED SMELLING MOLD WITHIN TWO WEEKS MOVING INTO THE APARTMENT, THIS WAS THE RESPONDENTS SUPPLIED PROPERTY, NOT MINE.

IT APPEARS ONLY A SCARED, LYING FOOL WOULD STATE "REFUSES TO DO SO FOR THE SOLE PURPOSE OF DELAYING AND CONTINUING THIS LITIGATION WITH NO END IN SIGHT." I AM NOT A LAWYER AND I NEVER TOY WITH ANYONE'S LIFE. HOWEVER, WHEN I AM HOPEFULLY GIVEN THE OPPORTUNITY TO FURTHER PURSUE MY CASE, I WILL DO SO.

RECENTLY, I HAVE SPOKEN WITH AN OUT OF TOWN APPEAL LAWYER. I HAVE AN IDEA SOME REASONS WHY THE RESPONDENTS AND H.M.P LAW GROUP AND OTHERS WANT THIS CASE SHUT-UP PLEASE, S.C. COURT OF APPEALS, GRANT ME THE EXTEN: ON THIS CASE AS THIS WILL UNCOVER WHAT HAS BEEN SUPPRESSED BY THE HMP LAW GROUP AND OTHERS.

Respectfully submitted,  
Patricia B. Lotz, Appellant  
PATRICIA B. LOTZ

FAX # MISS KITCHENS,  
S.C. COURT OF APPEALS CLERK  
(803)-734-1839  
H.M.P. LAW GROUP  
(803)-345-9171

PROOF OF SERVICE of Notice of Appeal  
THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

APPEAL FROM LEXINGTON COUNTY  
COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

THE HONORABLE JUDGE R. KNOX Mc MAHON, CIRCUIT COURT Judge

CASE # 2018-001387

PATRICIA B. LUTZ, Appellant  
V.

EASTPOINT PROPERTIES,  
SCOLLON FAMILY PARTNERSHIPS, Respondents

PROOF OF SERVICE

I CERTIFY I HAVE SERVED THE RESPONSE OF THE 06-18-2020 OF  
THE HARRELL, MARTIN, PEACE LAW GROUP, CHAPIN SC AND AM REQUESTING THE  
S.C. COURT OF APPEALS TO GIVE THE APPELLANT AN EXTENSION ON THE ABOVE REFERENCED  
CASE, CASE # 2018-001387.

I CERTIFY THIS RESPONSE ON 06-23-2020 HAS BEEN SERVED  
AND DEPOSITED IN THE US MAIL, POSTAGE PREPAID AND MAILED TO  
THE ATTORNEY OF RECORD: HARRELL, MARTIN, PEACE (HMP) LAW GROUP;  
PO BOX 1000; CHAPIN SC 29036.

DATE 06-23-2020

Patricia B. Lutz, Appellant

RECEIVED

Jun 23 2020

SC Court of Appeals