

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
COUNTY OF Greenville  
IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL CASE

CASE NO. 2020CP2300012

Redemption et al  
PLAINTIFF(S)

The Relentless Church et al  
DEFENDANT(S)

DISPOSITION TYPE (CHECK ONE)

- JURY VERDICT. This action came before the court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and a verdict rendered.
- DECISION BY THE COURT. This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision rendered.
- ACTION DISMISSED (CHECK REASON):  Rule 12(b), SCRCP;  Rule 41(a), SCRCP (Vol. Nonsuit);  Rule 43(k), SCRCP (Settled);  
 Other
- ACTION STRICKEN (CHECK REASON):  Rule 40(j), SCRCP;  Bankruptcy;  
 Binding arbitration, subject to right to restore to confirm, vacate or modify arbitration award;  
 Other
- STAYED DUE TO BANKRUPTCY
- DISPOSITION OF APPEAL TO THE CIRCUIT COURT (CHECK APPLICABLE BOX):  
 Affirmed;  Reversed;  Remanded;  
 Other

NOTE: ATTORNEYS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR NOTIFYING LOWER COURT, TRIBUNAL, OR ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCY OF THE CIRCUIT COURT RULING IN THIS APPEAL.

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED:  See attached order (formal order to follow)  Statement of Judgment by the Court:

See Page 2

ORDER INFORMATION

This order  ends  does not end the case.

See Page 2 for additional information.

For Clerk of Court Office Use Only

This judgment was electronically entered by the Clerk of Court as reflected on the Electronic Time Stamp, and a copy mailed first class to any party not proceeding in the Electronic Filing System on 07/24/2020 .

Jane Doe  
John Doe  
Hope Carpenter  
Ron Carpenter  
The Relentless Church  
Relentless Church  
Brooke Asiatico for Redemption  
Katari Buck for Redemption

**RECEIVED**  
AUG 13 2020  
SC Court of Appeals

NAMES OF TRADITIONAL FILERS SERVED BY MAIL

**Court Reporter:**

**E-Filing Note:** The date of Entry of Judgment is the same date as reflected on the Electronic File Stamp and the clerk's entering of the date of judgment above is not required in those counties. The clerk will mail a copy of the judgment to parties who are not E-Filers or who are appearing pro se. See Rule 77(d), SCRCP.

---

This matter comes before the Court upon Plaintiff's Motion to Alter, Amend and Reconsider. The Court does not feel that an additional hearing is needed to address the Motion. In its first point, Plaintiff argues that the Order was based on an argument not presented by Defendant. The Court is not aware of any rule which requires that Orders can only be based on what the parties argue, although the Order is consistent with arguments made at the hearing. Secondly, the Plaintiff argues that the Court relied on a case that had not been addressed at the hearing and had only been issued 24 hours earlier, and the parties were not allowed to put their "spin" on the case. Again, the Court is not aware of any rule that requires only using cases cited by the parties. Further, the Court has never imposed a 72 deadline on briefs. Therefore, Plaintiff's Motion is denied.

As to the issue about minor errors of fact, the Court would allow the parties 5 days to submit a short memorandum on any facts which need to be corrected.



Greenville Common Pleas

**Case Caption:** Redemption , plaintiff, et al vs. The Relentless Church , defendant, et al  
**Case Number:** 2020CP2300012  
**Type:** Order/Electronic Form 4

So Ordered

s/ Honorable Perry H. Gravely, #2755

Electronically signed on 2020-07-24 10:30:13 page 3 of 3