

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
IN THE SUPREME COURT

CERTIORARI TO CHARLESTON COUNTY
HONORABLE G. THOMAS COOPER JR., CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE

THE CHARLESTON COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

APPELLATE CASE NO. 2019-000398

SEQUOIA MCKINNON,

PETITIONER

Vs.

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA,

RESPONDENT

AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; MOTION
TO SUPPLEMENT THE JOHNSON BRIEF; AND OR IN
THE ALTERNATE, MOTION TO FILE THE PREVIOUSLY
SUBMITTED DOCUMENTS IN SUPPORT OF THE ATTORNEY'S MOTION
TO BE RELIEVED AS COUNSEL AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR

ALSO IN RE: TO PCR CASE NO. 2020-CP-10-2523 ET. AL.,

TO: THE S.C. SUPREME COURT,
THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL,

RECEIVED
AUG 14 2020
SC Court of Appeals

THE APPELLATE ATTORNEY WANDA H. CARTER,
THE CHARLESTON COUNTY COURT OF COMMON PLEAS ET. AL.,

HERE THE COURT AND PARTIES WILL FIND:

(A) A COPY OF THE RESPONSE FROM THE S.C. SUPREME COURT DATED JULY 10, 2020.

PER THAT RESPONSE. THE CLERK OF COURT STATED THAT THE SUPREME COURT WILL TAKE NO ACTION ON THE APPELLANT'S SUBMITTED DOCUMENT ENTITLED, "AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; MOTION TO STAY AND OR HOLD IN ABEYANCE CASE 2019-000398; MOTION TO CHALLENGE THE S.C. SUPREME COURT'S JURISDICTION AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR", (8) PAGES DATED JUNE 25, 2020. I OBJECT TO THIS ACTION ON THE PART OF THE S.C. SUPREME COURT.

THE S.C. SUPREME COURT CLERK STATED THAT THE S.C. SUPREME COURT WOULD NOT TAKE ANY ACTION ON THE PLEADING DUE TO CLAIMS OF "HYBRID-DEFENSE". AGAIN, I RESPECTFULLY OBJECT TO THIS POTION TAKEN BY THE S.C. SUPREME COURT. PURSUANT TO JOHNSON v. STATE, 294 S.C. 310, 364 S.E.2d. 201 (1988). THE APPELLANT, THOUGH HE HAS COUNSEL APPOINTED TO REPRESENT HIM, IS PERMITTED TO FILE BRIEF PURSUANT TO JOHNSON v. STATE.

THEREFORE, I SEEK AND MOTION THAT THE PLEADING THAT THE COURT STATED IT WOULD NOT TAKE ACTION ON, BE ACCEPTED BY THE SUPREME COURT AS A NOW SUBMITTED MOTION TO SUPPLEMENT THE APPELLANT'S SUBMITTED JOHNSON BRIEF, WHICH WOULD THEN PERMIT THE S.C. SUPREME COURT TO GIVE REVIEW AND ADJUDICATE THE PLEADING VIA THE NOW SUBMITTED MOTION TO SUPPLEMENT THE APPELLANT'S JOHNSON BRIEF BY HIS RIGHTS OF DUE PROCESS. THEREUPON, TO NOT GRANT THIS WOULD RENDER THE PROCEEDINGS UNCONSTITUTIONAL AND VOID FOR VIOLATION OF DUE PROCESS.

IN THE ALTERNATIVE. ATTORNEY WANDA H. CARTER MOTIONED TO BE RELIEVED AS COUNSEL IN THIS APPEAL. THEREFORE, THE PREVIOUSLY SUBMITTED PLEADING CAN BE REVIEWED AND OR ADJUDICATED BY THE S.C.

SUPREME COURT VIA MY NOW SUBMITTED MOTION IN SUPPORT OF ATTORNEY WANDA H. CARTER'S MOTION TO BE RELIEVED AS COUNSEL PLACING THE PLEADING IN THE CATEGORY AS A MOTION TO RELIEVE COUNSEL. THUS, I SEEK THAT THE PLEADING PREVIOUSLY SENT TO THE SUPREME COURT IN QUESTION BE SUBMITTED TO THE SUPREME COURT JUDGES FOR FAIR AND PROPER ADJUDICATION AS DUE PROCESS LAW REQUIRES.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

SEQUOIA MCKINNON -----

Sequoia McKinnon

AUGUST 8, 2020

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
IN THE SUPREME COURT

RECEIVED

AUG 12 2020

S.C. SUPREME COURT

CERTIORARI TO CHARLESTON COUNTY
HONORABLE G. THOMAS COOPER JR., CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE

THE CHARLESTON COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

RECEIVED

AUG 14 2020

SC Court of Appeals

APPELLATE CASE NO. 2019-000398

SEQUOIA MCKINNON,

PETITIONER

Vs.

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA,

RESPONDENT

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

I, SEQUOIA MCKINNON, DO HEREBY CERTIFY, THAT I HAVE MAILED AND OR SERVED A COPY OF AN AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; MOTION TO SUPPLEMENT THE JOHNSON BRIEF; AND OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE, MOTION TO FILE THE PREVIOUSLY SUBMITTED DOCUMENTS AS A MOTION IN SUPPORT OF THE ATTORNEY'S MOTION TO BE RELIEVED AS COUNSEL AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR, ON THE S.C. SUPREME COURT, THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL AND ALL INVOLVED PARTIES BY U.S. MAIL POSTAGE PREPAID, BY DEPOSITING IT IN THE INSTITUTION MAILBOX ON

AUGUST 8, 2020.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,
SEQUOIA MCKINNON

Sequoia McKinnon

AUGUST 8, 2020

SEQUOIA DONTREL MCKINNON
#368688 F3B RM. 2216
LEE C.I. 990 WISACKY HWY.
BISHOPVILLE, S.C. 29010



THE S.C. SUPREME COURT
P.O. BOX 11330
COLUMBIA, S.C. 29211

LEGAL MAIL ONLY

29211\$1330 8099

