

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA )  
 )  
COUNTY OF CHARLESTON )

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS  
NINTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

Deon Tedder, )  
 )  
Appellant, )

Case No. 2020-CP-10-2720

v. )

Board of Voter Registration and )  
Elections for Charleston County, )  
Respondent, )

**MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION**

and Jeffery Wilder, Intervenor. )

IN RE: )  
Voter Registration Challenge )

**INTERVENOR JEFFERY WILDER’S MOTION FOR  
RECONSIDERATION PURSUANT TO SOUTH CAROLINA RULES OF  
CIVIL PROCEDURE RULES 59 & 60**

Intervenor Jeffery Wilder (“Wilder”) respectfully moves the Court to:

- (1) Reconsider/vacate/amend its July 2, 2020 Order pursuant to Rule 59, SCRCP, and/or;
- (2) Affirm the decision of the Board of Voter Registration and Elections for Charleston County based upon newly discovered evidence pursuant to SCRCP 60(b)(2).

Rule 60 (b)(2) allows for relief from an order when there is newly discovered evidence that could not be have been discovered at the time of the proceeding. The Order of the Court of Common Pleas should be reversed and affirm the Board of Voter Registration and Elections for Charleston County’s June 11, 2020 order which found that Appellant was not a qualified elector at 2629 Orchid Avenue, North Charleston, SC 29405.

The transcript from the May 29, 2020 hearing noted that Tedder's Exhibit B was a sublet agreement and not an original lease from Conrex Property Management which owns the home at 2629 Orchid Avenue and is the entity Edward Pasoquen rents from. Exhibit A – Property Ownership Report. Testimony noted that subletting is not usually allowed without express permission of the landlord. There was a request for an opportunity to obtain the document and submit post hearing, noting that if he was not approved by the landlord that Tedder's residency was not valid. (Tr. P. 16, ll.24-2 and Tr. P. 17, ll. 1-18).

Due to COVID-19 the Charleston Office of Conrex Property Management like many businesses has not been in full operation on site. Counsel has continued to seek out representatives from the company to obtain company policy and the lease of Edward Pasoquen or the standard lease for which Mr. Pasoquen would have executed to establish his tenancy with the company. Conrex Residential Lease Agreement and Conrex Statement of Rental Policy are attached herein. These documents were secured on July 10, 2020. See Exhibit B – Residential Lease & Exhibit C –Statement of Rental Policy. The lease is the standard agreement used in the Charleston office and section 6 USE & OCCUPANCY OF PROPERTY located on page 6 clearly states that only the person(s) listed on the lease are permitted to reside there and if a change in occupancy is desired it requires **written consent** of the Landlord and the same is **documented** in an **amendment** to their standard lease before occupancy can change, (emphasis added). The Statement of Rental Policy states in the **Roommates** section that each must qualify separately in all areas of the rental policy.

Tedder has presented a sublease that is NOT labeled as a part of Conrex Property documentation. To establish that he has permission to live on the premises

of 2629 Orchid Avenue, North Charleston, SC 29405, Tedder would have to have provided the Board of Voter Registration with a Conrex Lease Addendum. S.C. Code Ann. §27-35-60 states that subleases by a tenant without written consent of the landlord are a nullity. Without the express permission of Conrex Property Management, Tedder could not and should not be considered a resident at 2629 Orchid Avenue, North Charleston, SC 29405.

Alternatively, if the Court does not accept the change on the basis of the newly discovered evidence, Wilder seeks reconsideration under Rule 59 on the basic premise that there is no valid sublease agreement presented by Tedder despite his statement in his Affidavit. Those words hold no validity if they are not premised on a lawful ability to reside at the property. If the true owner has not consented to the occupancy, then the tenancy is not valid. In light of all the contradictory evidence submitted below, Tedder's affidavit alone does not hold firm to meet the requirements of S.C. Code Ann §7-1-25(D).

Respectfully submitted,

SPANN WILDER LAW, LLC

By: /s/ Tiffany R. Spann-Wilder

Tiffany R. Spann-Wilder

2131 Dorchester Road

North Charleston, SC 29405

(843) 266-7792 (phone)

(843)266-7797 (fax)

*Attorney for Intervenor*

North Charleston, SC

July 11, 2020

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA )  
 )  
COUNTY OF CHARLESTON )

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS  
NINTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

Deon Tedder, )  
 )  
Appellant, )

Case No. 2020-CP-10-2720

v. )

Board of Voter Registration and )  
Elections for Charleston County, )  
 )  
Respondent, )

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

and Jeffery Wilder, Intervenor. )

IN RE: )  
Voter Registration Challenge )

I certify, Tiffany Spann-Wilder, an attorney with Spann Wilder Law, LLC certifies that on July 11, 2020 I served a copy of the MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION in the above action to the following persons via electronic service to wit:

**Sam Howell, Esquire**  
**Charleston County Board of Voter Registration & Elections**  
**PO BOX 1768**  
**Charleston, SC 29402**  
**E-MAIL: [samhowell@bond-law.com](mailto:samhowell@bond-law.com) & E-FILE**

**Mark Peper, Esquire**  
**Counsel for Appellant Tedder**  
**548 Savannah Highway**  
**Charleston, SC 29407**  
**E-MAIL – [marke@peperlawfirm.com](mailto:marke@peperlawfirm.com) & E-FILE**

/s/ Tiffany R. Spann-Wilder