

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
IN THE SUPREME COURT

RECEIVED

SEP 28 2020

S.C. SUPREME COURT

APPEAL FROM ANDERSON COUNTY
CIRCUIT COURT JUDGE

APPELLATE CASE No. 2020-001017

CHARLES PICKENS, APPELLANT.

V.

LILLIAN V. MEADOWS, RESPONDENT.

EXPLANATION.

CHARLES PICKENS #369953
McCOMB CORRECTIONAL INST
356-REDEMPTION WAY
McCOMB, SC 29899

Lillian V. Meadows
ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL
Post Office Box 11549
Columbia SC, 29211-1549

TABLE OF CONTENTS.

1. SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION, THE TRIAL COURT ACTED WITHOUT JURISDICTION.
2. UNCONSTITUTIONAL LAWS, MISSING THE GREAT SEAL OF SOUTH CAROLINA, IN VIOLATION OF ARTICLE, 3 SECTION, 18
1993 ACT. No. 184, AND 1995 ACT. No. 7.

Argument.

APPELLANT MAY CHALLENGE SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION AT ANY TIME. APPELLANT IS WRONGFULLY IN PRISON BECAUSE THE LAWS OF SOUTH CAROLINA, IS VOID. BECAUSE THE LACK OF THE GREAT SEAL OF SOUTH CAROLINA.

WITHOUT JURISDICTION, COURT CANNOT PROCEED AT ALL IN ANY CASE. APPELLANT IS SERVING, A UNCONSTITUTIONAL SENTENCE AND CONVICTION. JURISDICTION IS [POWER] TO DECLARE LAW, AND WHEN IT CEASES TO EXIST. THE ONLY FUNCTION REMAINING TO COURT IS THAT ANNOUNCING THE FACT, AND RULE *SUA SPONTE*, IN APPELLANT'S FAVOR.

"SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION CANNOT BE CURED" AND, IF COURT DOES NOT HAVE JURISDICTION, IT DOES NOT HAVE POWER TO PRESIDE OVER CASE.

"THE CONSTITUTION IS THE HIGHEST LAW OF THE LAND"

THE SUPREME COURT HAS ALSO HELD THAT IF A COURT "WARNS"
AGAINST THE CONSTITUTION, OR IF HE ACTS WITHOUT JURISDICTION,
HE ACTS WITHOUT JURISDICTION, IT'S ENGAGING IN TREASON
TO THE CONSTITUTION.

IN A CASE WHERE SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION IS
LACKING, THE ACTION (MUST) BE RULED IN APPELLANT FAVOR.

WHERE THE COURT DOES NOT HAVE SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION,
IT SHOULD REFRAIN FROM ANY FURTHER EXERCISE OF POWER.

WHEN OPPOSING PARTY SUBMITS FALSIFIED DOCUMENTS, OR LIES,
AS HE IS AN OFFICER OF THE COURT THIS AMOUNTS TO FRAUD UPON
THE COURT AND VOIDS THE WHOLE PROCEEDING.

THE INVALID AND VOID, INDICTMENTS IN WHICH, APPELLANT
IS SERVED HIS SENTENCE ON. IF THE LAW IS VOID,
THEN THE GRAND JURY INDICTMENTS IS VOID.

RESPONDENT, LILLIAN L. MEADOWS, ESQUIRE, ARE WARING
AGAINST THE CONSTITUTION, AND THE COURT SEEMS TO STEERING
IN THERE FAVOR, AND, THEY ARE ACTING WITHOUT JURISDICTION,
THEY'RE ENGAGING IN TREASON TO THE CONSTITUTION.

APPELLANT CASE SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED, OR DENIED RELIEF.

BECAUSE, THERE IS NO TIME BARRIER ON ATTACKING JUDGEMENT AS

VOID. 12. FEDERAL CIVIL PROCEDURE - 2658

NEW YORK LIFE INS. CO. U. Group, 84 F.3d 137 (5TH Cir 1996).

APPELLANT SENTENCE AND CONVICTION IS NULL AND VOID.

RELIEF IS NOT A DISCRETIONARY MATTER, IT IS MANDATORY.

AUGERMENT.

UN CONSTITUTIONAL LAWS, "NEVER" BEEN ENACTED INTO LAW, IF NOT SEAL WITH THE GREAT SEAL OF THE STATE.

APPELLANT RECEIVED A LETTER, FROM A MR STEVE D. TUTTLE, FROM THE SOUTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF ARCHIVES AND HISTORY. IN WHICH HE STATES, HE CHECKED THE FOLLOWING ACTS THAT YOU REQUESTED AND FOUND THAT EACH ACT DOES NOT HAVE A VISIBLE IMPRESSION OF THE GREAT SEAL. 1993 Act No 184 AND 1995 Act No. 7

NO VISIBLE SEALS ATTACHED. MISSING, SEAL MAKES STATE LAWS INVALID. AND, UN CONSTITUTIONAL BILLS.

SOUTH CAROLINA GREAT SEAL MISSING, FROM OVER 100 LAWS. SOUTH CAROLINA SEAL'S ABSENCE FROM LAWS.

"NO BILL OR JOINT RESOLUTION SHALL HAVE THE "FORCE OF LAW" UNLESS IT SHALL HAVE BEEN READ THREE TIMES AND ON THREE SEVERAL DAYS IN EACH HOUSE, HAS HAD THE GREAT SEAL OF THE STATE AFFIXED TO IT. AND, HAS BEEN SIGNED BY THE PRESIDENT OF THE SENATE AND THE SPEAKER OF THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES.

IT'S INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL. THE CONSTITUTION GUARANTEES EFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE.

IT'S PROSECUTORIAL MISCONDUCT, BY THE ANDERSON COUNTY SOLICITOR'S OFFICE, AND, A FUNDAMENTAL MISCARriage OF JUSTICE.

WHICH PLACES APPELLANT FALSE IMPRISONMENT, IT'S MISCONDUCT IN OFFICE, THE ANDERSON COUNTY, SOLICITOR'S OFFICE HAS USED THESE INVALID, NULL, AND VOID LAWS TO PUNISH ME.

THESE LAWS HAVE BEEN INVALID, NULL AND VOID FOR TWENTY FIVE (25) YEARS TODAY.

"WITHOUT THE GREAT SEAL AFFIXED TO THEM."

56-5-2945 56-5-2910, - TRAFFIC OFFENSES

ARTICLE III. SECTION 18 OF SOUTH CAROLINA'S CONSTITUTION PLAINLY STATES, "NO BILL OR JOINT RESOLUTION SHALL HAVE THE FORCE OF LAW UNTIL IT SHALL HAVE BEEN READ THREE TIMES AND ON THREE SEVERAL DAYS IN EACH HOUSE, HAS HAD THE GREAT SEAL OF THE STATE AFFIXED TO IT,...

THIS IS A MOCKERY OF JUSTICE, AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE, THIS IS A SUBJECT-MATTER JURISDICTION ISSUE, WHICH CAN BE VEEGATED AT ANYTIME, AND CANNOT BE WAIVED BY EITHER PARTY, AND SHOULD BE RULED ON SUA SPONTE IN APPELLANTS FAVOR.

CONCLUSION.

WHEREFORE, APPELLANT PRAYS HIS SENTENCE OF THE COURT
WILL BE VACATED.

Charles Pickens

CHARLES PICKENS, 369953

PRO-SE VITIGANT

SEPT 17, 2020