

RECEIVED

SEP 28 2020

SC Court of Appeals

The South Carolina Court of Appeals)
)
The State, Respondent,)
v.)
)
Miquell Lutron Bryan,)
Appellant.)
_____)

Appellate Case No. 2020- 000075
Trial Court Case No. 201 GS1003228

SPECIAL JUDICAL COGNIZACE RULE 21(c)(d)(e)(f)
OF CONSTITUTIONAL VIOLATIONS

COMES NOW Miquell Lutron Bryan, ("Appellant") in his proper person as a belligerent claimant by special limited appearance with the reservation of all rights, remedies and defenses statutorial or procedural. I am with TITLE 42 SEC. 1986 certifiable knowledge of the law and I am representing the name on the docket. Is before this Honorable Court, puts this court on judicial notice to look closely at the 13th of August 2020 Order from this court that violates the undersigned's Due Process right to self-represent his person before the court. Moves this court with this motion and United States Supreme Court Cases to further prove that this court is in error.

Under the Farretta Doctrine and under the U.S. Supreme Court Cases McAlister vs. Henkel, 201 U.S. 90, 26 S. Ct. 385, 50 L. Ed. 671; & US v Johnson, 76 F. Supp 538, 540 (1947).


Held: A lawyer or (Prosecutor) cannot claim that you have rights." U.S. v. Johnson, 76 f. Supp. 538

Also it is a conflict of interest as the attorney's first duty is to the courts and the public, not to the client and wherever the duties to his client conflict with those he owes as an officer of the court in the administration of justice, the former must yield to the latter ." (emphasis on officer of the court) Corpus Juris Secundum (CJS) Volume 7, Section 4, Attorney & Client

The administrative law judge Perry M. Buckner III violated the appellants due process to be allowed to represent himself by failing to give the Farretta Warning on and for the record at the 7th of January 2020 Trial and this court is in error with its previous order as the South Carolina Supreme Court Chief Justice Beatty made this point very clear with the "Rush to Judgement " news article Nov 16, 2016 about the clear violation of defendants 6th Amendment Clause and the like.

I SO MOVE:

Respectfully submitted juris et de jure, by



Miquell Lutron Bryan #282448
Ridgeland CI CB31
C/O 5 Correctional Drive
Ridgeland, South Carolina [29306]

At Ridgeland County, South Carolina

Dated: August 29, 2020

STATE SOUTH CAROLINA
COUNTY AT CHARLESTON

IN THE COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Plaintiff,

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

vs.

Appellate Case No. 2020-000075

Miguel Bryan

Defendant,

Trial Case #2017 GS1003228

I Miguel Bryan by limited appearance via motion / application certify I served a copy of Amended motion to terminate attorney of record/ motion for a free copy of transcript See Griffin v. Ill 351 U.S.12 (1956) in this action, 29th of August, 2020 TO THE CLERKS OF THE GENERAL SESSSIONS COURT.

by Special limited appearance to the clerk of court at General Sessions Court at:

100 BROAD STREET
Charleston, South Carolina 29401

cc. Clerk of Supreme Court
1231 Gervais Street
Columbia, South Carolina 29201

William M. Blich
1000 Assembly Street
Columbia, South Carolina 29401

Stephanie B. Linder
101 Meeting Street
Charleston, South Carolina 29401

RECEIVED

SEP 28 2020

SC Court of Appeals

All rights expressly reserved

This 29th day of August _____ 2020


by: Miguel Bryan
Miguel Bryan #282448
Ridgeland CI CB31
5 Correctional Road
Ridgeland, South Carolina [29936]

Miguel Bryon #282448

Ridgeland CJ CB31

5 Correctional Road

Ridgeland, South Carolina [29306]



RECEIVED
SEP 28 2020
SC Court of Appeals

Clerk of Court of Appeals
120 Senate Street
Columbia, South Carolina 29201

