

**RICHLAND COUNTY PUBLIC DEFENDER
YOUTH REENTRY PROGRAM**

1420 HENDERSON ST.
POST OFFICE BOX 192
COLUMBIA, SC 29201

PHONE (803) 766-5174
FAX (803) 766-5180

October 9, 2020
E-FILING AND U.S. MAIL

The Honorable Jenny Abbott Kitchings
Clerk, South Carolina Court of Appeals
Post Office Box 11629
Columbia, South Carolina 29211
F: (803) 734-1839
Ctappfilings@sccourts.org

RECEIVED
Oct 09 2020
SC Court of Appeals

Re: T.D, by and through his guardians, A.D. and J.D., v. Richland County School
District Two
Appellate Case No. 2019-001603

Dear Ms. Kitchings:

This letter is requesting clarification of the Chief Judge's order and Clerk's letter both dated September 18, 2020, in the case referenced. The Chief Judge issued an order denying Appellants' Motion to Seal, but apparently granting Appellants' alternative request not to publish certain documents on the C-Track public access system. The order states "[t]he documents enumerated in the motion that Appellants wish to be sealed shall be filed in a separate volume of the record on appeal. This separate volume will not be available on C-Track public access." The letter from the Clerk of Court stated that Appellants must serve and file an amended record on appeal that includes the separate volume of the record on appeal.

My question is whether the Court is requesting an amended record which reorders and renumbers the entire record on appeal, even though doing so will reorganize information in a way that is inconsistent with Rule 210(c), SCACR. Or, in the alternative, whether the Court would like Appellants to refile the existing record with current organization and page numbers, but broken into volumes which may be published on C-Track or not. Of course, the former would require the refiling of final briefs by both parties.

Thanking you in advance for any clarification you can provide on this matter.

Sincerely,

S/ D. Michael Mathison
Attorney for Appellants

CC: Jasmine R. Drain, Esq.
Vernie L. Williams, Esq.
Attorneys for the Respondent