

Jenny A. Kitchings, Clerk
P.O. Box 11629
Columbia, S.C. 29211

RECEIVED

OCT 09 2020

SC Court of Appeals

RE: State v. Gregory K. Green
CASE No.: 2019-001924

ATTN: South Carolina Court of Appeals Justices:

I AM writing this letter as advised by state appointed Appellate Counsel Wanda H. Carter, on September 25, 2020, when I called via collect call wondering why an ANDER'S BRIEF WAS SUBMITTED ON MY CASE. SHE ADVISED ME THAT THE BRIEF WAS SUBMITTED SO I COULD AMEND ANY ISSUES I DEEMED IMPORTANT THAT MIGHT HAVE BEEN OVERLOOKED.

SHE ALSO STATED, CONTRARY TO MY THOUGHTS, THAT MY CASE WAS BEING DISREGARDED BECAUSE OF HER ~~ADJOINING~~ ADJOINED BRIEF ONLY RAISED ONE (1) ISSUE, THAT ALL MY PRE-TRIAL MOTIONS AND OBJECTIONS DURING TRIAL WOULD BE ARGUED AND MY WHOLE CASE WOULD BE OVERVIEWED IN ITS ENTIRETY.

I WAS WONDERING IF THE THE ~~RE~~ AUDIO FROM MY TRIAL WOULD BE OBSERVED DUE TO THE "STRICKENED TESTIMONY" TOLD TO BE DISREGARDED BY THE JURY AND THE ~~DISMISSAL~~ MOTION FOR DISMISSAL

citing the "fruit of the poisonous tree" doctrine are not in the written transcript. The matter of my illegal seizure due to perjured affidavits and the subsequent perjured testimony at my preliminary hearing that commenced my criminal proceeding are the most important factors of my case, I feel needs to be addressed because solicitor Waring insisted probable cause was deemed at the preliminary, but was elicited only after false testimony by the lead detective, which he admitted during his testimony at my trial after the transcript of my pre-lim was produced by my trial attorney. That was "twice" that officer Sanchez displayed deliberately falsified information with reckless disregard for the truth.

Also, I question how did the Solicitor Richard Waring have knowledge of a photo-line-up given to an eye witness, but was never placed in my Rule 5 motion of discovery during his re-cross examination of Det. Sanchez. That photo line-up further proves the falsification of statements included in my arrest warrant. I would like to have the fourth amendment violation and Brady violation invoked by Det. Sanchez looked into.

As stated earlier this letter format was

told to be acceptable by the Appellate Court to bring up issues by my attorney Wanda H. Carter whom I am also forwarding a copy of this letter.

List of errors I feel need to be reviewed:

1. Illegal search and seizure, as stated above
2. Brady violation, as stated above
3. Prosecutorial misconduct, solicitor knowing of falsification in warrant application and not addressing it; officer withholding exculpatory evidence that was known by solicitor not produced to defense
4. Contamination of evidence: crime scene investigator admitting to putting multiple cigarette butts together in evidence bag from out of trash can.

5. Why trial court judge allowed jail calls and visits into trial because appellant's seizure was illegal due to warrant falsified with fraudulent probable cause as argued by trial court defense counsel TERRESA L. NORRIS and Taylor J. S. Stewart if appellant had not been illegally detained, none of those calls or visits would have taken place. The warrant

is the "TREE" OF POISON, the phone calls and visits ARE "FRUITS OF THE POISONOUS TREE".

6. AFTER OFC. SANCHEZ ADMITTED TO PRESENTING FALSE TESTIMONY AT THE PRELIMINARY HEARING AND ~~RECEIVED~~ FALSIFYING THE AFFIDAVIT TO RECEIVE APPLICANT'S ARREST WARRANT, WHY DID THE TRIAL COURT JUDGE DENY DEFENSE COUNSEL'S MOTION FOR DISMISSAL DURING HER ~~RECEIVED~~ RECITATION OF THE WONG SUN DOCTRINE DURING TRIAL. (WHICH IS AVAILABLE ON AUDIO OF TRIAL.)

7. THE DNA RESULTS OF ITEM 25 EXHIBIT 84 AS STATED BY DNA ANALYSIS EXPERT DONNA MONEY CLEARLY STATES, AS APPELLANT HAS VOICED SINCE THE BEGINNING THAT HE HAD NOTHING TO DO WITH THIS CRIME, APPELLANT COULD NOT HAVE BEEN THE PERSON TO PUT THE DNA OF THE VICTIM IN THE CO-DEFENDANT'S CAR. IT WAS PLACED BY AN UNRELATED UNKNOWN INDIVIDUAL AS STATED BY THE EXPERT WITNESS DONNA MONEY.

8. ANY OTHER ARGUMENTS I FEEL RELEVANT TO MY CASE.

9. I would like to have counsel representation because I am not vastly knowledgeable of the law and procedures.

Respectfully,

GREGORY K. GREEN
990 Wisacky Hwy.
Bishopville, S.C. 29010
PRO SE

Gregory K Green #299039

GREGORY K. GREEN, 299039
LEE C.I. F-4 # 2254
990 Wisacky Hwy.
Bishopville, S.C. 29010



U.S. POSTAGE >> PITNEY BOWES



ZIP 29010 \$ 000.65⁰
02 4W
0000373846 OCT. 06. 2020

RECEIVED

OCT 09 2020

SC Court of Appeals

Ms. JENNY A. Kitchings,
CLERK OF COURT
P. O. BOX 11629
COLUMBIA, S.C. 29211

LEGAL MAIL ONLY

2921181629 5012

