

# The Supreme Court of South Carolina

Eunice McCall, #314833, Petitioner,

v.

State of South Carolina, Respondent.






Appellate Case No. 2012-213542

---

## ORDER

---

By order dated February 13, 2013, this Court denied a motion to be relieved filed by petitioner's counsel because there was not sufficient information in the motion to show that counsel had met the requirements of Rule 71.1(g), SCRCP, and Rule 602(e), SCRCP. Counsel has now filed a motion for reconsideration in which he sets forth the steps he has taken to fulfill his duties under the rules. Petitioner has not responded to either motion. We grant the motion for reconsideration and the motion to be relieved as counsel. Petitioner shall, within thirty days of the date of this order, either provide an affidavit of indigency to the Commission on Indigent Defense, Division of Appellate Defense, or inform this Court who will be representing him in this matter. Failure to provide this information will result in the notice of appeal being dismissed.

 C.J.  
 J.  
 J.  
 J.  
 J.

Columbia, South Carolina

March 20, 2013

cc:

Hemphill P. Pride, II  
Megan Elizabeth Harrigan  
Eunice McCall, 314833