

RECEIVED

OCT 27 2020

SC Court of Appeals

The South Carolina Court of Appeals

V. Claire Allen

South Beach Village Bluff Apartments Horizontal Property Regime No 56 Inc.
Respondent

v.

Zbigniew Marek Drzazgowski and Alicja Anna Drzazgowski

Of whom Zbigniew Marek Drzazgowski

Appellate Case No. 2019-001420

Flashback and Presentation of Facts on Appeal Case No. 2019-001420

It is very important to understand how the US Post Office works. When you sending the Certified Mail, the clerk of the US Post Office informs you about the time of delivery of the parcel from CT to SC. It is is 4-5 days.

The Court Of Appeals requirement is 10 days for answer. In these 10 required days, there is Sunday. Additionally, I always get mail from the Court of Appeals on Saturday and the next day there is a Sunday. So the 10 days for response includes two Sundays. In this situation I need 12 days to send correspondence back and forth. 10 days is technically and practically impossible for US Post Office to send to CT and back to SC. Where is the preparation time that I should have to respond?

The argument "failure to comply with this court's request within ten days" was the primary element in dismissing my Appeal Case for Brooks Roberts Fudenberg and the Judge of the Court of Appeals.

The next element regarding the failure to fulfill the Initial Brief was identical in the statement of these two gentlemen: Brooks Roberts Fudenberg and the Judge of the Court of Appeals despite that the original Initial Brief was sent few months ago.

The 10 days element does not allow me to fully analyze the received materials.

This is an example provided by Jannine M. Mutterer: in her proof of delivery on August 26, 2020 has missing a very important detail in small print "Note. Actual Recipient Name may vary if the intended recipient is not available at the time of delivery" (please see attachment- sample of USPS delivery form). Important detail but omitted, in my opinion intentionally, in the proof of delivery presented via South Beach Village.... Delivery time 11.04 am. I need to point out that my wife and I are still working and at 11.04 we are at work. Besides, my wife and I are on the Appeal, and the proof of delivery is for one person.

An additional attachment from the Notary Public, Taylor Hubbard, stated that I signed the documents sent to me . Was the Taylor Hubbard there at the time of my signing? It appears that the South Beach Village is creating documents that are counterfeit.

I still firmly state, that I have never received this parcel.

I will try to briefly recall the course of the whole case with South Beach Village...

1. 2015, burglary into my apartment (South Beach Village), that makes a lot of damage. The apartment is my rental business. No invoice, despite multiple requests regarding door and lock replacement. (in any business it is required to submit detailed invoice)

2. In 2019 South Beach Village... opened case at the Court Common Pleas in Beaufort, Case No. 2019-CP-07-01326, without delivery of summons. The court accepted the documents without a certificate of delivery of summons, (signature is required by the law to open the case) to me and my wife.

3. Without proper procedure, the Judge makes a decision that I was guilty. Without my or my wife presence at the Court. Court knew that my wife and I did not have summons and we did not know the details of the case to defend. I asked the Court and South Beach Village to deliver summons twice. No response from both sides, Court and South Beach Village.

4. Long process of the Appeal Court cause that I was losing a lot of time in my business to provide all required by the Court all the explanations, correspondence, and statements. In this situation my cost of my additional work expend to extra 64 hours. My rates per hour is \$160.00, which accumulates to total requested amount of \$42,802.52 .

October 21, 2020

Sincerely


Zbigniew Drzazgowski

cc:

Jannine M. Mutterer

Honorable Marvin H. Dukes III, Judge

RECEIVED

OCT 27 2020

SC Court of Appeals

PROOF OF SERVICE OF NOTICE OF APPEAL

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM BEAUFORT COUNTY
COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

Case No. 2019-CP-07-01326

South Beach Village Bluff Apartments Horizontal Regime No. 56 Inc.
Respondent

v.

Zbigniew M. Drzazgowski and Alicja A. Drzazgowski, Appellants,

Of whom Zbigniew Marek Drzazgowski is the Appellant

Appelilate Case No. 2019-001420


PROOF OF SERVICE

I certify that I have swerved the Notice of Answer to The South Carolina Court of Appeals regarding court Order, Designation of Matter, and proof of Service to South Beach Village Bluff Apartments Horizontal Property Regime No. 56 Inc. and his attorney Jannine M. Mutterer, and Honorable Marvin H. Dukes II, Court Judge.

October 21 , 2020

cc:

Sincerely


Zbigniew M. Drzazgowski

Marvin H. Dukes III, Court Judge
Jannine M. Mutterer South Beach...

US Post Office invoice sample



July 11, 2018

Dear Acme Co:

The following is in response to your request for proof of delivery on your item with the tracking number 9210 8699 9391 1032 7341 10.

Item Details

Status:	Delivered, In/At Mailbox
Status Date / Time:	June 30, 2018, 11:30 am
Location:	DUBLIN, CA 94568-7579
Postal Product:	Priority Mail®
Extra Services:	Signature Confirmation™ Up to \$100 insurance included

Recipient Name: JDOE
Actual Recipient Name: J.DOE

Note: Actual Recipient Name may vary if the intended recipient is not available at the time of delivery.

Shipment Details

Weight: 3lb, 3.0oz

Destination Delivery Address

Street Address: 5130 HACIENDA DR
City, State ZIP Code: DUBLIN, CA 94568-7579

Recipient Signature

Signature of Recipient:	<i>Sam Jones</i>
Address of Recipient:	<i>123 Main</i>

Note: Scanned image may reflect a different destination address due to Intended Recipient's delivery instructions on

Thank you for selecting the United States Postal Service® for your mailing needs. If you require assistance, please contact your local Post Office™ or a Postal representative at 1-800-222-1811

Sincerely,
 United States Postal Service®
 475 L'Enfant Plaza SW
 Washington, D.C. 20260-0004

South Beech Village
INVOICE



August 25, 2020

Dear Jannine Mutterer:

The following is in response to your request for proof of delivery on your item with the tracking number:
9415 5118 9956 3086 4795 14.

Item Details

Status:	Delivered, Left with Individual
Status Date / Time:	August 10, 2020, 11:04 am
Location:	UNIONVILLE, CT 06085
Postal Product:	First-Class Mail®
Extra Services:	Certified Mail™ Return Receipt Return Receipt Electronic
Recipient Name:	Zibigniew Marek Drzazgowski

Shipment Details

Weight: 1.0oz

Recipient Signature

Signature of Recipient:

ONE CH CDM
LALE ANDRZEJ

Address of Recipient:

9 W DISTRICT RD
UNIONVILLE, CT 06085

Note: Scanned image may reflect a different destination address due to Intended Recipient's delivery instructions on file.

Thank you for selecting the United States Postal Service® for your mailing needs. If you require additional assistance, please contact your local Post Office™ or a Postal representative at 1-800-222-1811.

Sincerely,
United States Postal Service®
475 L'Enfant Plaza SW
Washington, D.C. 20260-0004



7020 1290 0001 5511 2703

22 OCT 20
PM 7L



1000



29211

U.S. POSTAGE
FCM LETTER
UNIONVILLE,
06085
OCT 22, 20
AMOUNT

\$4.2

R2304E10686

The South Carolina Court of Appeals
v. Claire Allen - Chief Deputy Clerk
P.O. Box 11629
Columbia, SC 29211

RECEIVED

OCT 27 2020

SC Court of Appeals

29211-162929

