

The South Carolina Court of Appeals

The State, Respondent,

v.

Matthew David Arnold, Appellant.

Appellate Case No. 2020-000792

ORDER

After careful consideration of counsel for Appellant's filings as well as Appellant's pro se filings, Appellant has failed to provide a sufficient explanation as required by Rule 203(d)(1)(B)(iv) of the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules (SCACR). Accordingly, this matter is dismissed, and the remittitur will be sent as required by Rule 221(b), SCACR.¹



FOR THE COURT

Columbia, South Carolina

cc:

Melinda Inman Butler, Esquire
Robert Michael Dudek, Esquire
John Cleveland Anthony, Esquire
Alan McCrory Wilson, Esquire
William M. Blich, Jr., Esquire

FILED
Nov 12 2020

¹ To the extent Appellant's October 8, 2020 letter was a motion to relieve counsel, the motion is denied.