

University Hill Neighborhood Association  
PLAINTIFF(S)

City Of Columbia et al  
DEFENDANT(S)

**DISPOSITION TYPE (CHECK ONE)**

- JURY VERDICT.** This action came before the court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and a verdict rendered.
- DECISION BY THE COURT.** This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision rendered.
- ACTION DISMISSED (*CHECK REASON*):**  Rule 12(b), SCRPC;  Rule 41(a), SCRPC (Vol. Nonsuit);  Rule 43(k), SCRPC (Settled);  
 Other
- ACTION STRICKEN (*CHECK REASON*):**  Rule 40(j), SCRPC;  Bankruptcy;  
 Binding arbitration, subject to right to restore to confirm, vacate or modify arbitration award;  
 Other
- STAYED DUE TO BANKRUPTCY**
- DISPOSITION OF APPEAL TO THE CIRCUIT COURT (*CHECK APPLICABLE BOX*):**  
 Affirmed;  Reversed;  Remanded;  
 Other

NOTE: ATTORNEYS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR NOTIFYING LOWER COURT, TRIBUNAL, OR ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCY OF THE CIRCUIT COURT RULING IN THIS APPEAL.

**IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED:**  See attached order (formal order to follow)  Statement of Judgment by the Court:

A hearing was held by Webex on September 11, 2020 on Motions to Dismiss filed by City of Columbia, City of Columbia Design and Development Review Commission (DDRC), and Trinitas Ventures, LLC. Dick Harpootlian was present on behalf of Plaintiff University Hill Neighborhood Association, Lyndey R.Z. Bryant on behalf of Trinitas Ventures, LLC, Peter Balthazor on behalf of DDRC, and M. McMullen Taylor on behalf of City of Columbia. The Court entered an Order Denying Defendants' Motions to Dismiss on October 7, 2020. Subsequently, Trinitas Ventures, LLC and DDRC filed a joint Rule 59(e) Motion to Alter or Amend the October 7 Order, and City of Columbia filed a solo Rule 59(e) Motion. Plaintiff filed a Response to Defendants' Rule 59(e), SCRPC, Motions to Alter or Amend Order on November 10, 2020. After consideration of the October 7 Order, the Rule 59(e) Motions from all Defendants, and Plaintiff's Response, the Court DENIES both Rule 59(e) Motions to Alter or Amend.

**ORDER INFORMATION**

This order  ends  does not end the case.  See Page 2 for additional information.

**For Clerk of Court Office Use Only**

This judgment was electronically entered by the Clerk of Court as reflected on the Electronic Time Stamp, and a copy mailed first class to any party not proceeding in the Electronic Filing System on 11/18/2020 .

**RECEIVED**  
**Dec 03 2020**  
**SC Court of Appeals**

**NAMES OF TRADITIONAL FILERS SERVED BY MAIL**

**Court Reporter:**

**E-Filing Note:** The date of Entry of Judgment is the same date as reflected on the Electronic File Stamp and the clerk's entering of the date of judgment above is not required in those counties. The clerk will mail a copy of the judgment to parties who are not E-Filers or who are appearing pro se. See Rule 77(d), SCRCP.

---



Richland Common Pleas

**Case Caption:** University Hill Neighborhood Association vs City Of Columbia ,  
defendant, et al

**Case Number:** 2020CP4003475

**Type:** Order/Electronic Form 4

So Ordered

s/L. Casey Manning, 2061