

The Supreme Court of South Carolina

J. Daniel Mahoney, Respondent,

v.

The Muhler Company, Inc. and Henry Hay III, in his individual capacity, Petitioners.

Appellate Case No. 2020-000370

ORDER

Petitioners move for an extension of time to serve and file their reply brief. In light of the fact that petitioners previously received an extension of twenty days or greater in this matter,¹ and because counsel has failed to cite any extraordinary reasons for granting this extension, the motion is denied. *RE: Extensions in Cases Seeking a Petition for a Writ of Certiorari to Review a Decision of the South Carolina Court of Appeals*, S.C. Sup. Ct. Order dated July 16, 2014, available at: <https://www.sccourts.org/whatsnew/displaywhatsnew.cfm?indexID=963> (permitting extensions beyond twenty days when parties seek review under Rule 242 of the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules "only if extraordinary circumstances such as illness or other circumstances beyond the control of the movant warrant the granting of the extension. The parties are warned that the press of other business is not an extraordinary circumstance which will warrant the granting of an extension").

 C.J.
FOR THE COURT

¹ This Court granted petitioner an extension of twenty days to serve and file the reply to the petition for a writ of certiorari on April 15, 2020. Further, the Court granted the parties' consent motion for an extension, and the matter was held in abeyance from September 4, 2020 to October 16, 2020.

Columbia, South Carolina

December 15, 2020

cc:

Andrew K. Epting, Jr., Esquire

Jaan Gunnar Rannik, Esquire

Clayton B. McCullough, Esquire

Jeffrey Michael Domanico, Esquire