

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA) THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
 THE COUNTY OF RICHLAND ET. AL.) THE 5TH. JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
) ET. AL.,
 LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD AKA)
 JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T.)
 TISHBITE ET. AL.,) CASE(S) NO. 2006-CP-400-3567
) ET. AL.,
 PLAINTIFF(S))

Vs.) AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING
) JUDICIAL NOTICE; MOTION TO
) CHALLENGE THE COURT OF
) COMMON PLEAS JURISDICTION;
) MOTION FOR DEFAULT AND

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA) JUDGMENT; AND MOTION TO
 ET. AL.,) MOTION THEREFOR

DEFENDANT(S)

RECEIVED
 DEC 17 2020
 SC Court of Appeals

IN RE: CASE(S) 2006-CP-400-3567, 3568, 2569; 2013-CP-400-0084;
 9:20-cv-2139-TLW-BM; 2019-CP-08-1992; 9:19-cv-1400-TLW-BM;
~~9:18-cv-01408-TLW-BM; 1:18-cv-13459-NLW ET AL~~

TO: JUDGE NEWMAN,
 THE S.C. COURT OF COMMON PLEAS,
 THE 5TH. CIRCUIT SOLICITOR'S OFFICE,
 THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL,
 THE S.C. SUPREME COURT,
 THE S.C. U.S. DISTRICT COURT MULTI-DISTRICT PANEL ET.
 AL.,

HERE ATTACHED THE COURT AND PARTIES WILL FIND:

(1) EXHIBIT, "E.H. COOPER ACCOUNT DEBIT FORM". THIS IS THE E.H. COOPER FUND DEBIT FORM 10-14 RECEIVED BY THE LEE C.I. MAILROOM ON NOVEMBER 4, 2020. BY THE U.S. POSTAL TRACKING NUMBER WHICH CAN BE VERIFIED BY A PHONE CALL UNDER TRACKING NUMBER 9114 9023 0722 4753 1849 74, IT WAS RECEIVED BY THE RICHLAND COUNTY CLERK OF COURT AND COURT OF COMMON PLEAS ON NOVEMBER 6, 2020.

(2) EXHIBIT, "VIDEO-CONFERENCING": THIS IS A COPY OF THE NOTICE OF HEARING THAT WAS SCHEDULED BY VIDEO CONFERENCE ON NOVEMBER 12, 2020 SERVED ON THE PLAINTIFF(S) "CRAWFORD/JAHJAH".

(3) EXHIBIT, "DEFAULT AND VOIDING OF JURISDICTION". THIS IS A COPY OF THE DEFAULT DOCUMENT THAT IS IN THE PROCESS OF BEING FILED IN BENJAMIN CASE PCR PROCEEDINGS UNDER CASE NUMBER 2020-CP-23-01050 IN THE GREENVILLE COUNTY COURT OF COMMON PLEAS THAT IS ESSENTIALLY IDENTICAL TO THE DEFAULT DOCUMENT FILED WITHIN THE RON SANTA McCRAY PCR PROCEEDINGS FILED UNDER CASE 2019-CP-08-1992 IN THE BERKELEY COUNTY COURT OF COMMON PLEAS WHO IS A PLAINTIFF IN CASE 9:20-cv-2139-TLW-MHC REFERRED TO IN THE DOCUMENT FILING OBJECTIONS TO THE MAGISTRATE'S ORDERS IN THAT FEDERAL CASE AND SEEKING LEAVE TO APPEAL.

INSOMUCH, IT IS PERSPICUOUS THAT THE MOTION SEEKING JUDGE NEWMAN'S RECUSAL AND THE PETITION TO REMOVE CASE 2006-CP-400-3567 WAS RECEIVED BY THE RICHLAND CLERK OF COURT ON NOVEMBER 6, 2020. JUDGE NEWMAN THEN, IN FURTHER EGREGIOUS ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE, VIOLATING HER OATH OF OFFICE, CONCEALS THE MATERIAL FACT THAT SHE, THE COURT AND THE CLERK OF COURT RECEIVED THE MOTION FOR HER RECUSAL AND THE CASE'S REMOVAL (6) DAYS PRIOR TO HER INAPPROPRIATELY IN AN ABUSE OF DISCRETION CONDUCTING THE HEARING ON NOVEMBER 12, 2020 FOR THE PURPOSE OF SLIDING A WRITTEN ORDER OF CONTINUANCE IN THE CASE. THE PLAINTIFF OBJECTS AND CHALLENGES HER JURISDICTION TO DO SO WHICH CAN BE RAISED AT ANYTIME, EVEN AFTER A FINAL ORDER HAS BEEN ENTERED AND CANNOT BE WAIVED OR FORFEITED BY THE

PLAINTIFF.


LET THE PLAINTIFF FURTHER OUTLINE AND ARTICULATE THE FRAUD AND MACHINATION CONSPIRED IN BY JUDGE NEWMAN AND THE DEFENDANTS INVOLVED IN THIS CASE WHICH CLEARLY DEMONSTRATE THAT THE POTENTIAL FOR BIAS RISES TO AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL LEVEL VOIDING JUDGE NEWMAN'S JURISDICTION AB INITIO PURSUANT TO WILLIAMS v. PENNSYLVANIA, 136 S.Ct. 1899 (U.S.2016), TO IN ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT GET AROUND THE COURT'S FAILURE TO FILE A "[W]RITTEN" (EMPHASIS ADDED) ORDER OF CONTINUANCE IN THIS CASE, WHICH PLACES THE COURT AND DEFENDANTS IN FORFEITURE, WHICH THE PLAINTIFF DEMANDS, SINCE THEY HAD A FIDUCIARY DUTY TO SPEAK AND PURSUANT TO THE CODE OF JUDICIAL CONDUCT AND THE CODE OF PROFESSIONAL ETHICS THEY FAILED TO DO SO. JUDGE NEWMAN, CONSPIRING UNDER COLOR OF STATE LAW WITH THE ATTORNEY FOR THE STATE, PRETENDING LIKE THE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND OR THEIR ATTORNEY, DID NOT, OR COULD NOT, SHOW UP FOR THE HEARING TO IN THEIR "MEETING OF THE MINDS", JUSTIFY JUDGE NEWMAN "SLIPPING" A WRITTEN ORDER OF CONTINUANCE IN CASE 2006-CP-400-3567 AFTER THE FACT WHERE THEIR JURISDICTION IS VOID FOR DUE PROCESS VIOLATION AND UNCONSTITUTIONAL ACTION, TO CONCEAL THE MATERIAL FACT THAT THE STATE AND COURT ARE IN DEFAULT AND FORFEITURE DUE TO THEIR FAILURE TO FILE SUCH WRITTEN ORDER OF CONTINUANCE WITHIN CASE 2006-CP-400-3567 BEFORE THE (365) DAY DEADLINE AND MANDATE SET IN PLACE BY THE S.C. SUPREME COURT AND STATE LEGISLATURE DUE TO THE PROVISION OF LAW BEING ATTACHED TO THE S.C. CONSTITUTION UNDER ARTICLE 1 § 23 EXPIRED MUST BE ADDRESSED. THE RICHLAND COURT BY THE PLAINTIFF'S CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS OF DUE PROCESS CANNOT BE PERMITTED TO ACT AFTER THE FACT WHERE THEIR JURISDICTION IS MADE VOID FOR THAT DUE PROCESS VIOLATION AND UNCONSTITUTIONAL ACTION. PURSUANT TO BETTERMAN v. MONTANA, 136 S.Ct. 1609, 194 L.Ed.2d. 723 (U.S.2016). THE U.S. SUPREME COURT DECLARED THAT HOLDING A CASE LIKE THIS FOR (4) YEARS IS TOO LONG IS UNCONSTITUTIONAL AND VIOLATES DUE PROCESS. HERE THE CONSPIRING PARTIES HAVE HELD THIS CASE FOR (8+) YEARS DEMONSTRATING CLEAR INTENT AS TO WHY IN ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE

VIOLATING THEIR OATHS OF OFFICE THAT THEY HAD TO ACT TO CONCEAL THEIR CRIMES AND MANIFEST INJUSTICE DONE.

INASMUCH, THERE IS NO NEED FOR THE PLAINTIFF TO PRESENT A LENGTHY DOCUMENT WHEN THE PLAINTIFF CAN SIMPLY ATTACH THE DOCUMENT EXHIBIT, "DEFAULT AND VOIDING OF JURISDICTION" TO THE FACE OF THIS DOCUMENT AND REFER TO THE LITIGATION CONTAINED THEREIN. ALL CLAIMS, ISSUES, DEFENSES, CHALLENGES TO JURISDICTION, VIOLATIONS OF THE SEPARATION OF POWERS CLAUSE WITH THE EXCEPTION OF THE APPOINTMENT OF LEGAL COUNSEL CLAIMS ARGUED IN CASES 2019-CP-08-1992 AND 2020-CP-23-01051 ARE NOW BEING ARGUED UNDER CASE(S) 2006-CP-400-3567, 3578 AND 3569 TO MAKE THE SAME OBJECTIONS AND CHALLENGES TO JURISDICTION WITHIN THOSE (3) ~~CASES CITED PENDING IN THE RICHLAND COUNTY COURT OF COMMON PLEAS.~~ IT DOESN'T MATTER THAT THE (2) CASES PREVIOUSLY CITED ARE CASES SEEKING POST CONVICTION RELIEF. THE SUBSIDIARY FACTS RELATED TO THE ARTICLE V § 4 ORDERS REQUIRING THAT THEY BE FILED WITHIN (365) DAYS, NOT (8) YEARS, DUE PROCESS VIOLATIONS FOR UNCONSTITUTIONAL ACTION WHICH VOIDS THE COURT'S AND JUDGE NEWMAN'S JURISDICTION, THE FRAUD UPON THE COURT, CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE AND VIOLATIONS OF THE SEPARATION OF POWERS CLAUSE APPLY TO ALL THE PLAINTIFF'S CASES PENDING BEFORE THE RICHLAND COUNTY COURT OF COMMON PLEAS BEING APPLICABLE AS ATTACHED. THE PLAINTIFF MOTIONS TO CHALLENGE THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS JURISDICTION AND MOTION FOR DEFAULT AND JUDGEMENT IN THE SAME EXACT MANNER AS WHICH IS ASSERTED WITHIN EXHIBIT, "DEFAULT AND VOIDING OF JURISDICTION" AND OTHER APPLICABLE LAW AS IS CITED WITHIN THE ATTACHMENT.

RESPECTFULLY,
JONAH THE TISHBITE

5-of-5




NOVEMBER 13, 2020

NOTICE OF MOTION SCHEDULING

STATE OF
SOUTH CAROLINA

October 23, 2020



Motion "MSUPPL - Motion to Supplement the Motion For Declaratory Judgment" for Case: 2006CP4003567 - Lawrence 300839 Crawford vs State of South Carolina has been added to the following Motions Roster:

1612 - MOTION ROSTER NOVEMBER 12, 2020

This hearing of this motion has been scheduled for 11/12/2020 at 9:30 AM.

The above referenced case has been scheduled for Motions Hearing before Judge Jocelyn Newman. ALL MOTIONS WILL BE CONDUCTED BY VIDEO-CONFERENCING THROUGH CISCO WEBEX AND WILL BE COORDINATED BY THE SC DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS.

Please file any briefs or memoranda the Wednesday before the week of the hearing to jnewmanlc@sccourts.org

All requests for continuances must be E-filed with the \$25.00 filing fee at least one week prior to the hearing. A request for continuance does not guarantee that a case will be continued. Please notify the Court in writing if the Motions are resolved prior to the hearing.

Mail Notice To:

Lawrence #300389 Crawford
Lee Cor. Inst. F2A-2138-B
990 Wisacky Hwy
Bishopville, SC 29010

Court Info:

Richland County Common Pleas
Richland County Judicial Center
1701 Main Street
Columbia, SC 29201-9201

If you have any questions regarding the scheduling of this motion, please contact the courts at:

(803)576-1999

Respectfully,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Jeanette W. McBride".

Jeanette W. McBride
Clerk of Court

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)
THE COUNTY OF RICHLAND ET. AL.)

THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
THE 5TH. JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
ET. AL.,

LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD AKA)
JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T.)
TISHBITE ET. AL.,)

CASE(S) NO. 2006-CP-400-3567
ET. AL.,

PLAINTIFF(S)

Vs.

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)
ET. AL.,)

RECEIVED

DEC 17 2020


DEFENDANT(S)

SC Court of Appeals

I, LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD AKA JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE, DO HEREBY CERTIFY, THAT HE HAS MAILED AND OR SERVED A COPY OF AN AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; MOTION TO CHALLENGE THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS JURISDICTION; MOTION FOR DEFAULT AND JUDGMENT AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR, ON THE RICHLAND COUNTY COURT OF COMMON PLEAS, JUDGE NEWMAN AND ALL OTHER INVOLVED PARTIES BY U.S. MAIL, POSTAGE PREPAID, BY DEPOSITING IT IN THE INSTITUTION MAILBOX ON NOVEMBER 13, 2020.

RESPECTFULLY,

JONAH THE TISHBITE



NOVEMBER 13, 2020