

93759

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
In the Court of Appeals

**RECEIVED**

JAN 11 2021

APPEAL FROM DORCHESTER COUNTY SC Court of Appeals  
Court of Common Pleas

The Honorable Deadra L. Jefferson, First Judicial Circuit  
The Honorable Edgar W. Dickson, First Judicial Circuit  
Honorable Maite Murphy, First Judicial Circuit

Appellate Case No. 2018-002185

Molly M. Morphew, Appellant,

v.

Stephen Dudek, Doreen Cross, David Collins, Allison Williams, First  
Federal, Michael Scarafile, Susan Nicholson, Carolina One Real Estate,  
Carrie Boyer, Woody Law Firm, Respondents.

APPELLANT'S MOTION FOR EXTENSION AND  
AMENDMENT OF RESPONDENTS DESIGNATION OF MATTER

Molly M. Morphew, pro se  
121 Sterling Rd.  
Rincon, GA

Appellant Molly Morpew, pro se, hereby respectfully asks for an extension to serve and file the Record on Appeal, and requests Respondents to amend their Designation of Matter to clearly identify what they desire to have included in the Record on Appeal, and only include portions of the transcripts, depositions, pleadings, orders, exhibits, or other materials that can be properly included in the Record for the reasons below.

In Appellant's attempt to compile the Record on Appeal, it has been substantially noticed that it is a task Appellant is unable to complete. First, the Respondents fail to clearly identify what they desire to have included in the Record on Appeal.

Respondents' First Federal, Allison Williams, Woody Law Firm and Carrie Boyer's designation of matter does not include *any* specificity to its matter (Ex. A & B), while Respondents Collins, Dudek, Cross, Scarafile, Carolina One and Nicholson specifies some but not others (Ex. C & D), leaving Appellant to search, guess or assume what document is intended, and leaving doubt the Record will be properly compiled. Meaning, dated documents and page numbers (where applicable) would provide no doubt the exact Matter intended and its' applicability.

For example, including but not limited to:

Respondents First Federal and Allison Williams designation of matter (Ex. A) includes, "Summons and Complaint" (#1), but which one? Another, #3, "Dudek and Cross' Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss and Motion for Sanctions." Which one?

Respondents Woody Law Firm and Carrie Boyer's Designation of Matter (Ex. B) includes "Morpew's Contract with Sellers" (#38), but does not specify which contract, which sellers or which date. Further, #5 states "Summons and Complaint". Which one?

Respondent David Collins designation of matter (Ex. C) #1 states “Summons and Complaint” (again, which one), #2 --which one? While #8 is “Appellant’s Motion to Strike Collins’ Answer”, but which one? #12, “Order granting Resp. Collins Motion to Dismiss.” Which one? Plus, #5 and #6 are not valid documents, and such matter would have to be brought before the court to deem them valid, therefore Collins cannot point to them to support any of his arguments and must be removed from its Designation and Brief.

Respondents Stephen Dudek, Doreen Cross, Michael Scarafilo, Susan Nicholson and Carolina One Real Estate’s designation of matter (Ex. D), includes “First Federal File, with Transmittal Letter.” What file are they referencing? #21 was not presented to the lower court or on appeal in this case, therefore irrelevant. They also include several appellate briefs from other cases that are extensive in length but reference no pages that are to support arguments to include in their matter; Entire transcripts with all exhibits, but point to no page numbers or exhibits; and generally state “all hearing transcripts and exhibits” from the case. It is not the burden of Appellant to research for them all hearings or provide transcripts that may not be included in the appeal, or include pages in the record that are not applicable to their argument.

Additionally, Respondents violate court rules and severely prejudice Appellant by including vast amounts of irrelevant, unreferenced, un-argued or improper matter, possibly in hopes this court would find ground in the record that the Respondents have failed to present in

their brief in order to affirm the lower court's ruling(s). (SCARC Rule 208 and SCARC Rule 220). Moreover, their actions cause Appellant *substantial* undue effort and costs.

Appellant will be substantially burdened and prejudiced with undue costs and time to include entire depositions, transcripts, briefs, etc. when it's apparent such blanket inclusion is not required nor relevant and can be considered a violation of Rule 209 and Rule 210. For example, the Respondents reference a 305-page deposition and a 106-page transcript but fail to point to any specific page(s) or line(s) for argument. Pursuant this court's rules, only portions of those documents are to be included, and only relevant parts. Appellant neither sees this court tasked with searching the whole 305-page or 106-page document nor should this court require Appellant to include irrelevant, unnecessary or unreferenced pages in the Record.


Rule 209(b)- Content. The Designation must clearly identify what the party desires to have included in the Record on Appeal, and the Designation may only propose to include portions of the transcript, pleadings, orders, exhibits, or other materials which may be properly included in the Record on Appeal [See Rule 210(c)]. A party shall not include any matter in his Designation which is not relevant to the appeal. SCACR Rule 209(b).

Rule 209(c)- Certification. The Designation shall be accompanied by a certificate signed by the party's counsel of record that the Designation contains no matter which is irrelevant to the appeal." SCACR Rule 209(c).

Further, the sheer cost and time to produce and mail 21 copies of the already substantial volume of this record is burdensome enough, especially due COVID and social distancing where Appellant has no free access to a personal computer and unjustifiably printing a potential 2,000+ page Record 21 times would be extremely costly and impossible under the circumstances, as Appellant has not the time available or funds to do so.

Due to the reasons above, Appellant asks this court to direct the Respondents to amend their designation(s) of matter to clearly identify each document and include only relevant portions of the transcripts, depositions, pleadings, orders, exhibits, or other materials so as Appellant can properly compile the Record, and to avoid any improper, unnecessary or irrelevant matter. Such actions would also prevent possible violations of this Court's rules and Appellant from severe prejudice. As a result of their actions and pending this motion, Appellant asks for an extension to serve and file the Record on Appeal, and such extension dates to be determined after full resolution of this motion.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Molly M Morphey', written over a horizontal line.

Molly M Morphey, Appellant  
121 Sterling Dr.  
Rincon, GA 31326

January 4, 2021

# Exhibit A

IN THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
In the Court of Appeals

---

APPEAL FROM DORCHESTER COUNTY  
Deadra L. Jefferson, Circuit Court Judge  
Edgar W. Dickson, Circuit Court Judge  
Maite Murphy, Circuit Court Judge

---

**RECEIVED**  
**Jun 25 2020**  
**SC Court of Appeals**

Case No.: 2016-CP-18-01706  
Appellate Case No.: 2018-002185

---

Molly M. Mophew, ..... Appellant

v.

Stephen Dudek, Doreen Cross, David Collins,  
Allison Williams, First Federal, Michael Scarafile,  
Susan Nicholson, Carolina One Real Estate,  
Carrie Boyer, and Woody Law Firm, ..... Respondents

---

**RESPONDENTS ALLISON WILLIAMS' AND FIRST FEDERAL'S DESIGNATION OF  
MATTER FOR THE RECORD ON APPEAL**

---

1. Summons and Complaint
2. Allison Williams and First Federal's Motion to Dismiss
3. Dudek and Cross' Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss and Motion for Sanctions
4. Michael Scarafile, Susan Nicholson, Carolina One Real Estate and David Collins'  
Motion to Dismiss
5. The Woody Law Firm and Carrie Boyers' Motion to Dismiss
6. Allison Williams and First Federal's Memorandum in Support of their Motion to Dismiss
7. Appellant's Memorandum in Opposition to Allison Williams and First Federal's Motion  
to Dismiss

8. Dudek and Cross's Memorandum in Support of Motion to Dismiss
9. The Woody Law Firm and Carrie Boyers' Memorandum in Support of Motion to Dismiss
10. Transcript of Hearing on Defendants' Motions to Dismiss (November 7, 2016)
11. Trial Court Order granting in part and denying in part Allison Williams and First Federal's Motion to Dismiss
12. Trial Court Order granting in part and denying in part Dudek and Cross's Motion to Dismiss
13. Trial Court Order granting in part and denying in part Carrie Boyer and Woody Law Firm's Motion to Dismiss
14. Trial Court Order granting in part and denying in part Michael Scarafiles Motion to Dismiss
15. Allison Williams and First Federal's Motion to Alter or Amend Order granting in part and denying in part Allison Williams and First Federal's Motion to Dismiss
16. Amended Order granting in part and denying in part Allison Williams and First Federal's Motion to Dismiss
17. Answer of Allison Williams and First Federal to Complaint
18. Transcript of Deposition of Molly Morphew (with Exhibits)
19. Allison Williams' and First Federal's Motion for Summary Judgment
  - a. Exhibit A – Order dated November 6, 2014 (C.A. No. 2013-CP-18-0074)
  - b. Exhibit B – Order Granting Defendants' Motion to Dismiss (C.A. No. 2017-CP-18-00987)
  - c. Exhibit C – Excerpts from deposition of Molly Morphew
20. Woody Law Firm and Carrie Boyer's Motion for Summary Judgment (with Exhibits)

21. Dudek and Cross's Motion for Summary Judgment
22. Allison Williams' and First Federal's Memorandum in Support of their Motion for Summary Judgment
  - a. Exhibit A – Order dated November 6, 2014 (C.A. No. 2013-CP-18-0074)
  - b. Exhibit B – First Federal Letter dated January 3, 2013
  - c. Exhibit C – First Federal Letter dated January 31, 2013
  - d. Exhibit D – Molly Morpew Initial Brief dated February 4, 2015
  - e. Exhibit E – Excerpts of Deposition of Molly Morpew
  - f. Exhibit F - Order Granting Defendants' Motion to Dismiss (C.A. No. 2017-CP-18-00987)
23. Woody Law Firm and Carrie Boyer's Memorandum in Support of their Motion for Summary Judgment (with Exhibits)
24. Dudek and Cross's Memorandum in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment (with Exhibits)
25. Appellant's Memorandum in Opposition to Bank Respondents' Motion for Summary Judgment
26. Transcript of Hearing on Defendants' Motions for Summary Judgment (October 1, 2018).
27. Order granting Allison Williams' and First Federal's Motion for Summary Judgment
28. Order granting Dudek and Cross's Motion for Summary Judgment
29. Order granting Woody Law Firm and Carrie Boyer's Motion for Summary Judgment
30. Order granting David Collins' Motion for Summary Judgment

I certify that this designation contains no matter that is irrelevant to this appeal.

GALLIVAN, WHITE & BOYD, P.A.

/s/ Amy L. B. Hill

AMY L.B. HILL, ESQ.

[ahill@gwblawfirm.com](mailto:ahill@gwblawfirm.com)

JORDAN M. CRAPPS, ESQ.

[jcrapps@gwblawfirm.com](mailto:jcrapps@gwblawfirm.com)

P.O. Box 7368

Columbia, SC 29202

(803) 779-1833

(803) 779-1767 (f)

*Attorneys for Defendants South State Bank,  
National Association, formerly known as  
CenterState Bank, N.A., as successor in  
interest by merger of South State Bank,  
formerly known as SCBT formerly known as  
SCBT, successor in interest to First Federal  
and Allison Williams*

# Exhibit B

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
In the Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM DORCHESTER COUNTY  
Court of Common Pleas

Maite Murphy, Circuit Court Judge

Appellate Case No. 2018-002185  
Case No. 2016-CP-26-01706

**RECEIVED**

**Jun 25 2020**

**SC Court of Appeals**

Molly Morphew.....Appellant,

v.

Stephen Dudek, Doreen Cross, David Collins, Allison Williams, First Federal, Michael Scarafile,  
Susan Nicholson, Carolina One Real Estate, Carrie Boyer, Woody Law Firm.....Respondents.

**RESPONDENTS CARRIE BOYER AND WOODY LAW FIRM'S  
DESIGNATION OF MATTER FOR INCLUSION IN THE RECORD ON APPEAL**

Pursuant to Rule 209, SCACR, Respondents Carrier Boyer and Woody Law Firm designate the following items for inclusion in the Record on Appeal:

1. Transcript of Hearing dated November 7, 2016
2. Transcript of Hearing dated May 29, 2018
3. Transcript of Hearing dated October 1, 2018
4. Transcript of Deposition of Molly Morphew with Exhibits
5. Summons and Complaint
6. Amended Answer of Defendants Carrier Boyer and Woody Law Firm
7. Allison Williams and First Federal's Motion to Dismiss
8. Dudek and Cross' Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss and Motion for Sanctions
9. Michael Scarafile, Susan Nicholson, Carolina One Real Estate and David Collins' Motion to Dismiss

10. The Woody Law Firm and Carrie Boyers' Motion to Dismiss
11. Allison Williams and First Federal's Memorandum in Support of their Motion to Dismiss with all Exhibits
12. Dudek and Cross's Memorandum in Support of Motion to Dismiss with all Exhibits
13. The Woody Law Firm and Carrie Boyer's Memorandum in Support of Motion to Dismiss with all Exhibits
14. Order granting in part and denying in part Allison Williams and First Federal's Motion to Dismiss
15. Order granting in part and denying in part Dudek and Cross's Motion to Dismiss
16. Order granting in part and denying in part Carrie Boyer and Woody Law Firm's Motion to Dismiss
17. Order granting in part and denying in part Scarafife, Nicholson, Carolina One and Collins' Motion to Dismiss
18. Allison Williams and First Federal's Motion to Alter or Amend Order granting in part and denying in part their Motion to Dismiss
19. Amended Order granting in part and denying in part Allison Williams and First Federal's Motion to Dismiss
20. Carrie Boyer and Woody Law Firm's Motion to Alter or Amend Order granting in part and denying in part their Motion to Dismiss
21. Amended Order granting in part and denying in part Carrie Boyer and Woody Law Firm's Motion to Dismiss
22. Allison Williams' and First Federal's Motion for Summary Judgment with Exhibits
23. Woody Law Firm and Carrie Boyer's Motion for Summary Judgment
24. Woody Law Firm and Carrie Boyer's Amended Motion for Summary Judgment
25. Dudek, Cross, Nicholson, Scarafife and Carolina One's Motion for Summary Judgment
26. Allison Williams' and First Federal's Memorandum in Support of their Motion for Summary Judgment with Exhibits
27. Plaintiff's Response to First Federal's Motion for Summary Judgment with Exhibits

28. Woody Law Firm and Carrie Boyer's Memorandum in Support of their Motion for Summary Judgment with Exhibits
29. Dudek, Cross, Nicholson, Scarafile and Carolina One's Memorandum in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment with Exhibits
30. Order filed May 31, 2018 by Judge Dickinson
31. Order granting Allison Williams' and First Federal's Motion for Summary Judgment
32. Order granting Dudek, Cross, Nicholson, Scarafile and Carolina One's Motion for Summary Judgment
33. Order granting Woody Law Firm and Carrie Boyer's Motion for Summary Judgment
34. Order granting David Collins' Motion for Summary Judgment
35. Morpew's Motion to Deem Defendant Cross' Admissions Admitted
36. Morpew's Motion to Compel Defendant Cross
37. Morpew's Motion to Deem Admission's Admitted as to First Federal
38. Morpew's contract with Sellers

I certify that this designation contains no matter which is irrelevant to this appeal.

[Signature Page to Follow]

COLLINS & LACY, P.C.

By: Amy Neuschafer  
Amy L. Neuschafer, Esquire  
SC Bar No. 73922  
[aneuschafer@collinsandlacy.com](mailto:aneuschafer@collinsandlacy.com)  
Laura R. Baer, Esquire  
SC Bar No. 101076  
[lbaer@collinsandlacy.com](mailto:lbaer@collinsandlacy.com)  
Robert M. Peele, III, Esquire  
SC Bar No. 77569  
[rpeele@collinsandlacy.com](mailto:rpeele@collinsandlacy.com)  
11945 Grandhaven Drive, Suite D  
Murrells Inlet, SC 29576  
843.353.2350 (p)  
843.353.2351 (f)

***ATTORNEYS FOR RESPONDENTS  
CARRIE BOYER AND WOODY  
LAW FIRM***

Murrells Inlet, South Carolina  
June 25, 2020

# Exhibit C

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA COURT OF APPEALS

APPEAL FROM DORCHESTER COUNTY

Molly Morphew, Appellant

v.

Stephan Dudek, Doreen Cross, David Collins,  
Allison Williams, First Federal, Michael Scarafile,  
Susan Nicholson, Carolina One Real Estate,  
Carrie Boyer, Woody Law Firm, Respondents.

**RECEIVED**

Jul 27 2020

SC Court of Appeals

Appellate Case No. 2018-002185

**Respondent David Collins' Designation of Matter For the Record**

1. Summons and Complaint
2. Motion to Dismiss filed by counsel for Respondent David Collins
3. Order of the Honorable Deadra Jefferson filed January 31, 2017

4. Motion and Application for Entry of Default filed by the Appellant on November 27, 2017.
5. Return to Motion filed by Respondent David Collins
6. Answer of respondent David Collins filed on December 19, 2017
7. Order relieving Counsel for Respondent David Collins
8. Appellants motion to Strike Collins Answer
9. Order Denying Motion to hold Respondent Collins in Default dated February 23, 2018
10. 2<sup>nd</sup> Motion to Hold Collins in Default filed by Appellant on April 4, 2018.
11. Order of Court filed November 13, 2018, Denying Appellants 2<sup>nd</sup> Motion to Hold Respondent Collins in Default.
12. Order granting Respondent Collins Motion to Dismiss.



---

David Collins, pro se  
394 Hoff Avenue  
Charleston, SC 29407  
843-224-3779  
Davidacollins43@gmail.com

# Exhibit D

IN THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
In the Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM DORCHESTER COUNTY  
Deadra L. Jefferson, Circuit Court Judge  
Edgar W. Dickson, Circuit Court Judge  
Maite Murphy, Circuit Court Judge

**RECEIVED**

**Aug 27 2020**

**SC Court of Appeals**

Case No.: 2016-CP-18-01706  
Appellate Case No.: 2018-002185

Molly M. Morpew, ..... Appellant

v.

Stephen Dudek, Doreen Cross, David Collins,  
Allison Williams, First Federal, Michael Scarafile,  
Susan Nicholson, Carolina One Real Estate,  
Carrie Boyer, and Woody Law Firm, ..... Respondents

**DESIGNATION OF MATTER OF RESPONDENTS STEPHEN DUDEK, DOREEN  
CROSS, MICHAEL SCARAFILE, SUSAN NICHOLSON AND CAROLINA ONE REAL  
ESTATE**

In addition to the documents and filings that the Appellant and the other Respondents have designated, the above Respondents request that the Appellant include the following in the Record on Appeal:

1. Case No. 2017-CP-18-987, Order, February 21, 2018
2. Case No. 2013-CP-18-183, Order, June 14, 2017
3. Case No. 2013-CP-18-183, Order, May 17, 2017
4. Appellate Case No. 2017-1393, Opinion No. 2020-UP-151
5. Appellate Case No. 2017-1393, Order Denying Reconsideration, August 24, 2020
6. Case No. 2016-CP-18-1706, Complaint
7. Case No. 2013-CP-18-183, Morpew Complaint
8. Case No. 2013-CP-18-183, Dudeks Complaint
9. Case No. 2013-CP-18-183, Order, November 6, 2014
10. Appellate Case No. 2014-002633, Record, p. 291
11. First Federal File, with Transmittal Letter

12. Case No. 2013-CP-18-183, Pleading Statement, September 10, 2014
13. Appellate Case No. 2014-002633, Final Brief
14. Appellate Case No. 2014-002633, Opinion No. 17-CP-019
15. Appellate Case No. 2014-002633, Pet. to Dismiss Rehearing, Order, February 15, 2017
16. Appellate Case No. 2014-002633, Remittitur, February 15, 2017
17. Case No. 2013-CP-18-183, Order, May 17, 2017
18. Case No. 2013-CP-18-183, Order, June 14, 2017
19. Appellate Case No. 2017-1393, Final Brief
20. Appellate Case No. 2017-1393, Opinion No. 2020-UP-151
21. Appellate Case No. 2017-1393, Order Denying Reconsideration, August 24, 2020
22. Case No. 2017-CP-18-987, Complaint
23. Case No. 2017-CP-18-987, Order, February 21, 2018
24. Appellate Case No. 2018-000507, Final Brief
25. Appellate Case No. 2018-000507, Opinion No. 20-UP-150
26. Case No. 2018-CP-18-1661, Amended Complaint
27. Case No. 2016-CP-18-1706, Order Partially Dismissing Carolina One, January 31, 2017
28. Case No. 2016-CP-18-1706, Order Partially Dismissing Dudeks, January 31, 2017
29. Entire Morphew Transcript, January 16, 2018, including all exhibits
30. Case No. 2016-CP-18-1706, Memo in Support of S.J., May 29, 2018;
31. Case No. 2016-CP-18-1706, Order Granting S.J., November 15, 2018
32. Full transcripts from all hearing held in 2016-CP-18-1706

I certify that this designation contains no matter which is irrelevant to this appeal.

SMITH | CLOSSER | WHEELER, P.A.

s/Samuel M. Wheeler

---

Samuel M. Wheeler (SC Bar No.: 101008)

7455 Cross County Road, Suite 1

P.O. Box 40578

Charleston, SC 29423-0578

843-760-0220

*Attorneys for Respondents Stephen Dudek, Doreen Cross,  
Michael Scarafile, Susan Nicholson, and Carolina One  
Real Estate*

August 27, 2020

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
In the Court of Appeals

**RECEIVED**

JAN 11 2021

APPEAL FROM DORCHESTER COUNTY SC Court of Appeals  
Court of Common Pleas

The Honorable Deadra L. Jefferson, First Judicial Circuit  
The Honorable Edgar W. Dickson, First Judicial Circuit  
Honorable Maite Murphy, First Judicial Circuit

Appellate Case No. 2018-002185

Molly M. Morphew, Appellant,

v.

Stephen Dudek, Doreen Cross, David Collins, Allison Williams, First  
Federal, Michael Scarafille, Susan Nicholson, Carolina One Real Estate,  
Carrie Boyer, Woody Law Firm, Respondents.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Molly M. Morphew, Appellant [and pro se] for said case, hereby certify that I have, on this date indicated below, served Respondents below with the APPELLANT'S MOTION FOR EXTENSION AND AMENDMENT OF RESPONDENTS DESIGNATION OF MATTER by mailing a copy of same via United States Mail, postage prepaid and return address clearly indicated on said envelope, to Respondents at the following address:

**Steven L. Smith, Esquire**  
**P.O. Box 40578**  
**Charleston, SC 29423-0578**


**Attorney for Respondents:**

Stephen Dudek  
Doreen Cross  
Susan Nicholson  
Michael Scarafille  
Carolina One

**David A. Collins, pro se**  
**P.O. Box 30052**  
**Charleston, SC 29417**  
Respondent, pro se

**Amy L. Neuschafter, Esquire**  
**Collins & Lacy, PC**  
**11945 Grandhaven Drive, Ste D**  
**Murrells Inlet, SC 29576**  
**Attorney for Respondents:**  
Carrie Boyer  
Woody Law Firm

**Amy L. B. Hill, Esquire**  
**Gallivan, White & Boyd, PA**  
**P.O. Box 7368**  
**Columbia, SC 29202-7368**  
**Attorney for Respondents:**  
Allison Williams  
First Federal Bank (aka South State  
Bank)

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Molly M. Morphew', with a large, stylized flourish at the end.

Molly M. Morphew, Appellant  
121 Sterling Dr.  
Rincon, GA 31326

January 7, 2021

January 6, 2021

**RECEIVED**  
JAN 11 2021  
SC Court of Appeals

The Honorable Jenny Abbott Kitchings  
Clerk of Court  
South Carolina Court of Appeals  
1015 Sumter Street  
Columbia, South Carolina 29211


Re: Appellate Case No. 2018-002185  
Molly M. Morphew v. Stephen Dudek, Doreen Cross, et al.

Dear Ms. Kitchings:

Please find enclosed, an original and six (6) copies of APPELLANT'S MOTION FOR EXTENSION AND AMENDMENT OF RESPONDENTS DESIGNATION OF MATTER and the CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE to be recorded and filed.

Also enclosed is a copy of above to be kindly recorded and returned in the self-addressed, stamped envelope.

Thank you and very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Molly Morphew', is written over a large, hand-drawn oval. The signature is somewhat stylized and overlaps the text 'Molly Morphew, pro se' below it.

Molly Morphew, pro se

Cc: Amy L. Neuschafer, Esq.  
Steven L. Smith, Esq.  
David A. Collins, pro se  
Amy B. Hill, Esq.

PRESS FIRMLY TO SEAL

PRESS FIRMLY TO SEAL

PRIORITY MAIL  
FLAT RATE  
POSTAGE REQUIRED



**PRIORITY<sup>®</sup>  
MAIL**

- Date of delivery specified\*
- USPS TRACKING™ Included to many major international destinations.
- Limited international insurance.
- Pick up available.\*
- Order supplies online.\*
- When used internationally, a customs declaration label may be required.
- Domestic only



EP14F Oct 2018  
OD: 12 1/2 x 9 1/2

**RECEIVED**  
JAN 11 2021  
SC Court of Appeals

To schedule free  
Package Pickup,  
scan the QR code.



USPS.COM/PICKUP

<b>P</b>	usps.com 9405 5036 9930 0226 6195 80 0077 6001 0332 9201 9479 US POSTAGE Flat Rate Env	
	01/07/2021	Mailed from 31326 06250000000312
<b>PRIORITY MAIL 2-DAY™</b>		Expected Delivery Date: 01/11/21
MOLLY MORPHEW 121 STERLING DR RINCON GA 31326-9425		Ref: 1301 - A DOM <b>0004</b>
<b>C076</b>		
SHIP TO: HON. JENNY ABBOTT KITCHINGS SOUTH CAROLINA COURT OF APPEALS 1015 SUMNER ST COLUMBIA SC 29201-3726		
<b>USPS TRACKING #</b>		
9405 5036 9930 0226 6195 80		
Electronic Rate Approved #038555749		

This envelope is made from post-consumer waste. Please recycle. ♻️

This packaging is the property of the U.S. Postal Service and is provided solely for use in sending Priority Mail shipments. It is not to be used for other purposes. © U.S. Postal Service, October 2018. All rights reserved. Return may be a violation of federal law.

• Domestic only. \* For Domestic shipments, the maximum weight is 70 lbs. For international shipments, the maximum weight is 4 lbs.