

The Supreme Court of South Carolina

CASE NO 2020-000805

Robert Campbell, PETITIONER

v.

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA, RESPONDENT.

[MOTION] To be GRANTED. -

* SEE AND REVIEW REASON'S
AN SO. SEE DOCUMENTS OF
EVIDENCE.

NOTE: this issue was [TRANSFERRED] From this Court dated -
MAY 27, 2020. AND THE CONSTITUTION LAW STATES; -

THE BURDEN IS ON ME TO PROVE MY INNOCENCE. AND

i AM ASKING THIS COURT. S.C. SUPREME COURT TO GIVE
ME A CHANCE TO PROVE MYSELF OF BEING NOT GUILTY OF
CHARGE?. [REASON'S why Appeal should be GRANTED].

NOTE: S.C. COURT OF APPEALS REASON OF DISMISSED OF MY-
APPEAL WAS. i DID NOT SERVE THE RESPONDENT A NOTICE OF
APPEAL?. HERE IS ~~TRUE~~ FACT'S. WITH COURT AND SLED DOCUMENTS.

First thing. DUE TO [COVID-19]. THE PRISON LAW LIBRARY
WHERE WE GET LEGAL COPY HAS BEEN CLOSE. SEE S.C.D.C.

RECEIVED

JAN 27 2021

S.C. SUPREME COURT

BURDEN OF PROOF

CONSTITUTION LAW:

① A PERSON'S DO HAVE THE RIGHTS TO PROVE THEM SELF
IN THE COURT OF LAW. AND THE COURT SHALL MAKE
THE DECISION IF A PERSON IS GUILTY OR NOT GUILTY.
NOT THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE.

MY REASON'S THIS APPEAL MUST BE GRANTED.

#① I CAN PROVE [NOW] THAT. I AM NOT GUILTY OF CHARGES
I PLEAD GUILTY TO. SEE COURT AND SLED DOCUMENTS

#② WITH [NEWLY DISCOVERY] EVIDENCE. FROM THE INNOCENCE PROJECT.
WHO [SLED] OF SOUTH CAROLINA, SENT THEM. PROOF OF CHARGE.
IT'S STATES. EVIDENCE OF RESULTS. NEGATIVE FOR C.S.C.

#③ I BEEN FILED TO THIS COURT ABOUT HAVING A D.N.A. TEST
TO PROVE MY INNOCENCE OF CHARGES. SEE -
SUPREME COURT OF S.C. RESPOND. DATED - MARCH 19, 2009
FROM DANIEL E. SHEAROUSE, CLERK.

#④ I DID FORWARD A COPY OF THIS S.C. LAW ENFORCEMENT DIVISION
[LABORATORY FILE] DOCUMENT. AND IT SHOWS AND PROVE THAT. -
I AM NOT GUILTY OF THIS CHARGE I AM STILL DOING TIME FOR.
"BUT" WHEN THIS COURT SENT IT TO THE COURT OF APPEALS;
THIS IS WHEN IT GOT DISMISSED FOR [NO] REAL REASON'S.
ACCEPT. I DID NOT SEND THE RESPONDENT A NOTICE.

AND I (THINK) I STILL MIGHT HAVE A PENDING NEW FILED P.C.R. -

CASE NO. 2019-CP-23-06804. I JUST WROTE BACK TO THE
GREENVILLE CO. COURT; TO PAUL B. WICKENSIMER, CLERK.

AND I REQUESTED WHAT WAS THE DECISION IN MY CASE. BUT AS YOU -
SEE. HE JUST SENT IT TO THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE?. WHY IS -
THESE OFFICES GOING TO MAKE THE DECISION IN MY CASE. FACTS -

THIS [ORDER] FOR DISMISSED MUST BE GRANTED. COURT LAW. BURDEN -
OF PROOF.

DATE 1/25/2021

ROBERT CAMPBELL

QUESTION FOR COURT: WHEN REVIEWING LABORATORY FILE NO L85-3197
SEE DATE OF REPORT. 7/9/85. FACTS. WITH SLED TESTING THE EVIDENCE
THAT WAS USE AGAINST ME. IT WAS NEGATIVE THEN. SO WHY IS I AM
STILL DOING TIME FOR THIS CHARGE?. THIS WAS THE REAL ISSUE THE
S.C. COURT OF APPEALS SHOULD HAVE RULE ON, THEY ARE NOT GIVING
ME THE OPPORTUNITY TO PROVE MY SELF OF CHARGE?. WHEN THE
COURT CAN JUST TEST ME FOR IT. REMEMBER. SLED DOCUMENTS CLEARLY
STATES. NEGATIVE OF CHARGE.

The South Carolina Court of Appeals

Robert Campbell, Petitioner,

v.

State of South Carolina, Respondent.

Appellate Case No. 2020-000805

ORDER

Petitioner has failed to timely serve the notice of appeal on Respondent as required by Rule 247(b) of the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules. Accordingly, this matter is dismissed. *See Mears v. Mears*, 287 S.C. 168, 337 S.E.2d 206 (1985) (explaining timely service of the notice of appeal is a jurisdictional requirement, and the appellate courts have no authority to extend the time in which the notice of intent to appeal must be served). The remittitur will be sent as provided by Rule 221(b), SCACR.



FOR THE COURT

Columbia, South Carolina

cc:

Alan McCrory Wilson, Esquire
William M. Blicht, Jr., Esquire
Robert Michael Dudek, Esquire
Robert Campbell, #131941

FILED
Jan 07 2021

PAUL B. WICKENSIMET

CLERK OF COURT FOR GREENVILLE COUNTY

GREENVILLE, SOUTH CAROLINA

CASE NO. 2019-CP-23-06804

Robert Campbell

S.C.D.C. No. 131941

V.

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

MOTION: COURT DECISION AND REASONS ON MY CASE.

FILED-CLERK OF COURT
PAUL B. WICKENSIMET
GREENVILLE
2021 JAN 13 PM 2:31

DEAR CLERK OF COURT:

ON THE 8/24/2020, I WAS [GRANTED] TWENTY DAYS FROM THIS COURT TO SHOW WHY THIS ORDER SHOULD NOT BECOME FINAL. AND I DID FILED [SPECIFIC REASONS] TO SHOW AND PROVE TO THIS COURT, MY CASE SHOULD BE GRANTED. WOULD YOU PLEASE INFORM ME OF THE COURT DECISION IN THIS MATTER. NOTE: HAVE THIS COURT APPOINTED ME A COUNSEL YET?

DATE 1/14/2021

Robert Campbell

Copy mailed to
Attorney General/PPS
on 1/13/2021

RICHARD W. RILEY
Governor

Law Enforcement Division

J. PRESTON STROM
Chief

P. O. Box 21398

Phone (803) 758-6354
758-6000

TO: Darrell F. Chambers
4 McGee Street
Greenville, SC 29601

COLUMBIA, S. C. 29221-9990

LABORATORY FILE NO.: L85-3197

DATE: 7-9-85

SUBJECT(S):

VICTIM(S):

CONFIDENTIAL: This is an official report of the South Carolina Law Enforcement Division Chemistry Department and is to be used in connection with an official criminal investigation. The items and the results of laboratory examinations are reported below:

J.P. Strom
J. P. STROM

ITEM(S) SUBMITTED

RESULTS OF EXAMINATION(S)

- A. Swab tube from the victim
- B. One saliva sample from the defendant

- A. Negative for semen
- B. No analysis made for blood factors at this time.

I am a Chemist employed and certified by the South Carolina State Law Enforcement Division (SLED) to perform chemical and physical examination(s) on evidence submitted by Law Enforcement Agencies in criminal cases, and have been qualified in courts of record in the State of South Carolina to testify on such examination(s).

On _____, 19____, I was furnished the above item(s) to me for examination(s). I tested this (these) item(s) using accepted reliable forensic procedures by SLED and the above results reflect my conclusions based on my examinations.

- Fluorescence Spectroscopy
- Thin Layer Chromatography
- Gas Chromatography
- Mass Spectrometry
- Liquid Chromatography
- X-Ray Spectroscopy
- Emission Spectroscopy

- Fluorescence Spectroscopy
- Thin Layer Chromatography
- Gas Chromatography
- Mass Spectrometry
- Liquid Chromatography
- X-Ray Spectroscopy
- Emission Spectroscopy

9 day of
19 85

Fatsy Habben
Fatsy Habben
CHEMICAL ANALYST

Bin Han
BIN HAN
LABORATORY PUBLIC

SLED Col
SLED Col
PLACE

830-93

7-9-85
DATE

Robert Campbell # 131941

JMS

LEE CORP. INST. F-2-A-1122

990 WISACKY HIGHWAY

BISHOPVILLE, S.C. 29010

THE SUPREME COURT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

DANIEL E. SHEAROUSE, CLERK OF COURT

P.O. BOX 11330

COLUMBIA, S.C. 29211

LEGAL MAIL ONLY

THE DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS DOES NOT
THE ITEM THEREFORE, THE DEPARTMENT DOES NOT
ASSUME RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE WRITTEN CONTENTS
LEE CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTE
SC DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

RECEIVED
JAN 25 2021
LEE CI MAIL ROOM