

The Supreme Court of South Carolina

George L. Douglas, Petitioner,

v.

State of South Carolina, Respondent.

Appellate Case No. 2020-001092

ORDER

Petitioner requests a sixth extension of time to serve and file the explanation required by Rule 243(c) of the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules (SCACR). Petitioner alleges there are extraordinary circumstances justifying this extension. The extension is granted until March 15, 2021. However, petitioner is advised that no further extensions of time will be granted.

Furthermore, since petitioner has filed multiple post-conviction relief applications challenging the underlying criminal conviction(s), petitioner is advised that if he fails to provide the required explanation or if this Court determines he has failed to provide an adequate explanation under Rule 243(c), SCACR, the Court may decide to prohibit petitioner from filing a post-conviction relief application, habeas corpus action or any other action, motion or petition in the circuit court challenging this conviction(s) and sentence(s) (including a motion under Rule 29 of the South Carolina Rules of Criminal Procedure) without first obtaining the permission of this Court to do so. If petitioner believes there is some reason(s) why such a prohibition should not be imposed on future filings in the circuit court, those reasons must be provided together with the Rule 243(c) explanation.



FOR THE COURT

C.J.

Columbia, South Carolina
February 16, 2021

cc:

Lillian Loch Meadows, Esquire
George L. Douglas, 249516