

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
IN THE SUPREME COURT

RECEIVED
RECEIVED

SEP 06 2016

Appeal from Lexington County

S.C. SUPREME COURT
S.C. SUPREME COURT

Brooks P. Goldsmith, Circuit Court Judge

MICHAEL RAY ELDERS,

PETITIONER,

V.

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA,

RESPONDENT

APPELLATE CASE NO. 2016-000242

SUPPLEMENTAL APPENDIX

LANELLE CANTEY DURANT
Appellate Defender

ALAN WILSON
Attorney General

South Carolina Commission on Indigent
Defense
Division of Appellate Defense
PO Box 11589
Columbia, SC 29211-1589

PATRICK SCHMECKPEPER
Assistant Attorney General
P. O. Box 11549
Columbia, SC 29211

ATTORNEY FOR PETITIONER

ATTORNEYS FOR RESPONDENT

INDEX

INDEX.....i

ORDER OF DISMISSAL DATED MAY 2, 2014 (PAGE 14)..... 1

SUPPLEMENTAL GROUNDS FOR POST-CONVICTION RELIEF
DATED APRIL 19, 2013..... 2

opening or closing statements. Counsel noted that he only objects to an improper argument when it is unduly prejudicial. Counsel offered valid reasons that supported his minimalist approach in opening and closing portions of a trial. ~~Also~~ Applicant's allegation that counsel was ineffective for failing to make an opening statement is without merit where there is no per se duty on a criminal defense attorney to make an opening statement just for the sake of it. The jury was properly noticed on procedures, the State's burden of proof, and the applicable law from the Trial Judge. Furthermore, counsel strategy here was consistent with his reasoning in refraining from making objections in argument unless absolutely warranted. Furthermore, Applicant failed to meet his burden to prove that any of the solicitor's comments at issue were unduly prejudicial and warranted a mistrial. Therefore, these allegations are denied and dismissed.

E.


Except as discussed above, this Court finds that Applicant affirmatively abandons the remaining allegations set forth in his application at the hearing. A waiver is a voluntary and intentional abandonment or relinquishment of a known right. Janasik v. Fairway Oaks Villas Horizontal Property Regime, 307 S.C. 339, 415 S.E.2d 384 (1992). A waiver may be express or implied. "An implied waiver results from acts and conduct of the party against whom the doctrine is invoked from which an intentional relinquishment of a right is reasonably inferable." Lyles v. BMI, Inc., 292 S.C. 153, 158-59, 355 S.E.2d 282 (Ct. App. 1987). Applicant's failure to address these issues at the hearing indicates a voluntary and intentional relinquishment of his right to do so. Therefore, any and all remaining allegations are denied and dismissed.

CONCLUSION

Based on all the forgoing, this Court finds and concludes that Applicant has not established any constitutional violations or deprivations that would require this Court to grant his

7. Ineffective assistance of counsel for failing to object to hearsay statement given through SANE nurse, Tr. 266, l. 14 – Tr. 267, l. 15.
8. Ineffective assistance of counsel for failure to object and inadequate¹ objecting concerning Applicant wanting to play truth or dare with minor's friend. Tr. 267, l. 16 – Tr. 268, l. 24.
9. Ineffective assistance of counsel for failure to object to Applicant blowing the blowing his horn at teenage girls. Tr. 295; Tr. 389
10. Ineffective assistance of counsel for failure to object to the state pitting witnesses. Tr. 361, ll. 15-22.
11. Ineffective assistance of counsel for failure to object to the State vouching for the credibility of Robin Baker. Tr. 386, ll. 12-14.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,



Tristan Michael Shaffer
Attorney for Applicant

April 19, 2013

¹ These statements were not objected to under Rules 403, 404(b), or 802, SCRF.