

RECEIVED

FEB 24 2021

SC Court of Appeals

State of South Carolina
County of Beaufort
In the court of Common Pleas

CASE NO. 2020CP0701627

APPEAL

Beaufort County
Plaintiff(s)

Joyce V Ham
Pro Se

This matter came before a Kangaroo Court as Condemnor Motion for Summary Judgment January 25, 2021. Objection: Judge reported plans to recall the case at the end of the day (docket) and fail to do so. Violating § 24. Victims' Bill of Rights: To be treated with fairness, respect, and dignity, and to be free from intimidation, harassment, or abuse, throughout the criminal and juvenile justice process, and informed of the victim's constitutional rights, provided by statute;

Appeal is being requested on the grounds of my rights being denied in accordance with:

U.S. Constitution - Article 3 Section 2

Article 3 - The Judicial Branch

Section 2 - Trial by Jury, Original Jurisdiction, Jury Trials

In addition, the U.S. Constitution prohibits the states from interfering with private contracts or the opportunity to engage in contract. Presently, my only source of income is through rental property therefore anyone seeking access to my property should not deny me the opportunity to earn income for my survival.

The Constitution of the State of South Carolina

ARTICLE I

§ 3. Privileges and immunities; due process; equal protection of laws. The privileges and immunities of citizens of this State and of the United States under this Constitution shall not be abridged, nor shall any person be deprived of life, liberty, or property without due process of law, nor shall any person be denied the equal protection of the laws. (1970 (56) 2684; 1971 (57) 315.)

§ 4. Attainder; ex post facto laws; impairment of contracts; titles; effect of conviction. No bill of attainder, ex post facto law, law impairing the obligation of contracts, nor law granting any title of nobility or hereditary emolument, shall be passed, and no conviction shall work corruption of blood or forfeiture of estate. (1970 (56) 2684; 1971 (57) 315.)

§ 10. Searches and seizures; invasions of privacy. The right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects against unreasonable searches and seizures and unreasonable invasions of privacy shall not be violated, and no warrants shall issue but upon probable cause, supported by oath or affirmation, and particularly describing the place to be searched, the person or thing to be seized, and the information to be obtained. (1970 (56) 2684; 1971 (57) 315.)

§ 13. Taking private property; economic development; remedy of blight. (A) Except as otherwise provided in this Constitution, private property shall not be taken for private use without the consent of the owner, nor for public use without just compensation being first made for the property. Private property must not be condemned by eminent domain for any purpose or benefit including, but not limited to, the purpose or benefit of economic development, unless the condemnation is for public use. .


As a result, those in authority has failed to implement, preserve, and protect the rights guaranteed to this now victim (Joyce V Ham) of this proceeding who therefore now seek remedy for undue financial and psychological hardship to produce and provide issue of fact imposed upon this defendant by the Plaintiff and the Plaintiff's failure to provide requested funding for issues of fact.

It is my hope that my rights shall not be denied.

J. Ham
36 Glaze Drive
Beaufort, SC 29906

CHARLESTON SC 294

22 FEB 2021 PM 1 L



South Carolina Court of Appeals

Post Office Box 11629

Columbia, SC 29211

RECEIVED

FEB 24 2021

SC Court of Appeals

29211-162929

