

The Supreme Court of South Carolina

PCS Nitrogen, Inc., Petitioner,

v.

Continental Casualty Company, Admiral Insurance Company, United States Fire Insurance Company, ACE Property & Casualty Insurance Company, Certain Underwriters at Lloyd's London, the Aviva Companies, the Winterthur Companies, Certain London Market Insurance Companies, Providence Washington Insurance Company (as Successor in Interest by way of Merger to Seaton Insurance Company, f/k/a Unigard Security Insurance, f/k/a Unigard Mutual Insurance Company), Berkshire Hathaway Specialty Insurance Company (f/k/a Stonewall Insurance Company), Lexington Insurance Company, Starr Indemnity & Liability Company (f/k/a Republic Insurance Company), First State Insurance Company, Century Indemnity Company (f/k/a California Union Insurance Company and Insurance Company of North America), Defendants,

of whom Continental Casualty Company, Admiral Insurance Company, United States Fire Insurance Company, Certain Underwriters at Lloyd's London, the Aviva Companies, the Winterthur Companies, Certain London Market Insurance Companies, Providence Washington Insurance Company (as Successor in Interest by way of Merger to Seaton Insurance Company, f/k/a Unigard Security Insurance, f/k/a Unigard Mutual Insurance Company), Berkshire Hathaway Specialty Insurance Company (f/k/a Stonewall Insurance Company), Lexington Insurance Company, Starr Indemnity & Liability Company (f/k/a Republic Insurance Company) and First State Insurance Company, are Respondents.

ORDER

Respondents move for a thirty-day extension of time in which to serve and file a joint respondents' brief. In light of the fact that respondents previously received an extension of thirty days in which to file their joint return to the petition for a writ of certiorari,¹ and because respondents have failed to cite any extraordinary reasons to justify a further extension, the motion is denied. *See RE: Extensions in Cases Seeking a Petition for a Writ of Certiorari to Review a Decision of the South Carolina Court of Appeals*, S.C. Sup. Ct. Order dated July 16, 2014 (providing that any extension beyond twenty days "will be granted only if extraordinary circumstances such as illness or other circumstances beyond the control of the movant warrant the granting of the extension. The parties are warned that the press of other business is not an extraordinary circumstance which will warrant the granting of an extension.").²


FOR THE COURT

C.J.

Columbia, South Carolina
March 3, 2021

cc:
Robert Holmes Hood, Jr., Esquire
Patricia B. Santelle, Esquire
Robert F. Walsh, Esquire
Thomas M. Going, Esquire

¹ Respondents were granted a thirty-day extension to file a joint return on April 10, 2020.

² The Order is available at:
<http://www.sccourts.org/whatsnew/displaywhatsnew.cfm?indexID=963>).

John Robert Murphy, Esquire
Adam J. Neil, Esquire
Wesley Brian Sawyer, Esquire
Edward K. Pritchard, III, Esquire
Richard McDermott, Esquire
Seth M. Jaffe, Esquire
Helen Franzese, Esquire
Laura Watkins Jordan, Esquire
John Thomas Lay, Jr., Esquire
Elizabeth Fraysure Fulton, Esquire
Morgan S. Templeton, Esquire
Patrick F. Hofer, Esquire
Wayne S. Karbal, Esquire
Paul Parker, Esquire
Robert Michael Ethridge, Esquire
John C. Bonnie, Esquire
William Howell Morrison, Esquire
Michael H. Ginsberg, Esquire
Matthew R. Divelbiss, Esquire
Elizabeth Janelle Palmer, Esquire
Harry Lee, Esquire
Mary Woodson Poag, Esquire
John S. Favate, Esquire
Michael Forino, Esquire
Christian Stegmaier, Esquire