



The Supreme Court of South Carolina

DANIEL E. SHEAROUSE
CLERK OF COURT

BRENDA F. SHEALY
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

POST OFFICE BOX 11330
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA
29211

1231 GERVAIS STREET
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29201

TELEPHONE: (803) 734-1080

FAX: (803) 734-1499

www.sccourts.org

March 5, 2021

Lonnie Geter, 288401
Perry Correctional Institution
430 Oaklawn Road
Pelzer SC 29669

Re: Lonnie Geter v. State
Appellate Case No. 2021-000235

Dear Petitioner:

This Court has received the notice of appeal in the above post-conviction relief action. Since the order of the circuit court determined this action is barred as being successive and/or as being untimely under the statute of limitations, Rule 243(c) of the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules (SCACR) requires you to provide a written explanation as to why this determination was improper. This explanation must contain sufficient facts, argument and citation to legal authority to show that there is an arguable basis for asserting the determination by the lower court was improper. The failure to make a sufficient showing may result in the dismissal of this matter.

Please provide the explanation required by Rule 243(c) within twenty (20) days of the date of this letter.

Further, since you have filed multiple post-conviction relief applications challenging the underlying criminal conviction(s), the Court, if it determines that you have failed to provide an adequate explanation under Rule 243(c), SCACR,

may decide to prohibit you from filing a post-conviction relief application, habeas corpus action or any other action, motion or petition in the circuit court challenging this conviction(s) and sentence(s) (including a motion under Rule 29 of the South Carolina Rules of Criminal Procedure) without first obtaining the permission of this Court to do so. If you believe that there is some reason(s) why such a prohibition should not be imposed on future filings by you in the circuit court, those reasons should also be provided within twenty (20) days of the date of this letter.

With regard to your motion for the appointment of counsel, no action will be taken on this motion until the Court determines the sufficiency of your Rule 243(c) explanation.

Very truly yours,


DEPUTY CLERK

cc:
Chelsey Faith Marto, Esquire