

RECEIVED
Mar 04 2021
SC Court of Appeals

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In the Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM CHARLESTON COUNTY
Court of Common Pleas

Bentley D. Price, Circuit Court Judge

Appellate Case No. 2019-001470

John Doe,.....Respondent,

v.

The Diocese of Charleston, a Corporation Sole, and
the Bishop of the Diocese of Charleston, in his official capacity,.....Defendants,

and

Richard Roe,.....Respondent,

v.

The Diocese of Charleston, a Corporation Sole, and
the Bishop of the Diocese of Charleston, in his official capacity,.....Defendants,

Of whom the Bishop of the Diocese of Charleston,
in his official capacity is the.....Appellant.

Respondents' Amended Motion for Fees

On the 24th day of July, 2019 the Court of Common Pleas for the County of Charleston,
South Carolina issued an Order by the Honorable Bentley Price granting Respondents' Motion to

Compel discovery compliance by the Petitioners. Thereafter, counsel for the Petitioners moved for reconsideration by the trial court as to these Orders and such reconsideration was denied.

Thereafter the Petitioners herein appealed to the Court of Appeals, which on the 2nd day of December, 2019 dismissed the appeals as being interlocutory, relating to a discovery matter. Petitioners again moved for reconsideration, this time in the Court of Appeals, and such reconsideration was denied.

Thereafter, the Petitioners petitioned the South Carolina Supreme Court for a writ of certiorari, which petition was likewise denied and the case was sent back to the trial court in Charleston, This latter action was dated January 22, 2021.

Therefore, the involved matters did not advance on the trial docket at all, but were rather delayed during the pendency of Petitioners' improper appellate process for several months.

The matter having been now resolved adversely to the parties seeking appellate relief, and a substantial impediment to the litigation having been endured by the Respondents, such Plaintiffs below now seek the award of attorneys fees in the maximum allowable amount (Doe case \$2,500.00, Roe case \$2,500.00, total of \$5,000.00).

Respondents herein verily believes the appellate relief sought was for dilatory, delay, and not legitimate and allowable purpose. We so move.

THE RICHTER FIRM, LLC

s/Lawrence E. Richter, Jr.

Lawrence E. Richter, Jr. (SC Bar No. 4724)

Anna E. Richter (SC Bar No. 100787)

622 Johnnie Dodds Blvd.

Mt. Pleasant, SC 29464

(843)849-6000

LRichter@RichterFirm.com

Anna@RichterFirm.com

ATTORNEYS FOR RESPONDENTS

Mt. Pleasant, South Carolina
March 4, 2021

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In the Court of Appeals

RECEIVED
Mar 04 2021
SC Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM CHARLESTON COUNTY
Court of Common Pleas

Bentley D. Price, Circuit Court Judge

Appellate Case No. 2019-001470

John Doe,.....Respondent,

v.

The Diocese of Charleston, a Corporation Sole, and
the Bishop of the Diocese of Charleston, in his official capacity,.....Defendants,

and

Richard Roe,.....Respondent,

v.

The Diocese of Charleston, a Corporation Sole, and
the Bishop of the Diocese of Charleston, in his official capacity,.....Defendants,

Of whom the Bishop of the Diocese of Charleston,
in his official capacity is the.....Appellant.

PROOF OF SERVICE

The undersigned, an attorney in this matter of the Respondents, certifies that I have this
4th day of March, 2021, electronically served a copy of Respondents' Amended Motion for Fees

upon all counsel for the Appellant (listed below) by using the following AIS email addresses:

Richard S. Dukes, Jr.
Turner, Padget, Graham & Laney, P.A.
Post Office Box 22129
Charleston, SC 29413-2129
rdukes@turnerpadget.com

R. Hawthorne Barrett
Turner, Padget, Graham & Laney, P.A.
Post Office Box 1473
Columbia, SC 29202
tbarrett@turnerpadget.com

s/Lawrence E. Richter, Jr.
Lawrence E. Richter, Jr. (SC Bar No. 4724)
Anna E. Richter (SC Bar No. 100787)
The Richter Firm, LLC
622 Johnnie Dodds Blvd.
Mt. Pleasant, SC 29464
(843) 849-6000
LRichter@RichterFirm.com
Anna@RichterFirm.com

Attorneys for Respondents

March 4, 2021