

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
In The Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM GEORGETOWN COUNTY  
Court of Common Pleas  
Paul M. Burch, Circuit Court Judge

**RECEIVED**

**Mar 03 2021**

**SC Court of Appeals**

---

Appellate Case No. 2020-001166

---

Ex Parte: DeBordieu Colony Community Association, Inc., Appellant

In Re: The Belle W. Baruch Foundation, Plaintiff,  
v.  
The State of South Carolina, Defendant,

Of Which The Belle W. Baruch Foundation is the Respondent.

---

**INITIAL BRIEF OF THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA**

---

ALAN WILSON  
Attorney General

ROBERT D. COOK  
Solicitor General  
S.C. Bar No. 1373

J. EMORY SMITH, JR.  
Deputy Solicitor General  
S.C. Bar No. 5262

Office of the Attorney General  
Post Office Box 11549  
Columbia, SC 29211  
(803) 734-3680  
(803)734-3677 (Fax)  
esmith@scag.gov  
Counsel for the State

## INTRODUCTION

The Defendant State of South Carolina filed a Motion for Leave to File Abbreviated Brief as to DeBordieu's appeal of the denial of its motion to intervene. The State requested that it be relieved of requirements to include statements of the case and issues and tables of contest and authorities in the brief. This Court granted the State's Motion by Order dated February 1, 2021.

## ARGUMENT

### **DEBORDIEU IMPROPERLY RELIES ON BASELESS SPECULATION ABOUT THE STATE'S INTEREST IN AND DEFENSE OF THIS CASE**

The State consented to DeBordieu's intervention<sup>1</sup> and takes no position on the merits of its appeal except that the State does object to DeBordieu's speculation about and mischaracterization of the State's interest in and defense of this case. *See* Appellant's Brief at p. 19. These baseless arguments do not support DeBordieu's argument that its interests are not adequately represented.

DeBordieu asserts that "the State will not fight Respondent's claims with the same determination that DeBordieu would." Brief at p. 19. DeBordieu has provided no valid support for its statement. It relies on a proposed order for bifurcation submitted September 15, 2020, that falls outside the appropriate record for appeal of this case and should be struck or disregarded. R. p. \* DeBordieu mischaracterizes the Order as seeking to "fast-track the title determination for trial." Brief at page 19. The Order, instead called for a trial on title not before January 11, 2021. That date would hardly be a "fast-track" when this case was filed over a year earlier on November 14, 2019. DeBordieu also says that "the State has no plans to engage independent experts to analyze

---

<sup>1</sup> *See*, email of March 6, 2020, of counsel for the State to the Court regarding the hearing of the motion to intervene. R. p. \* Counsel did not attend that hearing because he had to be in Court in another case in a different county and had consented to the Motion.

Respondent’s claims.” DeBordieu cites nothing in the record to support this statement. The State has hired experts to testify in other tidelands cases when necessary, *See eg, Grant v. State*, 395 S.C. 225, 717 S.E.2d 96 (Ct. App. 2011); *Yelsen Land Co. v. State*, 397 S.C. 15, 18, 723 S.E.2d 592, 594 (2012)(State’s Motion for Summary Judgment granted and affirmed on grounds not involving expert). DeBordieu also points to its request that the property rights be determined by a jury which it alleges is a position that “the State is unwilling to take” (Brief at p. 19). “Where parties share the same ultimate objective, differences in litigation strategy do not normally justify intervention.” *Arakaki v. Cayetano*, 324 F.3d 1078, 1086 (9th Cir. 2003), as amended (May 13, 2003). Certainly, a jury trial is not a requisite for the successful defense of a suit by a private party claiming an interest in tidelands. *See, eg, Hoyle v. State*, 428 S.C. 279, 294, 833 S.E.2d 845, 853 (Ct. App. 2019), reh'g denied (Oct. 17, 2019), cert. dismissed (Jan. 29, 2020); *Grant, supra*; *Yelsen, supra*.

The State does not represent DeBordieu as a party, but it does represent the public interest and will most certainly defend and assert that interest with determination. In fact, the State has brought counterclaims for a prescriptive easement and dedication in this case whereas DeBordieu has proposed only one counterclaim. The State has successfully defended against a motion to dismiss its counterclaims in this case. R. pp. \* (Memorandum in Opposition to Motion to Dismiss; Order of June 16\*). The State has engaged in other significant work that is privileged or work product and not subject to disclosure now.

Although DeBordieu alleges a financial interest in this case, the State’s public trust interest in tidelands is much more than what DeBordieu calls a “general interest” in this suit. “[O]ur supreme court captured the essence of the [public trust] doctrine as it applies to tidelands: ‘Our State's tidelands are a precious public resource held in trust for the people of South Carolina.’”

*Hoyler supra*, 428 S.C. at 292, 833 S.E.2d at 852, citing *Kiawah Dev. Partners, II v. S.C. Dep't of Health & Env'tl. Control*, 411 S.C. 16, 22, 766 S.E.2d 707, 710 (2014). The State most certainly intends to defend that important public trust interest consistently with the law and the facts and will expend public resources to that end when appropriate and likely to be productive.

### CONCLUSION

The State respectfully requests that this Court reject the arguments of DeBordieu as referenced above and exclude or disregard its misplaced reliance on a proposed order outside the record in this case and not properly before this Court. Although the State has consented to DeBordieu's intervention, the State defers to this Court as to how best to decide this appeal. If intervention is allowed, the State will certainly cooperate professionally with DeBordieu while taking positions consistent with the State's interests.

Respectfully submitted,

/s J. EMORY SMITH, JR.

S.C. Bar No. 5262

Deputy Solicitor General

ALAN WILSON

Attorney General

ROBERT D. COOK

Solicitor General

S.C. Bar No. 1373

Office of the Attorney General

Post Office Box 11549

Columbia, South Carolina 29211

(803) 734-3680

Email: ESmith@scag.gov

March 3, 2021

ATTORNEYS FOR THE STATE

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
In The Court of Appeals

**RECEIVED**

**Mar 03 2021**

**SC Court of Appeals**

APPEAL FROM GEORGETOWN COUNTY  
Court of Common Pleas  
Paul M. Burch, Circuit Court Judge

Appellate Case No. 2020-001166

Ex Parte: DeBordieu Colony Community Association, Inc., Appellant

In Re: The Belle W. Baruch Foundation, Plaintiff

v.

The State of South Carolina, Defendant,

Of which The Belle W. Baruch Foundation is the Respondent.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have served the State's Initial Brief and Designation of Matter by emailing it to counsel for the other parties at the email addresses below this March 3, 2021 (Copy of email attached):

Brian C. Duffy, Esquire  
Duffy & Young, LLC  
bduffy@duffyandyoung.com

Robert L. Wehrman, Esquire  
Duffy & Young, LLC  
rwehrman@duffyandyoung.com

Patrick C. Wooten, Esquire  
Duffy & Young, LLC  
pwooten@duffyandyoung.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

March 3, 2021

Page 2

Trenholm Walker  
WALKER GRESSETTE FREEMAN & LINTON, LLC  
Walker@WGFLAW.com

Thomas P. Gressette, Jr.  
WALKER GRESSETTE FREEMAN & LINTON, LLC  
gressette@wgflaw.com

Thomas S. Tisdale, Jr.  
HELLMAN YATES & TISDALE, PA  
tst@hellmanyates.com

/s J. EMORY SMITH, JR.  
Deputy Solicitor General  
S.C. Bar No. 5262

Office of the Attorney General  
Post Office Box 11549  
Columbia, SC 29211  
(803) 734-3680

March 3, 2021

ATTORNEY FOR THE STATE

## Emory Smith

---

**From:** Emory Smith  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 3, 2021 1:28 PM  
**To:** walker@wgflaw.com; gressette@wgflaw.com; tst@hellmanyates.com; pwooten@duffyandyoung.com; rwehrman@duffyandyoung.com; bduffy@duffyandyoung.com  
**Subject:** RE: Ex Parte: DeBordieu v. The Belle W. Baruch Foundation (2020-001166)  
**Attachments:** Initial Brief of State for efilng (02504201xD2C78).PDF; Designation of Matter for State for efilng (02504203xD2C78).PDF

Fortunately, I have no side effects from the second Covid vaccine so far. Therefore, I do not need the extension, and I am serving you today with the attached State's Initial Brief and Designation of Matter for the Record.

Emory

J. Emory Smith, Jr.  
Deputy Solicitor General  
Office of the Attorney General  
P.O. Box 11549  
Columbia, SC 29211  
803-734-3642 Direct