

Exhibit 'B'

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2021 Feb 05 2:01 PM - GREENVILLE - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2019CP2304057

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA )  
 )  
COUNTY OF GREENVILLE )  
 )  
Amir Afshar, )  
 )  
Plaintiff, )  
 )  
vs. )  
 )  
Farhana Javed and Mian Javed )  
Ikram, )  
 )  
Defendants. )

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS  
THIRTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT  
C.A. NO.: 2019-CP-23-04057

ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S  
MOTION FOR RETRIAL

**RECEIVED**

MAR 09 2021

SC Court of Appeals

THIS MATTER is before the Court on the Plaintiff's Motion for Retrial. The Court has reviewed the Plaintiff's Motion, the Defendants' response to the motion, and the Plaintiff's reply to the Defendants' response. After such review, the Court has determined that no hearing on the motion is necessary and makes the following FINDINGS OF FACT and CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

1. The Plaintiff is not entitled to a new trial as no trial was conducted. The Plaintiff references Rule 59 in his motion.
2. Rule 59 contemplates a new trial after a trial has been conducted and completed. No trial was had in this matter as the Court granted summary judgment in favor of Defendants.
3. Defendants are entitled to, and were granted, Summary Judgment as a matter of law for reasons stated in the Order for Summary Judgment in this matter.
4. Further, the Plaintiff alleges no new information or error of law or procedure in his motion. The Plaintiff specifically asked in his motion for another hearing to "thoroughly present his entire case."

5. The Plaintiff requested "another bite at the apple" which is not proper or contemplated by Rule 59 or any other rule or theory of law.

THEREFORE, it his hereby ordered that the Plaintiff's Motion for Retrial is denied.

IT IS SO ORDERED!



Greenville Common Pleas

**Case Caption:** Amir Afshar vs. Mian Javed Ikram , defendant, et al  
**Case Number:** 2019CP2304057  
**Type:** Order/Other

So Ordered

s/ J. Cordell Maddox Jr.

Electronically signed on 2021-02-05 13:48:03 page 3 of 3