



The South Carolina Court of Appeals

JENNY ABBOTT KITCHINGS
CLERK

V. CLAIRE ALLEN
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

POST OFFICE BOX 11629
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29211
1220 SENATE STREET
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29201
TELEPHONE: (803) 734-1890
FAX: (803) 734-1839
www.sccourts.org

March 30, 2021

The Honorable James C. Campbell
Sumter County Judicial Center
215 North Harvin Street
Sumter SC 29150-4974

REMITTITUR

Re: The State v. Alonza K. Gibson
Lower Court Case No. 2017GS4300766
Appellate Case No. 2019-000280

Dear Clerk of Court:

The above referenced matter is hereby remitted to the lower court or tribunal. A copy of the judgment of this Court is enclosed.

The following exhibit is being returned: State's Exhibit #2 (DVD of Defendant's Interview)

Please sign the attached copy of this letter and return to acknowledge receipt of this item.

Very truly yours,

V. Claire Allen

CLERK

Enclosure

cc: Alonza K. Gibson, 00215934
Alan McCrory Wilson, Esquire
Lara Mary Caudy, Esquire
William M. Blich, Jr., Esquire
The Honorable George M. McFaddin, Jr.

Receipt Acknowledgement:

Name: _____ Date: _____

Appellate Case No. 2019-000280

**THIS OPINION HAS NO PRECEDENTIAL VALUE. IT SHOULD NOT BE
CITED OR RELIED ON AS PRECEDENT IN ANY PROCEEDING
EXCEPT AS PROVIDED BY RULE 268(d)(2), SCACR.**

**THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In The Court of Appeals**

The State, Respondent,

v.

Alonza King Gibson, Appellant.

Appellate Case No. 2019-000280

Appeal From Sumter County
George M. McFaddin, Jr., Circuit Court Judge

Unpublished Opinion No. 2021-UP-066
Submitted February 1, 2021 – Filed March 10, 2021

APPEAL DISMISSED

Appellate Defender Lara Mary Caudy, of Columbia, for
Appellant.

Attorney General Alan McCrory Wilson and Senior
Assistant Deputy Attorney General William M. Blich,
Jr., both of Columbia, for Respondent.

PER CURIAM: Dismissed after consideration of Appellant's pro se brief and review pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967). Counsel's motion to be relieved is granted.¹

APPEAL DISMISSED.

KONDUROS, GEATHERS, and MCDONALD, JJ., concur.

¹ We decide this case without oral argument pursuant to Rule 215, SCACR.