

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In the Court of Appeals

RECEIVED

Apr 12 2021

SC Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM SUMTER COUTY
Court of Common Pleas

Kristi Curtis, Circuit Court Judge

Case No.: 2018-CP-43-01583
Appellate Case No. 2019-000873

M. B. HutsonAppellant,

v.

A. Paul Weissenstein.....Respondent.

**Appellant's Response to "Respondent's Second Opposition to
Motion to Reinstate Appeal for continued failure to comply with SCACR 210"**


Comes now Appellant who states the following:

Appellant has fully responded to Respondents opposition to motion to reinstate appeal for continued failure to comply with SCACR210 by submitting the amended record of appeal. Appellant reminds the Honorable Courts that Appellant has complied including presenting a sworn affidavit by an expert that Respondent did, in fact, commit malpractice upon the Appellant causing severe and continuing financial damages into the millions. An affidavit has been submitted that verifies Weissenstein's malpractice. This Respondent and his attorney both

know he is guilty. Appellant, not being a seasoned, college graduate attorney, has been wronged and this Respondent's slick lawyer simply tries to make things as complicated to Appellant as possible. Should there be a serious deficiency remaining, Appellant asks that the Honorable Court allow this indigent Pro Se Appellant (whose indigency is directly caused by this Respondent's malpractice) to continue, and allow this case to be remanded to a jury trial.

Respectfully submitted this 12th day of April, 2021.

Respectfully submitted by,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'M B Hutson', written over a horizontal line.

M B Hutson, Pro Se, Appellant

Post Office Box 2755
Orangeburg, S.C. 29116-2755
(803) 308-2714
hmr226621@gmail.com

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In the Court of Appeals

RECEIVED

Apr 12 2021

SC Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM SUMTER COUNTY
Court of Common Pleas

Kristi Curtis, Circuit Court Judge

Case No.: 2018-CP-43-01583
Appellate Case No. 2019-000873

M. B. HutsonAppellant,

v.

A. Paul Weissenstein.....Respondent.

Comes now Appellate who affirms that on April 12, 2021, he electronically filed the Appellant's **Response to "Respondent's Second Opposition to Motion to Reinstate Appeal for continued failure to comply with SCACR 210"** with a full copy to Steven Kropski, Esq. @Earhart Overstreet, counsel for Respondent, Paul Weissenstein, Esq..

Respectfully submitted this 12th day of April.



M. B. Hutson, Pro Se Appellant

NOTE: This is served via e-mail to the court and to the Respondent's attorney in compliance with the court's permission and temporary rules during this coronavirus era.