

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In the Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM DORCHESTER COUNTY
Court of Common Pleas

The Honorable Deadra L. Jefferson, First Judicial Circuit
The Honorable Edgar W. Dickson, First Judicial Circuit
Honorable Maite Murphy, First Judicial Circuit

Appellate Case No. 2018-002185

Molly M. Morphew, Appellant,

v.

Stephen Dudek, Doreen Cross, David Collins, Allison Williams, First
Federal, Michael Scarafilo, Susan Nicholson, Carolina One Real Estate,
Carrie Boyer, Woody Law Firm, Respondents.

APPELLANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS OR STRIKE RESPONDENTS' MOTION TO
DISMISS; AND COMPEL COMPLIANCE

Molly M. Morphew, pro se
121 Sterling Rd.
Rincon, GA
(843) 514-7299

94150
RECEIVED
MAY 04 2021
SC Court of Appeals

Appellant Molly Morpew (herein "Appellant"), respectfully requests this court STRIKE, or in the alternate, DISMISS or DENY Respondents Stephen Dudek, Doreen Cross, Allison Williams, First Federal, Michael Scarafile, Susan Nicholson, Carolina One Real Estate, Carrie Boyer, and Woody Law Firm's (herein "Respondents" ¹) motion to dismiss. Appellant further asks this court for an order compelling Respondents to comply with Rule 208, 209 and 210, SCACR.

On January 11, 2021, due SCACR Rule 209 & 210 and the Appellant's inability to compile a Record on Appeal, plus the prejudice and undue burden it would impose, Appellant filed its Motion to Amend Designation of Matter. Within that motion, Appellant requested Respondents to (1) clarify [with specificity] their designation of matter (Rule 209(a) and 209(b)); (2) only include portions of the transcripts, depositions, etc., which may be properly included in the Record, Rule 209(b) and 210(c); and (3) for their designation of matter to only contain material that can be properly included. Rule 209 (b) and 210(c). Further, due to above, and their improperly included materials and lack of references in their brief(s) resulting in violations of SCARC Rule 208(b)(4), Rule 209 and Rule 210, and Appellants inability to compile the record, Appellant also requested, (4) an extension to file the Record. (Exhibit A: Motion, January 11, 2021).

On February 23, 2021, this Court granted #4, a thirty-day extension to file her record, and denied #1, specifically Appellant's request for Respondents to clarify their designation, "...to require Respondents' to clarify their designations of matter."² It ordered Appellant to "serve the

¹ Does not include all Respondents in this case.

² Denying clarification is a violation of SCACR Rule 209(a)(b): Rule 209(a): Designation of Matter to be Included in the Record on Appeal which shall set forth with specificity those parts of the transcript, pleadings, orders, exhibits, or other materials which he proposes to include in the record on appeal. Rule 209(b): The Designation must clearly identify what the party desires to have included in the Record on Appeal, and the Designation may only propose to include portions of the transcript, pleadings, orders, exhibits, or other materials which may be properly included in

Record on Appeal on all respondents and file proof of service with this Court within 30 days of the date of the order, stating “Failure of Appellant to comply may result in dismissal of this appeal.” (Exhibit B: Order, February 23, 2021). Appellant complied with the order by serving the Record within 30 days and filing proof of service with this Court.

On April 8, 2021, Respondents filed a motion to dismiss, with amending the Record as an alternate, presenting this Court denied Appellant’s request to limit the Respondents’ designations of matter (Exhibit C: Respondents Motion, pg. 2, para. 2). They indicated they found several deficiencies in the record, which Appellant has addressed below, and appears they are attempting to present to this court that Appellant disobeyed the order because the court rejected her explicit attempt to limit the record.

First, Respondents motion to dismiss fails. This court *did not* deny #3 and #4, Appellant’s request to *limit* the Respondents’ designations of matter to only include portions of the transcripts, depositions, pleadings, orders, exhibits, or other materials that can be properly included in the Record³, Rule 209(b) and 210(c) OR (#3), and for their designation of matter to only contain material that can be properly included. Rule 209 (b) and 210(c). This court’s rules themselves prevent such a denial. To do so would be a violation of the rules and a violation of Appellant’s due process. Respondents failed to amend their designations of matter to exclude improper or irrelevant materials, materials not presented to the lower court, or materials not properly referenced (including but not limited to, non-existent transcripts, documents not presented to the lower court in this case, and materials not referenced properly in their briefs). SCACR Rule 208, 209 and 210.

the Record on Appeal [See Rule 210(c)]. A party shall not include any matter in his Designation which is not relevant to the appeal.

³ Contrary to the Respondents’ misrepresentation in their motion to dismiss.

On the other hand, as certified by Appellant and pursuant SCACR Rule 210(c), Appellant is only required to include all matter designated *under SCACR Rule 209*, including but not limited to, proper and relevant matter to this appeal set forth with specificity and clearly identified and to include portions of the transcript, pleadings, orders, exhibits, or other materials referenced. Further, it is to include only matter which was presented to the lower court or tribunal in this case.

Rule 209(a): Designation of Matter to be Included in the Record on Appeal which *shall set forth with specificity* those parts of the transcript, pleadings, orders, exhibits, or other materials which he proposes to include in the record on appeal.

Rule 209(b): The Designation must clearly identify what the party desires to have included in the Record on Appeal, and the Designation may only propose to include portions of the transcript, pleadings, orders, exhibits, or other materials which may be properly included in the Record on Appeal [See Rule 210(c)]. A party shall not include any matter in his Designation which is not relevant to the appeal.

Specifically, in regards to:

- 1) The transcripts: Respondents overall argument is that ALL hearing transcripts in the case (as they listed *generally* as “all hearing transcripts...” in one form or another), and all in their entirety, should be included because “*the hearings identified are where all parties to this appeal argued motions that ultimately gave rise to the very orders that the Appellant is appealing.*”

This argument fails.

- a) As Appellant has presented previously, there are only 3 proceedings in which all motions that gave rise to the specific Orders on appeal were adjudicated. Their applicable transcripts were ordered by Appellant and provided to the Respondents and presented this Courts at the beginning of this appeal. The Respondents received and were clearly aware of the 3 transcripts ordered and in existence (herein known as “Appeal Transcripts”). Further, not at any time

previous to the filing of their Briefs or this issue did the Respondents address or request any other hearing transcripts, or raise this argument to this Court; neither have they presented evidence any other transcripts they reference exists nor presented the alleged transcripts to the lower court. As far as Appellant's knowledge, any other transcripts, other than the Appeal Transcripts (the 3 regarding the adjudication of motions and those orders listed in the notice of appeal), are non-existent and have never been ordered to be transcribed, if even possible to be transcribed.

Based on the above, and that non-existent documents are irrelevant to an appeal⁴, the non-existent transcripts cannot be, and is impossible, to include in the Respondents' designation and/or the Record. Respondents' were required by the rules to amend their Designation of Matter appropriately. Compliance does not require an order. Respondents failed to amend. As a result, Appellant respectfully asks this court for an order requiring the Respondents to comply with the rules and amend their designation of matter applicably.

b) Even so, Respondents cannot claim "importance" of alleged hearings⁵ in an appeal if they have no idea what was said or cannot support any salient facts alleged. Further, the Respondents, movants in this motion, have not presented any specific facts or any case law in their motion, especially that supports its argument. Just as important, the Respondents waited until all briefs were filed and Appellant filed a motion requesting this Court to ask the Respondents to amend their designation of matter appropriately, and as required by this Court's own rules, before stating they think transcripts, other than the Appeal Transcripts presented to

⁴ A litigant cannot list a non-existent document, certify its non-existent contents are relevant to the appeal, present no argument or case law and demand they be included in the Record, especially since a non-existent document cannot be included in the Record or reviewed by this Court.

⁵ Respondents fail to present to this court that any other hearings exist as they provided no specific hearings or dates or what alleged motions, if any, in regards to the Orders on appeal that may have been presented.

the Courts by Appellant at the beginning of this appeal, are 'important'. Such motion is untimely or fails.

c) Respondents did not "reference" any part of any transcript, even the Appeal Transcripts, to support the salient facts alleged in its briefs as required by the rules of this court. Additionally, Respondents provide no argument or case law that warrants or supports the call for an 'entire transcript'. Instead it presents entire transcripts allows the appellate court to obtain a complete picture in order "to make a fully informed decision about the orders being appealed."

First, if the Respondents had been so concerned about providing the courts with a complete picture so it could make a fully informed decision, they would not have lied and misrepresented about critically material facts, or suppressed critically material documents, that effects the legal position of the Respondents and the jurisdiction of the courts in the original case or any subsequent case. It's not surprising that the Respondents change their position depending how it can serve them, by failing to do in the original case what they so plead for here, a fully informed ruling.

Regardless, that's not the effect of the rules as explained below. To facilitate appellate review, the rules support 'the litigants paint the picture' by providing arguments and pointing to specific parts of the transcripts and other appropriate material in support of their salient facts alleged. It appears the Respondents are attempting to violate these rules and slow down the process. It also appears, especially due their failure to reference specific parts of the transcripts in their briefs and designation, Respondents are expecting the Appellate court to read entire transcripts, "'grope in the dark' concerning the specific allegations of error"⁶ and do the work for them.

⁶ Quoting *Connolly v. People's Life Ins. Co. of S.C.*, 299 S.C. 348, 352, 384 S.E.2d 738, 740 (1989)).

Finally, any alleged transcript outside the Appeal transcripts are non-existent or had not been presented to the lower court, therefore their request to include entire transcripts not in existence is inappropriate or impossible and cannot be included in the Record.

Respondents ultimately fail SCACR Rule 208(b)(4), (requiring briefs to contain references to the transcripts and to where relevant objections and rulings occurred in the transcript...to support the salient facts alleged) (noting the appellant failed to "point to specific objections and rulings" as required by the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules, thus leaving the court to "'grope in the dark' concerning the specific allegations of error") (quoting *Connolly v. People's Life Ins. Co. of S.C.*, 299 S.C. 348, 352, 384 S.E.2d 738, 740 (1989)). As the Supreme Court advised the bar in *Henning v. Kaye*, 307 S.C. 436, 415 S.E.2d 794 (1992), the Appellate Court Rules "are not mere technicalities but provide the parties and this Court with an orderly mechanism through which to guide appeals in this State. It is incumbent upon counsel to provide material that complies with the Rules and facilitates appellate review." (quoting *Forner v. Butler*, 425 S.C. 460 S.E.2d (1995); (where Appellant was ordered to file an amended Designation that sets forth with specificity the exhibits and other matter he wishes to include in the Record on Appeal, and was reminded that the Record on Appeal shall not contain any matter not presented to the trial court. Rule 209(c), SCACR) *Henning v. Kay*, 307 S.C. 436, 415 S.E.2d 794 (1992).

Just because a litigant makes a statement or argument in their brief or wishes to include a document in the record, does not mean that statement or argument is relevant or preserved for appellate review or that document is relevant or is matter which can be included in the record. If that were the case, then the majority of this court rules would just be mere trifles allowing parties to appeal without boundaries.

2) In regards to prejudice to Appellant:

Demanding Appellant to include entire transcripts when Respondents have failed to reference any part of any transcript, including the Appeal Transcripts, in their briefs and/or designation prejudices Appellant. Failing to point to specific parts of the transcripts in support of their salient facts alleged while requesting the whole transcripts to be included in the Record for the Appellate court to “grope in the dark” violates this court’s rules, AND does not allow Appellant to fully and appropriately defend, thus violating Appellant’s due process. Further, if Appellant was failing to follow this court’s rules, surely this Court would have pointed it out in their Order in which Respondents’ motion arises, especially since the Respondents were so quick to point it out in their response to Appellant’s motion to amend their designation. Once again, Respondents attempt to make Appellant look bad in the eyes of the court, but no amount of projection, documents, court orders, case law, smoke and mirrors or the courts turning a blind eye, can erase or change the truth -- and what’s in the record. The Dudeks abandoned their sales contract. The Dudeks, at their own hand, had no valid and negotiable sales contract after the contract expired on November 30, 2012. The Dudeks’ had no legal right to the property after November 30, 2012. The Dudeks never completed their mortgage application, therefore were not ready, able and willing to tender payment per specific performance. As a result, the lower court had no jurisdiction to provide or order the remedy of specific performance in favor of the Dudeks. The Respondents committed fraud (perjury, forgery, misrepresentation, suppressing the critically material denial letters, failing to disclose the Dudeks’ denials of lending, suborning perjury, conspiracy, and extrinsic fraud on the court: false promises by the lender that they were

extending credit to the Dudeks^{7,8}) to obtain the Dudeks' order for specific performance. An order obtained by fraud, fraud which directly affects the legal standing of the Respondents and the jurisdiction of the court and its ability to provide the remedy, is extrinsic fraud on the court, and such order is fails on its face and is VOID. Minus the fraud, the original order of November 6, 2014 fails on its face in part awarding specific performance to the Dudeks. The only part of that order that does not fail is Appellant's award for specific performance.

Regardless, since it's impossible to include "non-existent transcripts" of "alleged hearings"⁹ in the Record, it obviously prejudices Appellant. The cost, time, undue stress and loss of work to present this very basic and obvious fact to the Respondents and this court is a prejudice in itself, let alone requesting an impossible task in their motion to dismiss is prejudice to Appellant.

⁷ Instead, Respondents denied the Dudeks mortgage credit for failing to provide them with a valid and negotiable sales contract at initial application. The Dudeks had no legal claim to the property and it was not remedied. As recorded in the Record.

⁸ Nowhere in the Record is there validated and documented proof the Dudeks had completed their application and were approved even at the buyers' level. Not at any time in the original case or any subsequent case, even in discovery, would the Respondents or the lower court provide or compel proof of their alleged 2012 'lender approval' as required by Specific Performance. Instead, the lower court denied sellers and Appellant over and over its demand for proof of loan approval as dictated by specific performance. The only reasoning Appellant could conclude regarding the lower court's denial to compel simple documents that are at the fingertips of the Respondents – simple documents that would have ended all this litigation -- is that it knew such documentation did not and does not exist or knew of the false position the Dudeks, its attorneys, the bank, the sales agency, closing attorney and itself was in. Based on the history, it appears that all the courts had to do was keep denying, refusing to consider the evidence proving illegal rulings or orders, or make rulings outside its jurisdiction hoping to trip the Appellant or the pro se party would eventually tire and give up or the Dudeks would close and it would be over. No harm no foul for them.

On the contrary, the Record here clearly produces validated and documented proof, documents that have never been reviewed or considered by this court or the lower courts, proving the Dudeks had abandoned their 2012 sales contract before making initial application for mortgage credit, therefore at all times material to all cases involved, had no valid and negotiable sales contract after it expired on November 20, 2012. There is no court, law or order that can that can make an invalid or expired sales contract 'unexpired' or valid, especially since its expiration or abandonment was solely caused by the Dudeks themselves. As a consequence, the Dudeks had no legal standing to demand specific performance, as they had no rights to the property, therefore were improperly before the court.

⁹ Respondent's fail to present any specific hearing other than the hearings Appellant provided adjudicating the Orders on Appeal, to support their brief or that could be included in the Record.

Due the Respondents violation of the rules, lack of argument or case law, their failure to point to specific parts of the transcripts, and the amount of prejudice on the Appellant, their request to include entire transcripts is improper or fails this court's rules.

3) In regards to the Morhew deposition: Respondents sole defense to include the entire Morhew deposition in the record is to "provide this Court a complete picture of how the Appellant attempted to posture her case."

How Appellant allegedly "attempted to postured its case" is not an issue on appeal, nor was that an issue raised and adjudicated in the lower court, therefore not available for Appellate review. As a result, the inclusion of the entire Morhew deposition is improper or irrelevant. Regardless, the Respondents failed to present any argument or case law in support of their statement or to any relevance of "Appellant's posture" in the appeal of this case."

4) In regards to the items omitted from the record:

a) With respect to Respondents Woody Law Firm and Carrie Boyer, they present "items 12, 14,16, 20, exhibits to 26, exhibits to 29, and 34, in their designation of matter were not included in the record. In addition, the copy of Exhibit F-1 to item 28 is illegible and should be replaced with a legible copy."

- i. Item 12: Could not locate one in the court record (**Exhibit D**: court record screen shot dated 3-21-22)
- ii. Item 14: Already included. See pg. 145 Record
- iii. Item 16: Order not relevant to appeal. Appellant appealed amended order, which is included in the Record, plus the amended order is exactly the same as this but for one irrelevant and minor change, to correct a scrivener's error in the Second Cause of

Action. Additionally, Respondents present no argument in its Brief nor point to any specific reference in this order, especially that would justify including both legally exact documents.

- iv. Item 20: Motion was denied and did not alter or amend the original order except to correct a scrivener's error. In the lower court's order, it was ruled that "Respondents presented no novel facts, arguments or theories in support of their Motion to Alter or Amend. Moreover, Defendants have not highlighted any portions of the record this Court may have misunderstood, failed to fully consider, or perhaps failed to rule on." (**Exhibit E**: Order April 3, 2017). Respondents have not appealed this Order - or the Order denying in part their Motion to Dismiss - therefore its Motion to alter or amend is irrelevant to the appeal.
- v. Exhibits to Item 26: Exhibits not in the lower court record (**Exhibit F**: court record screen shot).
- vi. Exhibits to Item 29: No exhibits filed, no exhibits in court record or served with their 14-pg Memorandum to Appellant.
- vii. Item 34: There is no "Order Granting Collins Summary Judgment". (If Respondents are pointing to the November 15, 2018 Order, it is an "Order Granting Collins Motion to Dismiss" (*See* order filed in lower court). See pg. 32 Record.
- viii. Copy of Exhibit F-1 to item 28 is illegible and should be replaced with a legible copy: This is what was filed or scanned by the court (**Exhibit G**: Screenshot F-1 filed/scanned). Memorandum and exhibits downloaded from court record. If a legible copy of the attachment is required, it will need to be provided by Respondents as they were the ones who submitted it to the court.

b) With respect to Respondents First Federal and Allison Williams:

- i. Item 10: Para. c), pg. 3-5 of this response repeated herein. Further, no argument in their brief or in this motion warrants for the entire transcript and such request is an unjustified monetary and time consuming burden, especially during Covid-19.
- ii. Item 11: Order not appealed (See Appellant's Initial Brief). Further, this order and its entire content is included in the lower court's amended order, which has been appealed by Appellant, and only in part and only where it granted Respondent's motion. Respondents did not appeal this order. The appealed amended order is included in the record, see Pg. 78 of Record.
- iii. Item 18: Respondents sole defense to include the entire Morphew deposition in the record is to "provide this Court a complete picture of how the Appellant attempted to posture her case." How Appellant allegedly "attempted to posture its case" is not an issue on appeal, nor was that an issue raised and adjudicated in the lower court, therefore not available for Appellate review. As a result, the inclusion of the entire Morphew deposition is improper or irrelevant. Regardless, the Respondents failed to present any argument or case law in support of their statement or to any relevance of "Appellant's posture" in this case."
- ix. Item 22: Exhibits not in the lower court record (**Exhibit F**: court record screen shot).
- iv. Item 26: Para. c), pg. 3-5 above repeated herein. Further, no argument in their brief or in this motion warrants for the entire transcript and such request is an unjustified monetary and time consuming burden, especially during Covid-19.

- v. Item 30: No order “granting Collins summary judgment”. (If Respondents are pointing to the November 15, 2018 Order, it is an “Order Granting Collins Motion to Dismiss” (see order filed). See pg. 32 Record
- c) With respect to Respondents Stephen Dudek, Doreen Cross, Michael Scarafile, Susan Nicholson, and Carolina One Real Estate:
- i. Item 2: Order not on appeal, nor was order or issue presented or adjudicated in this case to the lower court or on this appeal, therefore not preserved for appellate review. Further, order is irrelevant to the appeal, and where Respondents fail to provide any argument or case law to support its requirement or relevancy in the record.
 - ii. Item 3: Order not on appeal, nor was order or issue presented or adjudicated in this case to the lower court or on this appeal, therefore not preserved for appellate review. Further, order is irrelevant to the appeal, and where Respondents fail to provide any argument or case law to support its requirement or relevancy in the record.
 - iii. Item 4: Issue/document not on or is irrelevant to the appeal here. Issue not presented to or adjudicated in this case to the lower court or on this appeal, therefore not preserved for appellate review. No argument or case law presented by Respondents to justify relevancy or the burden, undue cost, time, stress or loss of work, especially during Covid-19.
 - iv. Item 5: Issue/document not on or is irrelevant to the appeal here. Issue not presented to or adjudicated in this case to the lower court or on this appeal, therefore not preserved for appellate review. No argument or case law presented by Respondents to justify relevancy or the burden, undue cost, time, stress or loss of work, especially during Covid-19.

- v. Item 10: Respondent has provided no detail to what "Record, pg. 291" is. Appellant has no record of this item. Appellate case closed in 2017.
- vi. Item 11: Appellant has no "First Federal file with Transmittal Letter", only a box of papers she calls her First Federal "file" and that she pieced together with any information regarding First Federal received during the Dudek depositions, from her attorney when she proceeded pro se, and from the Ferros. Respondents are aware of this as Appellant stated this several times in her deposition (see Appellants Brief and reply brief). Appellant has added to her 'file' other information or documents obtained from Respondents in this case since the start of this appeal. This box is approximately 300 pages. Regardless, the Respondents fail to point to any specific documents to support their salient facts alleged, thus failing this court's rules regarding their designation. They also fail to present any argument or case law where Appellant is required to include all or any of her own personal case files. Regardless, Appellants own files is 'privileged' information. Such demand would prejudice Appellant, notwithstanding the undue burden, cost, loss of work and effort to produce, especially during Covid-19.
- vii. Item 13: Appellate case closed in 2017. Further, this is material not presented to the lower court in this case and/or document not on or is irrelevant to this appeal, therefore cannot be included in the record. No argument or case law presented by Respondents to justify relevancy of this document nor did they point to any portion of this document in their briefs or designation in support of the salient facts alleged. Inclusion is a violation of this court's rules, and such demand is prejudice to

Appellant, notwithstanding the unjustified excessive burden, undue cost, time, stress or loss of work, especially during Covid-19.


- viii. Item 17: This is a repeat of Item 3 here. Regardless, Item 3 response repeated herein.
- ix. Item 18: This is a repeat of Item 2 here. Regardless, Item 2 response repeated herein.
- x. Item 19: Issue/document not on or is irrelevant to the appeal here. Document not presented to lower court in this case. Issue not presented to or adjudicated in this case to the lower court. Issue not on appeal here. Therefore, not preserved for appellate review. Respondents fail to point to any portion of the document to support any salient fact alleged. No argument or case law presented by Respondents to justify relevancy or the burden, undue cost, time, stress or loss of work, especially during Covid-19.
- xi. Item 20: Response to Issue 19 above repeated herein.
- xii. Item 21: Response to Issue 19 above repeated herein.
- xiii. Item 22: Response to Issue 19 above repeated herein.
- xiv. Item 24: Response to Issue 19 above repeated herein.
- xv. Item 25: Response to Issue 19 above repeated herein.

Ultimately, Respondents' Motion to Dismiss is invalid or inappropriate. The Respondents do not argue the ruling in the order. Respondents instead misrepresent the ruling and attempt to violate this court's rules. Their actions are an attempt to slow down the process, make Appellant look bad in the eyes of the court, set Appellant up for failure or severely prejudice Appellant.

Appellant has only in good faith, and with due diligence, and to the best of its knowledge,

followed this court's rules in order to facilitate this process while protecting its rights, especially due process. Appellate has complied with the court order, and as certified, provided a proper and complete record based on the rules of this court. Appellant only asks this court to require Respondents to do the same in respect to their designation of matter.

Due the above, Respondents motion to dismiss should be struck from the record, or in the alternate dismissed or denied. Appellant further asks this court for an order compelling Respondents to comply with Rule 208, 209 and 210, SCACR, and amend their designations appropriately.



Molly M. Morphey, *pro se*
121 Sterling Rd.
Rincon, GA
(843) 514-7299

April 27, 2021

EXHIBIT A

93759

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In the Court of Appeals

RECEIVED

JAN 11 2021

APPEAL FROM DORCHESTER COUNTY SC Court of Appeals
Court of Common Pleas

The Honorable Deadra L. Jefferson, First Judicial Circuit
The Honorable Edgar W. Dickson, First Judicial Circuit
Honorable Maite Murphy, First Judicial Circuit

Appellate Case No. 2018-002185

Molly M. Morphew, Appellant,

v.

Stephen Dudek, Doreen Cross, David Collins, Allison Williams, First
Federal, Michael Scarafile, Susan Nicholson, Carolina One Real Estate,
Carrie Boyer, Woody Law Firm, Respondents.

APPELLANT'S MOTION FOR EXTENSION AND
AMENDMENT OF RESPONDENTS DESIGNATION OF MATTER

Molly M. Morphew, pro se
121 Sterling Rd.
Rincon, GA

Appellant Molly Morpew, pro se, hereby respectfully asks for an extension to serve and file the Record on Appeal, and requests Respondents to amend their Designation of Matter to clearly identify what they desire to have included in the Record on Appeal, and only include portions of the transcripts, depositions, pleadings, orders, exhibits, or other materials that can be properly included in the Record for the reasons below.

In Appellant's attempt to compile the Record on Appeal, it has been substantially noticed that it is a task Appellant is unable to complete. First, the Respondents fail to clearly identify what they desire to have included in the Record on Appeal.

Respondents' First Federal, Allison Williams, Woody Law Firm and Carrie Boyer's designation of matter does not include *any* specificity to its matter (Ex. A & B), while Respondents Collins, Dudek, Cross, Scarafilo, Carolina One and Nicholson specifies some but not others (Ex. C & D), leaving Appellant to search, guess or assume what document is intended, and leaving doubt the Record will be properly compiled. Meaning, dated documents and page numbers (where applicable) would provide no doubt the exact Matter intended and its applicability.

For example, including but not limited to:

Respondents First Federal and Allison Williams designation of matter (Ex. A) includes, "Summons and Complaint" (#1), but which one? Another, #3, "Dudek and Cross' Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss and Motion for Sanctions." Which one?

Respondents Woody Law Firm and Carrie Boyer's Designation of Matter (Ex. B) includes "Morpew's Contract with Sellers" (#38), but does not specify which contract, which sellers or which date. Further, #5 states "Summons and Complaint". Which one?

Respondent David Collins designation of matter (Ex. C) #1 states "Summons and Complaint" (again, which one), #2 --which one? While #8 is "Appellant's Motion to Strike Collins' Answer", but which one? #12, "Order granting Resp. Collins Motion to Dismiss." Which one? Plus, #5 and #6 are not valid documents, and such matter would have to be brought before the court to deem them valid, therefore Collins cannot point to them to support any of his arguments and must be removed from its Designation and Brief.

Respondents Stephen Dudek, Doreen Cross, Michael Scarafilo, Susan Nicholson and Carolina One Real Estate's designation of matter (Ex. D), includes "First Federal File, with Transmittal Letter." What file are they referencing? #21 was not presented to the lower court or on appeal in this case, therefore irrelevant. They also include several appellate briefs from other cases that are extensive in length but reference no pages that are to support arguments to include in their matter; Entire transcripts with all exhibits, but point to no page numbers or exhibits; and generally state "all hearing transcripts and exhibits" from the case. It is not the burden of Appellant to research for them all hearings or provide transcripts that may not be included in the appeal, or include pages in the record that are not applicable to their argument.

Additionally, Respondents violate court rules and severely prejudice Appellant by including vast amounts of irrelevant, unreferenced, un-argued or improper matter, possibly in hopes this court would find ground in the record that the Respondents have failed to present in

their brief in order to affirm the lower court's ruling(s). (SCARC Rule 208 and SCARC Rule 220). Moreover, their actions cause Appellant *substantial* undue effort and costs.

Appellant will be substantially burdened and prejudiced with undue costs and time to include entire depositions, transcripts, briefs, etc. when it's apparent such blanket inclusion is not required nor relevant and can be considered a violation of Rule 209 and Rule 210. For example, the Respondents reference a 305-page deposition and a 106-page transcript but fail to point to any specific page(s) or line(s) for argument. Pursuant this court's rules, only portions of those documents are to be included, and only relevant parts. Appellant neither sees this court tasked with searching the whole 305-page or 106-page document nor should this court require Appellant to include irrelevant, unnecessary or unreferenced pages in the Record.


Rule 209(b)- Content. The Designation must clearly identify what the party desires to have included in the Record on Appeal, and the Designation may only propose to include portions of the transcript, pleadings, orders, exhibits, or other materials which may be properly included in the Record on Appeal [See Rule 210(c)]. A party shall not include any matter in his Designation which is not relevant to the appeal. SCACR Rule 209(b).

Rule 209(c)- Certification. The Designation shall be accompanied by a certificate signed by the party's counsel of record that the Designation contains no matter which is irrelevant to the appeal." SCACR Rule 209(c).

Further, the sheer cost and time to produce and mail 21 copies of the already substantial volume of this record is burdensome enough, especially due COVID and social distancing where Appellant has no free access to a personal computer and unjustifiably printing a potential 2,000+ page Record 21 times would be extremely costly and impossible under the circumstances, as Appellant has not the time available or funds to do so.

Due to the reasons above, Appellant asks this court to direct the Respondents to amend their designation(s) of matter to clearly identify each document and include only relevant portions of the transcripts, depositions, pleadings, orders, exhibits, or other materials so as Appellant can properly compile the Record, and to avoid any improper, unnecessary or irrelevant matter. Such actions would also prevent possible violations of this Court's rules and Appellant from severe prejudice. As a result of their actions and pending this motion, Appellant asks for an extension to serve and file the Record on Appeal, and such extension dates to be determined after full resolution of this motion.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Molly M Morpew', written over a horizontal line.

Molly M Morpew, Appellant
121 Sterling Dr.
Rincon, GA 31326

January 4, 2021

EXHIBIT B

The South Carolina Court of Appeals

Molly Morpew, Appellant,

v.

Stephen Dudek, Doreen Cross, David Collins, Allison Williams, First Federal, Michael Scarafie, Susan Nicholson, Carolina One Real Estate, Carrie Boyer, Woody Law Firm, Respondents.

Appellate Case No. 2018-002185

ORDER

Appellant's motion for an extension of time to serve the record on appeal and file a proof of service is granted. Appellant's motion to require Respondents' to clarify their designations of matter is denied. Appellant shall serve the record on appeal on all Respondents and file a proof of service with this court within thirty days of the date of this order. Failure of Appellant to comply may result in dismissal of this appeal.



FOR THE COURT

Columbia, South Carolina

cc:

Molly Morpew
Steven L. Smith, Esquire
Amy Lynn Neuschafer, Esquire
Amy L.B. Hill, Esquire
Jordan Michael Crapps, Esquire
Laura Ruth Baer, Esquire
Robert McNair Peele, III, Esquire
Samuel Melvil Wheeler, Esquire
David Collins

FILED
Feb 23 2021

EXHIBIT C

IN THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In the Court of Appeals

RECEIVED

Apr 08 2021

SC Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM DORCHESTER COUNTY
Deadra L. Jefferson, Circuit Court Judge
Edgar W. Dickson, Circuit Court Judge
Maite Murphy, Circuit Court Judge

Case No.: 2016-CP-18-01706
Appellate Case No.: 2018-002185

Molly M. Morphew, Appellant

v.

Stephen Dudek, Doreen Cross, David Collins,
Allison Williams, First Federal, Michael Scarafile,
Susan Nicholson, Carolina One Real Estate,
Carrie Boyer, and Woody Law Firm, Respondents

**RESPONDENTS' JOINT MOTION TO DISMISS APPEAL OR, IN THE
ALTERNATIVE, TO REQUIRE APPELLANT TO FILE AND SERVE AMENDED
RECORD ON APPEAL**

Respondents Stephen Dudek, Doreen Cross, Allison Williams, First Federal¹, Michael Scarafile, Susan Nicholson, Carolina One Real Estate, Carrie Boyer, and Woody Law Firm (herein collectively the "Respondents") respectfully request an order dismissing this appeal or, in the alternative, requiring the Appellant to file and serve a complete record on appeal, pursuant to Rule 210, SCACR. If this court requires the Appellant to file and serve an amended record on

¹ Properly identified as South State Bank, National Association, formerly known as CenterState Bank, N.A., as successor in interest by merger to South State Bank, formerly known as SCBT formerly known as SCBT, successor in interest to First Federal

appeal, in lieu of dismissal, then the Respondents would respectfully request that their timeframe to file final briefs be extended and not start until a complete record is filed and served.

On January 11, 2021, the Appellant moved for an extension to file the record on appeal and to limit the Respondents' designations of matter.² On February 23, 2021, this Court granted the Appellant a thirty-day extension to file her record, but it denied her request to limit the Respondents' designations of matter. It further ordered that "[f]ailure of Appellant to comply may result in dismissal of this appeal." (Order, February 23, 2021). The Appellant has served her record on appeal, and the Respondents have identified several deficiencies in the record.³

There are several important items missing from the record that were included in the Respondents' designations of matter. First, all the Respondents designated the hearing transcripts for hearings taking place on November 7, 2016 and October 1, 2018. (Items 1 and 3, Designation of Matter, Carrie Boyer, and Woody Law Firm; Items 10 and 26, Designation of Matter, Allison Williams and First Federal; Item 32, Designation of Matter, Stephen Dudek, Doreen Cross, Michael Scarafile, Susan Nicholson, and Carolina One Real Estate). Beyond the fact that the Appellant has omitted items specifically included on the Respondents' designations of matter and that the Appellant has submitted a certificate of compliance with her record, these items are of incredible importance to this appeal. The hearings identified are where all parties to this appeal argued motions that ultimately gave rise to the very orders that the Appellant is appealing. Without these transcripts, the Court cannot make a fully informed decision about the orders being appealed.

Second, all the Respondents included in their designations of matter the entire transcript, including exhibits, of the deposition of the Appellant. (Items 4, Designation of Matter, Carrie Boyer, and Woody Law Firm; Item 18, Designation of Matter, Allison Williams and First

² This appeal has been pending since December 2018.

³ Currently, there is no record in the Court's online management system of the record being filed.

Federal; Item 29, Designation of Matter, Stephen Dudek, Doreen Cross, Michael Scarafile, Susan Nicholson, and Carolina One Real Estate). Again, notwithstanding the blatant omission and certificate of compliance issues, this transcript is necessary, as it is the transcript of the lone deposition taken in the underlying action, and it provides the Court a complete picture of how the Appellant attempted to posture her case.

Each of the Respondents have also identified items included in their designations of matter but omitted from the record. These are omission in addition to the above discussed items that were not included in the record.

First, with respect to Respondents Carrie Boyer and Woody Law Firm, items 12, 14, 16, 20, exhibits to 26, exhibits to 29, and 34, in their designation of matter were not included in the record. In addition, the copy of Exhibit F-1 to item 28 is illegible and should be replaced with a legible copy.

Second, with respect to Respondents Allison Williams and First Federal, items 10, 11, 18, 22, 26 and 30 in their designation of matter were not included in the record.

Lastly, with respect to Respondents Stephen Dudek, Doreen Cross, Michael Scarafile, Susan Nicholson, and Carolina One Real Estate, items 2, 3, 4, 5, 10, 11, 13, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 24, and 25 in their designation of matter were not included in the record.

The Appellant has been provided every leniency by the Court to complete this appeal, which is already nearly two and a half years old. Further, given the Appellant's previous overt attempt to limit the record, the current omissions are unlikely mere oversight. Even after the Court rejected her explicit attempt to limit the record, the Appellant has eschewed a court order and supplied a record of information that she unilaterally determined to be relevant. In effect, she is

presenting the Court with her version of the information, while excluding the Respondents' designated information.

For all of these reasons, this appeal should be dismissed. In the alternative, this Court should require the Appellant to compile a record that includes all items in the Respondents' designations of matter. If the Court allows for an amended record to be filed, then the Respondents' time to file final briefs should be extended until that complete record is filed and served.

(Signatures on following page)

COLLINS & LACY, P.C.

s/Amy L. Neuschafer

AMY L. NEUSCHAFER, ESQ.
aneuschafer@collinsandlacy.com
LAURA R. BAER, ESQ.
lbaer@collinsandlacy.com
ROBERT M. PEELE, III, ESQ.
rpeelee@collinsandlacy.com
11945 Grandhaven Drive, Suite D
Murrells Inlet, South Carolina 29576
(843) 353-2350
(843) 353-2351 (f)

Attorneys for Respondents The Woody Law Firm and Carrie Boyer

Murrells Inlet, South Carolina
April 8, 2021

GALLIVAN, WHITE & BOYD, P.A.

s/Jordan M. Crapps

AMY L.B. HILL, ESQ.
ahill@gwblawfirm.com
JORDAN M. CRAPPS, ESQ.
jcrapps@gwblawfirm.com
P.O. Box 7368
Columbia, SC 29202
(803) 779-1833
(803) 779-1767 (f)

Attorneys for Respondents South State Bank f/k/a SCBT f/k/a SCBT, successor in interest to First Federal and Allison Williams

Columbia, South Carolina
April 8, 2021

SMITH | CLOSSER | WHEELER, PA

s/Samuel M. Wheeler

STEVEN L. SMITH, ESQ.
ssmith@scnlaw.com
SAMUEL M. WHEELER, ESQ.
swheeler@scnlaw.com
P.O. Box 40578
Charleston, SC 29423
(843) 760-0220
(843) 552-2678 (f)

Attorneys for Respondents Stephen Dudek, Doreen Cross, Michael Scarafile, Susan Nicholson, and Carolina One Real Estate

Charleston, South Carolina
April 8, 2021

IN THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In the Court of Appeals

RECEIVED

Apr 08 2021

SC Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM DORCHESTER COUNTY
Deadra L. Jefferson, Circuit Court Judge
Edgar W. Dickson, Circuit Court Judge
Maite Murphy, Circuit Court Judge

Case No.: 2016-CP-18-01706
Appellate Case No.: 2018-002185

Molly M. Morphew, Appellant

v.

Stephen Dudek, Doreen Cross, David Collins,
Allison Williams, First Federal, Michael Scarafie,
Susan Nicholson, Carolina One Real Estate,
Carrie Boyer, and Woody Law Firm, Respondents

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that, on the date indicated below, I served the Respondents' Joint Motion to Dismiss Appeal or, in the Alternative, to Require Appellant to File and Serve Amended Record on Appeal by United States Mail, postage prepaid, or via email, where appropriate, on the following:

MOLLY M. MORPHEW
121 Sterling Drive
Rincon, GA 31326
Appellant

AMY L. NEUSCHAFER, ESQ.
aneuschafer@collinsandlacy.com
LAURA R. BAER, ESQ.
lbaer@collinsandlacy.com
ROBERT M. PEELE, III, ESQ.
rpeelee@collinsandlacy.com
11945 Grandhaven Drive, Suite D
Murrells Inlet, South Carolina 29576
Attorneys for Respondents The Woody Law Firm and Carrie Boyer

AMY L.B. HILL, ESQ.
ahill@gwblawfirm.com
JORDAN M. CRAPPS, ESQ.
jcrapps@gwblawfirm.com
P.O. Box 7368
Columbia, SC 29202
Attorneys for Respondents South State Bank f/k/a SCBT f/k/a SCBT, successor in interest to First Federal and Allison Williams

DAVID COLLINS
davidacollins43@gmail.com
P.O. BOX 30052
Charleston, SC 29417
Pro Se Respondent

SMITH | CLOSSER | WHEELER, P.A.

s/Samuel M. Wheeler

Samuel M. Wheeler (SC Bar No.: 101008)
7455 Cross County Road, Suite 1
P.O. Box 40578
Charleston, SC 29423-0578
843-760-0220

*Attorneys for Respondents Stephen Dudek,
Doreen Cross, Michael Scarafile, Susan
Nicholson, and Carolina One Real Estate*

April 8, 2021

EXHIBIT D



Dorchester County First Judicial Circuit Public Index



[Dorchester County Home Page](#) [South Carolina Judicial Department Home Page](#) [SC.GOV Home Page](#)

Molly M Morphew VS Stephen Dudek , defendant, et al					
Case Number:	2016CP1801706	Court Agency:	Common Pleas	Filed Date:	08/24/2016
Case Type:	Common Pleas	Case Sub Type:	Special-Comp/Oth 699	File Type:	Jury
Status:	Appeal	Assigned Judge:	Clerk Of Court C P, G S, And Family Court	Disposition Judge:	Murphy, Maite
Disposition:	Other / Circuit Civil	Disposition Date:	11/15/2018	Disposition Judge:	Murphy, Maite
Original Source Doc:		Original Case #:			
Judgment Number:		Court Roster:			

Name	Description	Type	Motion Roster	Begin Date	Completion Date	Documents
Morphew, Molly M	Notice/Change of Mailing Address	Filing		04/09/2020-09:44		
Morphew, Molly M	Appeal/Notice of Appeal to Court of Appeals	Action		12/13/2018-11:44		
Morphew, Molly M	NEF(12-12-2018 11:44:00 AM) Appeal/Notice of Appeal to C...	Filing		12/12/2018-11:44		
Carolina One Real Estate	NEF(11-20-2018 03:31:00 PM) Motion/Sanctions	Filing		11/20/2018-16:14	11/15/2018-16:14	
Carolina One Real Estate	NEF(11-20-2018 03:57:38 PM) Service/Certificate Of Servi...	Filing		11/20/2018-16:06	11/15/2018-16:06	
Carolina One Real Estate	NEF(11-20-2018 03:56:22 PM) Service/Certificate Of Servi...	Filing		11/20/2018-16:05	11/15/2018-16:05	
Carolina One Real Estate	Service/Certificate Of Service	Filing		11/20/2018-15:57	11/15/2018-15:57	
Carolina One Real Estate	Service/Certificate Of Service	Filing		11/20/2018-15:56	11/15/2018-15:56	
Carolina One Real Estate	Motion/Sanctions	Motion		11/20/2018-15:31	11/15/2018-15:31	
Morphew, Molly M	NEF(11-15-2018 04:16:29 PM) Order/Electronic Form 4	Filing		11/15/2018-16:16	11/15/2018-16:16	
Morphew, Molly M	Order/Electronic Form 4	Order		11/15/2018-16:16	11/15/2018-16:16	
Collins, David	NEF(11-15-2018 12:34:55 PM) Order/Summary Judgment	Filing		11/15/2018-12:35	11/15/2018-12:35	
Collins, David	Order/Granting Collins' Motion to Dismiss	Order		11/15/2018-12:34	11/15/2018-12:34	
Williams, Allison	NEF(11-15-2018 12:33:43 PM) Order/Summary Judgment	Filing		11/15/2018-12:33	11/15/2018-12:33	
Williams, Allison	Order/Granting Summary Judgment	Order		11/15/2018-12:33	11/15/2018-12:33	
Dudek, Stephen	NEF(11-15-2018 12:32:36 PM) Order/Summary Judgment	Filing		11/15/2018-12:32	11/15/2018-12:32	
Dudek, Stephen	Order/Granting Summary Judgment	Order		11/15/2018-12:32	11/15/2018-12:32	
Morphew, Molly M	NEF(11-13-2018 10:54:20 AM) Order/Electronic Form 4	Filing		11/13/2018-10:54	11/15/2018-10:54	
Morphew, Molly M	Order/Electronic Form 4/Service on Plaintiff	Order		11/13/2018-10:54	11/13/2018-10:54	
Morphew, Molly M	NEF(11-13-2018 10:53:37 AM) Order/Electronic Form 4	Filing		11/13/2018-10:54	11/15/2018-10:54	
Morphew, Molly M	Order/Electronic Form 4/Service on Plaintiff	Order		11/13/2018-10:53	11/13/2018-10:53	
Collins, David	NEF(11-13-2018 10:43:29 AM) Order/Electronic Form 4	Filing		11/13/2018-10:43	11/15/2018-10:43	
Collins, David	Order/Denying Pl's Motion for Default on Collins	Order		11/13/2018-10:43	11/13/2018-10:43	
Boyer, Carrie	NEF(11-13-2018 10:42:20 AM) Order/Summary Judgment	Filing		11/13/2018-10:42	11/15/2018-10:42	
Boyer, Carrie	Order/Granting Summary Judgment	Order		11/13/2018-10:42	11/13/2018-10:42	
Morphew, Molly M	NEF(10-23-2018 09:50:47 AM) Order/Electronic Form 4	Filing		10/23/2018-09:51	11/15/2018-09:51	
Morphew, Molly M	Order/Electronic Form 4/Denying Motion to Strike from Roster	Order		10/23/2018-09:50	10/23/2018-09:50	
Boyer, Carrie	NEF(10-16-2018 04:31:56 PM) Memo/Memo In Opposition	Filing		10/16/2018-16:55	11/15/2018-16:55	
Boyer, Carrie	Memo/Memo In Opposition to Motion to Strike Case	Filing		10/16/2018-16:31	11/15/2018-16:31	
Morphew, Molly M	Motion/Strike Case From Roster	Motion		10/05/2018-13:56	10/23/2018-13:56	
Morphew, Molly M	Change of Address	Filing		09/27/2018-15:53	11/15/2018-15:53	
Morphew, Molly M	Response to Joint Motion to Dismiss/Sanctions	Filing		09/27/2018-15:53	11/15/2018-15:53	
Morphew, Molly M	Response to First Federal's Mtn for Summary Judgment	Filing		09/27/2018-15:52	11/15/2018-15:52	
Morphew, Molly M	(15) 10/1/2018_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publicati	Filing		09/25/2018-16:57	11/15/2018-08:54	
Collins, David	(15) 10/1/2018_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publicat	Filing		09/25/2018-16:57	11/15/2018-08:50	
Bryan, William Alfred Jr.	10/1/2018_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		09/25/2018-16:56	11/15/2018-16:56	
Bryan, William Alfred Jr.	10/1/2018_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		09/25/2018-16:56	11/15/2018-16:56	
Bryan, William Alfred Jr.	10/1/2018_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		09/25/2018-16:56	11/15/2018-16:56	
Bryan, William Alfred Jr.	10/1/2018_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		09/25/2018-16:56	11/15/2018-16:56	
Bryan, William Alfred Jr.	10/1/2018_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		09/25/2018-16:56	11/15/2018-16:56	
Bryan, William Alfred Jr.	10/1/2018_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		09/25/2018-16:56	11/15/2018-16:56	
Bryan, William Alfred Jr.	10/1/2018_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		09/25/2018-16:56	11/15/2018-16:56	

Hill, Amy L.B.	8/6/2018_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Sen	Action		07/05/2018-10:03	11/15/2018-10:03
Crapps, Jordan Michael	8/6/2018_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Sen	Action		07/05/2018-10:03	11/15/2018-10:03
Crapps, Jordan Michael	8/6/2018_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Sen	Action		07/05/2018-10:03	11/15/2018-10:03
Crapps, Jordan Michael	8/6/2018_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Sen	Action		07/05/2018-10:03	11/15/2018-10:03
Crapps, Jordan Michael	8/6/2018_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Sen	Action		07/05/2018-10:03	11/15/2018-10:03
Crapps, Jordan Michael	8/6/2018_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Sen	Action		07/05/2018-10:03	11/15/2018-10:03
Crapps, Jordan Michael	8/6/2018_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Sen	Action		07/05/2018-10:03	11/15/2018-10:03
Crapps, Jordan Michael	8/6/2018_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Sen	Action		07/05/2018-10:03	11/15/2018-10:03
Crapps, Jordan Michael	8/6/2018_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Sen	Action		07/05/2018-10:03	11/15/2018-10:03
Crapps, Jordan Michael	8/6/2018_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Sen	Action		07/05/2018-10:03	11/15/2018-10:03
Crapps, Jordan Michael	8/6/2018_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Sen	Action		07/05/2018-10:03	11/15/2018-10:03
Crapps, Jordan Michael	8/6/2018_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Sen	Action		07/05/2018-10:03	11/15/2018-10:03
Crapps, Jordan Michael	8/6/2018_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Sen	Action		07/05/2018-10:03	11/15/2018-10:03
Crapps, Jordan Michael	8/6/2018_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Sen	Action		07/05/2018-10:03	11/15/2018-10:03
Crapps, Jordan Michael	8/6/2018_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Sen	Action		07/05/2018-10:03	11/15/2018-10:03
Morphew, Molly M	NEF(06-28-2018 04:00:26 PM) Order/Form 4	Filing		06/28/2018-16:00	11/15/2018-16:00
Morphew, Molly M	Order/Form 4 - Setting Trial Date	Order		06/28/2018-16:00	06/28/2018-16:00
Morphew, Molly M	Response to Defs Joint Response	Filing		06/22/2018-16:33	11/15/2018-16:33
Boyer, Carrie	Joint Response to Plfs Answer to Order May 31, 2018	Filing		06/18/2018-15:01	11/15/2018-15:01
Morphew, Molly M	Order/Protection/see order for dates	Order		06/14/2018-11:04	06/14/2018-11:04
Morphew, Molly M	Motion for Hearing or Reconsider	Motion		06/11/2018-15:14	10/01/2018-15:14
Morphew, Molly M	Response to Order	Filing		06/07/2018-15:04	11/15/2018-15:04
Morphew, Molly M	Order from 5/29/2018 Motion Roster	Order		05/31/2018-10:00	05/31/2018-10:00
Dudek, Stephen	Memo In Support of Motion for SJ/Mo to Strike	Filing		05/29/2018-16:56	11/15/2018-16:56
Woody Law Firm	Memo In Support of Motion for SJ	Filing		05/29/2018-16:55	11/15/2018-16:55
First Federal	Memo/Memo In Support SJ Motion/ Exhibits Not Scanned	Filing		05/25/2018-14:51	11/15/2018-14:51
Smith, Steven L.	6/25/2018_JURY_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Sent	Action		05/22/2018-14:20	11/15/2018-14:20
Morphew, Molly M	6/25/2018_JURY_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Sent	Action		05/22/2018-14:20	11/15/2018-14:20
Crapps, Jordan Michael	6/25/2018_JURY_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Sent	Action		05/22/2018-14:20	11/15/2018-14:20
Hill, Amy L.B.	6/25/2018_JURY_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Sent	Action		05/22/2018-14:20	11/15/2018-14:20
Wheeler, Samuel Melvil	6/25/2018_JURY_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Sent	Action		05/22/2018-14:20	11/15/2018-14:20
Closser, Zachary James	6/25/2018_JURY_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Sent	Action		05/22/2018-14:20	11/15/2018-14:20
Neuschafer, Amy Lynn	6/25/2018_JURY_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Sent	Action		05/22/2018-14:20	11/15/2018-14:20
Bryan, William Alfred Jr.	6/25/2018_JURY_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Sent	Action		05/22/2018-14:20	11/15/2018-14:20
Collins, David	(14) - 6/25/2018_JURY_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publica	Filing		05/22/2018-11:09	11/15/2018-11:09
Bryan, William Alfred Jr.	5/29/2018_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		05/22/2018-10:06	11/15/2018-10:06
Neuschafer, Amy Lynn	5/29/2018_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		05/22/2018-10:06	11/15/2018-10:06
Closser, Zachary James	5/29/2018_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		05/22/2018-10:06	11/15/2018-10:06
Wheeler, Samuel Melvil	5/29/2018_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		05/22/2018-10:06	11/15/2018-10:06
Hill, Amy L.B.	5/29/2018_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		05/22/2018-10:06	11/15/2018-10:06
Crapps, Jordan Michael	5/29/2018_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		05/22/2018-10:06	11/15/2018-10:06
Morphew, Molly M	5/29/2018_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		05/22/2018-10:06	11/15/2018-10:06
Smith, Steven L.	5/29/2018_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		05/22/2018-10:06	11/15/2018-10:06
Smith, Steven L.	5/29/2018_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		05/22/2018-10:05	11/15/2018-10:05
Morphew, Molly M	5/29/2018_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		05/22/2018-10:05	11/15/2018-10:05
Crapps, Jordan Michael	5/29/2018_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		05/22/2018-10:05	11/15/2018-10:05
Hill, Amy L.B.	5/29/2018_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		05/22/2018-10:05	11/15/2018-10:05
Wheeler, Samuel Melvil	5/29/2018_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		05/22/2018-10:05	11/15/2018-10:05
Closser, Zachary James	5/29/2018_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		05/22/2018-10:05	11/15/2018-10:05
Neuschafer, Amy Lynn	5/29/2018_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		05/22/2018-10:05	11/15/2018-10:05
Bryan, William Alfred Jr.	5/29/2018_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		05/22/2018-10:05	11/15/2018-10:05
Bryan, William Alfred Jr.	5/29/2018_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		05/22/2018-10:05	11/15/2018-10:05
Neuschafer, Amy Lynn	5/29/2018_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		05/22/2018-10:05	11/15/2018-10:05
Closser, Zachary James	5/29/2018_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		05/22/2018-10:05	11/15/2018-10:05

Morphew, Molly M	Amended Certificate of Service by Mail on Defendants	Filing		02/14/2018-14:13	11/15/2018-14:13	
Woody Law Firm	Motion to Deem Request for Admission Admitted	Motion		02/12/2018-16:34	10/01/2018-16:34	
Dudek, Stephen	Motion to Strike Attorneys Fees/Sanctions of Pif	Motion		02/09/2018-16:49	10/01/2018-16:49	
Morphew, Molly M	Motion to Deem Admissions Admitted/Affidavit	Motion		02/01/2018-16:47	10/01/2018-16:47	
Morphew, Molly M	Motion to Strike Def Collins Answer and Return to Motion	Motion		01/30/2018-14:13	10/01/2018-14:13	
Morphew, Molly M	Motion/Compel Discovery Responses	Motion		01/30/2018-13:59	10/01/2018-13:59	
Scarafille, Michael	Order/Sub Of Counsel/Scarafille/Nicholson/Carolina One	Order		01/26/2018-16:05	01/26/2018-16:05	
Collins, David	Order/Relieve Counsel for David Collins	Order		01/26/2018-15:52	01/26/2018-15:52	
Collins, David	Motion/Relieve As Counsel (David Collins)	Motion		01/24/2018-13:46	01/24/2018-13:46	
Scarafille, Michael	Motion/Relieve As Counsel (Scarafille/Nicholson/Carolina One)	Motion		01/24/2018-13:44	01/24/2018-13:44	
Morphew, Molly M	Certificate of Service by Mail on Defendants	Filing		12/27/2017-14:16	11/15/2018-14:16	
Collins, David	Answer of Defendant David Collins	Filing		12/19/2017-14:26	11/15/2018-14:26	
Collins, David	Return to Motion	Filing		12/19/2017-14:25	11/15/2018-14:25	
Carolina One Real Estate	Order/Motion-Compel Granted/60 days to produce from 11/6/17	Order		11/30/2017-09:17	11/30/2017-09:17	
Scarafille, Michael Christopher	1/3/2018_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Sen	Action		11/29/2017-12:15	11/15/2018-12:15	
Smith, Steven L.	1/3/2018_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Sen	Action		11/29/2017-12:15	11/15/2018-12:15	
Morphew, Molly M	1/3/2018_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Sen	Action		11/29/2017-12:15	11/15/2018-12:15	
Closser, Zachary James	1/3/2018_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Sen	Action		11/29/2017-12:15	11/15/2018-12:15	
Wheeler, Samuel Melvil	1/3/2018_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Sen	Action		11/29/2017-12:15	11/15/2018-12:15	
Hill, Amy L.B.	1/3/2018_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Sen	Action		11/29/2017-12:15	11/15/2018-12:15	
Crapps, Jordan Michael	1/3/2018_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Sen	Action		11/29/2017-12:15	11/15/2018-12:15	
Neuschafer, Amy Lynn	1/3/2018_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Sen	Action		11/29/2017-12:15	11/15/2018-12:15	
Bryan, William Alfred Jr.	1/3/2018_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Sen	Action		11/29/2017-12:15	11/15/2018-12:15	
Morphew, Molly M	Motion/Entry of Default/David Collins Only	Motion		11/27/2017-12:11	10/01/2018-12:11	
Morphew, Molly M	Letter/Change of Address	Filing		11/20/2017-14:40	11/15/2018-14:40	
Morphew, Molly M	ADR/Deferred Order/Filing	Filing		11/07/2017-09:25	11/15/2018-09:25	
Morphew, Molly M	Consent Scheduling Order/Letter	Motion		11/07/2017-09:23	11/07/2017-09:23	
Morphew, Molly M	Order/Form 4/Motion for Exemption from ADR Withdrawn	Order		11/07/2017-09:06	11/07/2017-09:06	
Dudek, Stephen	Order/Motion for Sanctions Continued from 11/6/17 Motion Ros	Order		11/07/2017-08:58	11/07/2017-08:58	
Morphew, Molly M	11/6/2017_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		10/30/2017-10:44	11/15/2018-10:44	
Smith, Steven L.	11/6/2017_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		10/30/2017-10:44	11/15/2018-10:44	
Scarafille, Michael Christopher	11/6/2017_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		10/30/2017-10:44	11/15/2018-10:44	
Crapps, Jordan Michael	11/6/2017_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		10/30/2017-10:44	11/15/2018-10:44	
Hill, Amy L.B.	11/6/2017_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		10/30/2017-10:44	11/15/2018-10:44	
Wheeler, Samuel Melvil	11/6/2017_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		10/30/2017-10:44	11/15/2018-10:44	
Closser, Zachary James	11/6/2017_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		10/30/2017-10:44	11/15/2018-10:44	
Bryan, William Alfred Jr.	11/6/2017_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		10/30/2017-10:44	11/15/2018-10:44	
Neuschafer, Amy Lynn	11/6/2017_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		10/30/2017-10:44	11/15/2018-10:44	
Neuschafer, Amy Lynn	11/6/2017_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		10/30/2017-10:44	11/15/2018-10:44	
Bryan, William Alfred Jr.	11/6/2017_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		10/30/2017-10:44	11/15/2018-10:44	
Closser, Zachary James	11/6/2017_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		10/30/2017-10:44	11/15/2018-10:44	
Wheeler, Samuel Melvil	11/6/2017_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		10/30/2017-10:44	11/15/2018-10:44	
Hill, Amy L.B.	11/6/2017_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		10/30/2017-10:44	11/15/2018-10:44	
Crapps, Jordan Michael	11/6/2017_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		10/30/2017-10:44	11/15/2018-10:44	
Scarafille, Michael Christopher	11/6/2017_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		10/30/2017-10:44	11/15/2018-10:44	
Smith, Steven L.	11/6/2017_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		10/30/2017-10:44	11/15/2018-10:44	
Morphew, Molly M	11/6/2017_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		10/30/2017-10:44	11/15/2018-10:44	
Morphew, Molly M	11/6/2017_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		10/30/2017-10:44	11/15/2018-10:44	
Smith, Steven L.	11/6/2017_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		10/30/2017-10:44	11/15/2018-10:44	
Scarafille, Michael Christopher	11/6/2017_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		10/30/2017-10:44	11/15/2018-10:44	
Crapps, Jordan Michael	11/6/2017_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		10/30/2017-10:44	11/15/2018-10:44	
Hill, Amy L.B.	11/6/2017_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		10/30/2017-10:44	11/15/2018-10:44	
Wheeler, Samuel Melvil	11/6/2017_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		10/30/2017-10:44	11/15/2018-10:44	
Closser, Zachary James	11/6/2017_MOTION_Roster/Notice	Action		10/30/2017-10:44	11/15/2018-10:44	

	of Case Roster Publication Se				
Bryan, William Alfred Jr.	11/6/2017_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		10/30/2017-10:44	11/15/2018-10:44
Neuschafer, Amy Lynn	11/6/2017_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		10/30/2017-10:44	11/15/2018-10:44
Dudek, Stephen	Notice of Hearing	Filing		10/17/2017-11:37	11/15/2018-11:06
Morphew, Molly M	11/6/2017_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		10/17/2017-08:40	11/15/2018-08:40
Scarafille, Michael Christopher	11/6/2017_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		10/17/2017-08:40	11/15/2018-08:40
Smith, Steven L.	11/6/2017_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		10/17/2017-08:40	11/15/2018-08:40
Closser, Zachary James	11/6/2017_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		10/17/2017-08:40	11/15/2018-08:40
Wheeler, Samuel Melvil	11/6/2017_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		10/17/2017-08:40	11/15/2018-08:40
Hill, Amy L.B.	11/6/2017_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		10/17/2017-08:40	11/15/2018-08:40
Crapps, Jordan Michael	11/6/2017_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		10/17/2017-08:40	11/15/2018-08:40
Neuschafer, Amy Lynn	11/6/2017_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		10/17/2017-08:40	11/15/2018-08:40
Bryan, William Alfred Jr.	11/6/2017_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		10/17/2017-08:40	11/15/2018-08:40
Bryan, William Alfred Jr.	11/6/2017_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		10/17/2017-08:40	11/15/2018-08:40
Neuschafer, Amy Lynn	11/6/2017_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		10/17/2017-08:40	11/15/2018-08:40
Crapps, Jordan Michael	11/6/2017_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		10/17/2017-08:40	11/15/2018-08:40
Hill, Amy L.B.	11/6/2017_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		10/17/2017-08:40	11/15/2018-08:40
Wheeler, Samuel Melvil	11/6/2017_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		10/17/2017-08:40	11/15/2018-08:40
Closser, Zachary James	11/6/2017_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		10/17/2017-08:40	11/15/2018-08:40
Smith, Steven L.	11/6/2017_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		10/17/2017-08:40	11/15/2018-08:40
Scarafille, Michael Christopher	11/6/2017_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		10/17/2017-08:40	11/15/2018-08:40
Morphew, Molly M	11/6/2017_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		10/17/2017-08:40	11/15/2018-08:40
Morphew, Molly M	Motion to Request Case Exemption from ADR Process	Motion		10/16/2017-16:57	11/06/2017-16:57
Carolina One Real Estate	Motion to Compel	Motion		10/16/2017-15:03	11/06/2017-15:03
Scarafille, Michael Christopher	11/6/2017_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		10/13/2017-09:36	11/15/2018-09:36
Smith, Steven L.	11/6/2017_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		10/13/2017-09:36	11/15/2018-09:36
Morphew, Molly M	11/6/2017_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		10/13/2017-09:36	11/15/2018-09:36
Closser, Zachary James	11/6/2017_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		10/13/2017-09:36	11/15/2018-09:36
Wheeler, Samuel Melvil	11/6/2017_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		10/13/2017-09:36	11/15/2018-09:36
Hill, Amy L.B.	11/6/2017_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		10/13/2017-09:36	11/15/2018-09:36
Crapps, Jordan Michael	11/6/2017_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		10/13/2017-09:36	11/15/2018-09:36
Neuschafer, Amy Lynn	11/6/2017_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		10/13/2017-09:36	11/15/2018-09:36
Bryan, William Alfred Jr.	11/6/2017_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		10/13/2017-09:36	11/15/2018-09:36
Massalon, John A.	Letter/Conflict with case	Filing		07/17/2017-12:05	11/15/2018-12:05
Massalon, John A.	Archived Document (Amended)	Filing		07/17/2017-00:00	11/15/2018-00:00
Morphew, Molly M	Archived Document	Filing		07/12/2017-00:00	11/15/2018-00:00
Morphew, Molly M	Notice/Change of Address	Filing		06/16/2017-12:31	11/15/2018-12:31
Morphew, Molly M	6/1/2017_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Sen	Action		05/24/2017-16:00	11/15/2018-16:00
Smith, Steven L.	6/1/2017_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Sen	Action		05/24/2017-16:00	11/15/2018-16:00
Scarafille, Michael Christopher	6/1/2017_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Sen	Action		05/24/2017-16:00	11/15/2018-16:00
Crapps, Jordan Michael	6/1/2017_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Sen	Action		05/24/2017-16:00	11/15/2018-16:00
Hill, Amy L.B.	6/1/2017_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Sen	Action		05/24/2017-16:00	11/15/2018-16:00
Wheeler, Samuel Melvil	6/1/2017_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Sen	Action		05/24/2017-16:00	11/15/2018-16:00
Closser, Zachary James	6/1/2017_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Sen	Action		05/24/2017-16:00	11/15/2018-16:00
Bryan, William Alfred Jr.	6/1/2017_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Sen	Action		05/24/2017-16:00	11/15/2018-16:00
Neuschafer, Amy Lynn	6/1/2017_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Sen	Action		05/24/2017-16:00	11/15/2018-16:00
Bryan, William Alfred Jr.	6/1/2017_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Sen	Action		05/24/2017-16:00	11/15/2018-16:00
Closser, Zachary James	6/1/2017_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Sen	Action		05/24/2017-16:00	11/15/2018-16:00
Wheeler, Samuel Melvil	6/1/2017_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Sen	Action		05/24/2017-16:00	11/15/2018-16:00
Hill, Amy L.B.	6/1/2017_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Sen	Action		05/24/2017-16:00	11/15/2018-16:00
Crapps, Jordan Michael	6/1/2017_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Sen	Action		05/24/2017-16:00	11/15/2018-16:00
Neuschafer, Amy Lynn	6/1/2017_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Sen	Action		05/24/2017-16:00	11/15/2018-16:00
Smith, Steven L.	6/1/2017_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Sen	Action		05/24/2017-16:00	11/15/2018-16:00
Scarafille, Michael Christopher	6/1/2017_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Sen	Action		05/24/2017-16:00	11/15/2018-16:00
Morphew, Molly M	6/1/2017_MOTION_Roster/Notice of	Action		05/24/2017-16:00	11/15/2018-16:00

Morphew, Molly M	Case Roster Publication Sen	Action		04/26/2017-16:12	11/15/2018-16:12	
Morphew, Molly M	6/1/2017_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Sen	Action		04/26/2017-16:12	11/15/2018-16:12	
Morphew, Molly M	6/1/2017_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Sen	Action		04/26/2017-16:12	11/15/2018-16:12	
Scarafille, Michael Christopher	6/1/2017_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Sen	Action		04/26/2017-16:12	11/15/2018-16:12	
Scarafille, Michael Christopher	6/1/2017_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Sen	Action		04/26/2017-16:12	11/15/2018-16:12	
Smith, Steven L.	6/1/2017_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Sen	Action		04/26/2017-16:12	11/15/2018-16:12	
Smith, Steven L.	6/1/2017_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Sen	Action		04/26/2017-16:12	11/15/2018-16:12	
Neuschafer, Amy Lynn	6/1/2017_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Sen	Action		04/26/2017-16:12	11/15/2018-16:12	
Neuschafer, Amy Lynn	6/1/2017_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Sen	Action		04/26/2017-16:12	11/15/2018-16:12	
Crapps, Jordan Michael	6/1/2017_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Sen	Action		04/26/2017-16:12	11/15/2018-16:12	
Crapps, Jordan Michael	6/1/2017_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Sen	Action		04/26/2017-16:12	11/15/2018-16:12	
Hill, Amy L.B.	6/1/2017_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Sen	Action		04/26/2017-16:12	11/15/2018-16:12	
Hill, Amy L.B.	6/1/2017_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Sen	Action		04/26/2017-16:12	11/15/2018-16:12	
Wheeler, Samuel Melvil	6/1/2017_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Sen	Action		04/26/2017-16:12	11/15/2018-16:12	
Wheeler, Samuel Melvil	6/1/2017_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Sen	Action		04/26/2017-16:12	11/15/2018-16:12	
Closser, Zachary James	6/1/2017_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Sen	Action		04/26/2017-16:12	11/15/2018-16:12	
Closser, Zachary James	6/1/2017_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Sen	Action		04/26/2017-16:12	11/15/2018-16:12	
Bryan, William Alfred Jr.	6/1/2017_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Sen	Action		04/26/2017-16:12	11/15/2018-16:12	
Bryan, William Alfred Jr.	6/1/2017_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Sen	Action		04/26/2017-16:12	11/15/2018-16:12	
Woody Law Firm	Order Denying Motion to Alter and Amend	Order		04/03/2017-11:03	04/03/2017-11:03	
Boyer, Carrie	Amended Order Granting In Part Motion to Dismiss	Filing		04/03/2017-11:01	04/03/2017-11:01	
Morphew, Molly M	Order/Denying Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration	Order		04/03/2017-11:00	04/03/2017-11:00	
Williams, Allison	Order Denying Allison Williams' Motion for Reconsider	Order		04/03/2017-10:58	04/03/2017-10:58	
Boyer, Carrie	Amended Answer of Carrie Boyer/Woody Law Firm	Filing		03/22/2017-16:09	11/15/2018-16:09	
Morphew, Molly M	ADR/Alternative Dispute Resolution (Workflow)	Action		03/22/2017-10:01	03/01/2018-10:01	
Woody Law Firm	Response In Opposition to Plaintiffs Motion for Reconsiderat	Filing		03/02/2017-12:51	11/15/2018-09:12	
Morphew, Molly M	Affidavit Of Service on Woody Law firm and Carrie Boyer	Filing		02/27/2017-14:50	11/15/2018-14:50	
Morphew, Molly M	Answer to Def Woody Law Firm/Carrie Boyers Mot to Alter/Ame	Filing		02/27/2017-14:49	11/15/2018-14:49	
Morphew, Molly M	Answer to Def Allison Williams/S State Bank Motion to Recons	Filing		02/24/2017-14:36	11/15/2018-14:36	
Morphew, Molly M	Affidavit Of Service	Filing		02/24/2017-14:35	11/15/2018-14:35	
Morphew, Molly M	Plaintiffs Response to Stephen Dudek/Dorsen Cross/Motion San	Filing		02/24/2017-14:31	11/15/2018-14:31	
Boyer, Carrie	Answer of Defs Carrie Boyer/Woody Law Firm	Filing		02/21/2017-13:24	11/15/2018-13:24	
Williams, Allison	Answer	Filing		02/21/2017-13:10	11/15/2018-10:37	
Scarafille, Michael	Notice of Appearance	Filing		02/17/2017-12:34	11/15/2018-11:47	
Scarafille, Michael	Answer	Filing		02/17/2017-12:33	11/15/2018-11:28	
Woody Law Firm	Motion to Alter or Amend	Motion		02/16/2017-16:50	02/23/2017-16:50	
Williams, Allison	Motion to Reconsider	Motion		02/16/2017-09:03	02/23/2017-09:03	
Morphew, Molly M	Motion for Reconsideration of Order Granting In Part/Denying	Motion		02/15/2017-15:53	02/23/2017-15:53	
Morphew, Molly M	Motion to Amend Complaint	Motion		02/14/2017-10:08	05/30/2017-10:08	
Williams, Allison	Amended Order/Denying and Granting In part Motion to Dismiss	Filing		02/13/2017-14:20	02/13/2017-14:20	
Dudek, Stephen	Motion for Sanctions	Motion		02/08/2017-16:03	12/27/2017-16:03	
Dudek, Stephen	Cross Answer	Filing		02/08/2017-16:02	11/15/2018-16:02	
Boyer, Carrie	Order/Granting and Denying in part Def's Motion to Dismiss	Order		01/31/2017-08:44	01/31/2017-08:44	
Dudek, Stephen	Order/Granting and Denying in part Def's Motion to Dismiss	Order		01/31/2017-08:40	01/31/2017-08:40	
Scarafille, Michael	Order/Granting and Denying in part Def's Motion to Dismiss	Order		01/31/2017-08:36	01/31/2017-08:36	
Williams, Allison	Order/Granting and Denying in part Def's Motion to Dismiss	Order		01/31/2017-08:25	01/31/2017-08:25	
Morphew, Molly M	Corrected spelling of the name "Woody Law Firm"	Filing		01/05/2017-09:38	11/15/2018-09:38	
Woody Law Firm	Memo In Support of Motion to Dismiss	Filing		11/07/2016-14:46	11/15/2018-14:46	
Williams, Allison	Memorandum In Support of Motion to Dismiss	Filing		11/04/2016-11:36	11/15/2018-11:36	
Morphew, Molly M	Plnts Brief /Opp to Defs A Williams/South Bank Mot to Dismiss	Filing		10/31/2016-15:55	11/15/2018-15:55	
Morphew, Molly M	Plnts Brief/Opp to Defs Woody Law/C Boyers Mot to Dismiss	Filing		10/31/2016-15:53	11/15/2018-15:53	
Morphew, Molly M	11/7/2016_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		10/21/2016-16:52	11/15/2018-16:52	
Morphew, Molly M	11/7/2016_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		10/21/2016-16:52	11/15/2018-16:52	
Morphew, Molly M	11/7/2016_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		10/21/2016-16:52	11/15/2018-16:52	
Morphew, Molly M	11/7/2016_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		10/21/2016-16:52	11/15/2018-16:52	
Scarafille, Michael	11/7/2016_MOTION_Roster/Notice	Action		10/21/2016-16:52	11/15/2018-16:52	

Christopher	of Case Roster Publication Se				
Scarafle, Michael Christopher	11/7/2016_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		10/21/2016-16:52	11/15/2018-16:52
Scarafle, Michael Christopher	11/7/2016_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		10/21/2016-16:52	11/15/2018-16:52
Scarafle, Michael Christopher	11/7/2016_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		10/21/2016-16:52	11/15/2018-16:52
Smith, Steven L.	11/7/2016_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		10/21/2016-16:52	11/15/2018-16:52
Smith, Steven L.	11/7/2016_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		10/21/2016-16:52	11/15/2018-16:52
Smith, Steven L.	11/7/2016_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		10/21/2016-16:52	11/15/2018-16:52
Smith, Steven L.	11/7/2016_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		10/21/2016-16:52	11/15/2018-16:52
Closser, Zachary James	11/7/2016_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		10/21/2016-16:52	11/15/2018-16:52
Closser, Zachary James	11/7/2016_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		10/21/2016-16:52	11/15/2018-16:52
Closser, Zachary James	11/7/2016_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		10/21/2016-16:52	11/15/2018-16:52
Closser, Zachary James	11/7/2016_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		10/21/2016-16:52	11/15/2018-16:52
Wheeler, Samuel Melvil	11/7/2016_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		10/21/2016-16:52	11/15/2018-16:52
Wheeler, Samuel Melvil	11/7/2016_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		10/21/2016-16:52	11/15/2018-16:52
Wheeler, Samuel Melvil	11/7/2016_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		10/21/2016-16:52	11/15/2018-16:52
Wheeler, Samuel Melvil	11/7/2016_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		10/21/2016-16:52	11/15/2018-16:52
Hill, Amy L.B.	11/7/2016_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		10/21/2016-16:52	11/15/2018-16:52
Hill, Amy L.B.	11/7/2016_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		10/21/2016-16:52	11/15/2018-16:52
Hill, Amy L.B.	11/7/2016_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		10/21/2016-16:52	11/15/2018-16:52
Hill, Amy L.B.	11/7/2016_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		10/21/2016-16:52	11/15/2018-16:52
Crapps, Jordan Michael	11/7/2016_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		10/21/2016-16:52	11/15/2018-16:52
Crapps, Jordan Michael	11/7/2016_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		10/21/2016-16:52	11/15/2018-16:52
Crapps, Jordan Michael	11/7/2016_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		10/21/2016-16:52	11/15/2018-16:52
Crapps, Jordan Michael	11/7/2016_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		10/21/2016-16:52	11/15/2018-16:52
Neuschafer, Amy Lynn	11/7/2016_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		10/21/2016-16:52	11/15/2018-16:52
Neuschafer, Amy Lynn	11/7/2016_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		10/21/2016-16:52	11/15/2018-16:52
Neuschafer, Amy Lynn	11/7/2016_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		10/21/2016-16:52	11/15/2018-16:52
Neuschafer, Amy Lynn	11/7/2016_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		10/21/2016-16:52	11/15/2018-16:52
Bryan, William Alfred Jr.	11/7/2016_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		10/21/2016-16:52	11/15/2018-16:52
Bryan, William Alfred Jr.	11/7/2016_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		10/21/2016-16:52	11/15/2018-16:52
Bryan, William Alfred Jr.	11/7/2016_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		10/21/2016-16:52	11/15/2018-16:52
Bryan, William Alfred Jr.	11/7/2016_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		10/21/2016-16:52	11/15/2018-16:52
Morphew, Molly M	Plaintiff's Brief in Opp to Dudek and Cross Mot to Dismiss	Filing		10/04/2016-10:38	11/15/2018-10:38
Morphew, Molly M	Plaintiff's Brief in Opp to Defs Scarfile Collins Mot to Dis	Filing		10/04/2016-10:37	11/15/2018-10:37
Woody Law Firm	Motion/Dismiss	Motion		09/29/2016-12:59	01/31/2017-12:59
Scarafle, Michael Christopher	10/6/2016_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		09/26/2016-16:38	11/15/2018-16:38
Smith, Steven L.	10/6/2016_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		09/26/2016-16:38	11/15/2018-16:38
Morphew, Molly M	10/6/2016_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		09/26/2016-16:38	11/15/2018-16:38
Wheeler, Samuel Melvil	10/6/2016_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		09/26/2016-16:38	11/15/2018-16:38
Closser, Zachary James	10/6/2016_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		09/26/2016-16:38	11/15/2018-16:38
Williams, Allison	Motion/Dismiss	Motion		09/26/2016-11:50	01/31/2017-16:36
Morphew, Molly M	Notice of Hearing	Filing		09/23/2016-11:39	11/15/2018-11:39
Morphew, Molly M	10/6/2016_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Filing		09/23/2016-10:13	11/15/2018-10:13
Morphew, Molly M	10/6/2016_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Filing		09/23/2016-10:13	11/15/2018-10:13
Scarafle, Michael Christopher	10/6/2016_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		09/22/2016-14:03	11/15/2018-14:03
Smith, Steven L.	10/6/2016_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		09/22/2016-14:03	11/15/2018-14:03
Closser, Zachary James	10/6/2016_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		09/22/2016-14:03	11/15/2018-14:03
Wheeler, Samuel Melvil	10/6/2016_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		09/22/2016-14:03	11/15/2018-14:03
Wheeler, Samuel Melvil	10/6/2016_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		09/22/2016-14:03	11/15/2018-14:03
Smith, Steven L.	10/6/2016_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		09/22/2016-14:03	11/15/2018-14:03
Closser, Zachary James	10/6/2016_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		09/22/2016-14:03	11/15/2018-14:03
Scarafle, Michael Christopher	10/6/2016_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		09/22/2016-14:03	11/15/2018-14:03
Morphew, Molly M	10/6/2016_MOTION_Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Se	Action		09/22/2016-14:03	11/15/2018-14:03
Dudek, Stephen	Motion/Dismiss and Sanctions	Motion		09/21/2016-15:59	01/31/2017-15:59

Scaraffio, Michael	Motion to Dismiss	Motion	09/20/2016-10:51	01/31/2017-10:51
Morphew, Molly M	Summons & Complaint	Filing	09/24/2016-09:58	11/15/2018-09:58

CMSWeb 6.1 © 2019 South Carolina Judicial Branch • All rights reserved

EXHIBIT E

FORM 4

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
 COUNTY OF DORCHESTER
 IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL CASE
 CASE NUMBER 2016CP1801706

FILED - RECORDED

Molly M. Morphew

2017 APR -3 AM 11:08
 CHERYL GRAHAM
 CLERK OF COURT
 DORCHESTER COUNTY

Stephen Dudek
 David Collins
 First Federal
 Susan Nicholson
 Carrie Boyer

Doreen Cross
 Allison Williams
 Michael Scarafie
 Carolina One Real Estate

PLAINTIFF(S)

DEFENDANT(S)

Submitted by:

Attorney for: Plaintiff Defendant
 Self-Represented Litigant

DISPOSITION TYPE (CHECK ONE)

- JURY VERDICT.** This action came before the court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and a verdict rendered.
- DECISION BY THE COURT.** This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision rendered. See Page 2 for additional information.
- ACTION DISMISSED (CHECK REASON):**
 - Rule 12(b), SCRPC;
 - Rule 41(a), SCRPC (Vol. Nonsuit);
 - Rule 43(k), SCRPC (Settled);
 - Other: _____
- ACTION STRICKEN (CHECK REASON):**
 - Rule 40(j) SCRPC;
 - Bankruptcy;
 - Binding arbitration, subject to right to restore to confirm, vacate or modify arbitration award;
 - Other: _____
- STAYED DUE TO BANKRUPTCY**
- DISPOSITION OF APPEAL TO THE CIRCUIT COURT (CHECK APPLICABLE BOX):**
 - Affirmed;
 - Reversed;
 - Remanded;
 - Other: _____

NOTE: ATTORNEYS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR NOTIFYING LOWER COURT, TRIBUNAL, OR ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCY OF THE CIRCUIT COURT RULING IN THIS APPEAL.

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED: See attached order; (formal order to follow) Statement of Judgment by the Court:

ORDER INFORMATION

This order ends does not end the case.
 Additional Information for the Clerk: _____

INFORMATION FOR THE JUDGMENT INDEX

Complete this section below when the judgment affects title to real or personal property or if any amount should be enrolled. If there is no judgment information, indicate "N/A" in one of the boxes below.

Judgment In Favor of (List name(s) below)	Judgment Against (List name(s) below)	Judgment Amount To be Enrolled (List amount(s) below)

If applicable, describe the property, including tax map information and address, referenced in the order:

The judgment information above has been provided by the submitting party. Disputes concerning the amounts contained in this form may be addressed by way of motion pursuant to the SC Rules of Civil Procedure. Amounts to be computed such as interest or additional taxable costs not available at the time the form and final order are submitted to the judge may be provided to the clerk. Note: Title abstractors and researchers should refer to the official court order for judgment details.

E-Filing Note: In E-Filing counties, the Court will electronically sign this form using a separate electronic signature page.

Deadra L. Jefferson
 Circuit Court Judge

2128
 Judge Code

4/3/2017
 Date

For Clerk of Court Office Use Only

This judgment was entered on 4/3/2017, and a copy mailed first class or placed in the appropriate attorney's box on 4/3/2017, to attorneys of record or to parties (when appearing pro se) as follows:

Molly M Morpew 788 E. Butternut Road Summerville, SC 29483

Michael Christopher Scarafie 4024 Salt Pointe Parkway N. Charleston, SC 29405
Steven L. Smith/Zachary James Closser /Samuel Melvil Wheeler 7455 Cross County Rd., Suite 1 PO Box 40578 Charleston, SC 29423-0578
Amy L.B. Hill /Jordan Michael Crapps PO Box 7368 Columbia, SC 29202
Amy Lynn Neuschafer /William Alfred Bryan Jr. 11945 Grandhaven Drive Suite D Murrells Inlet, SC 29576

ATTORNEY(S) FOR THE PLAINTIFF(S)

ATTORNEY(S) FOR THE DEFENDANT(S)



Cheryl Graham - Clerk of Court

Court Reporter

Court Reporter:

E-Filing Note: In E-Filing counties, the date of Entry of Judgment is the same date as reflected on the Electronic File Stamp and the clerk's entering of the date of judgment above is not required in those counties. The clerk will mail a copy of the judgement to parties who are not E-Filers or who are appearing pro se. See Rule 77(d), SCRPC.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION REGARDING DECISION BY THE COURT AS REFERENCED ON PAGE 1.

This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision rendered.

EXHIBIT F

Cripps, Jordan Michael	8/8/2018 MOTION, Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Set	Action		07/05/2018-10:03	11/15/2018-10:03
Cripps, Jordan Michael	8/8/2018 MOTION, Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Set	Action		07/05/2018-10:03	11/15/2018-10:03
Cripps, Jordan Michael	8/8/2018 MOTION, Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Set	Action		07/05/2018-10:03	11/15/2018-10:03
Cripps, Jordan Michael	8/8/2018 MOTION, Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Set	Action		07/05/2018-10:03	11/15/2018-10:03
Cripps, Jordan Michael	8/8/2018 MOTION, Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Set	Action		07/05/2018-10:03	11/15/2018-10:03
Cripps, Jordan Michael	8/8/2018 MOTION, Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Set	Action		07/05/2018-10:03	11/15/2018-10:03
Cripps, Jordan Michael	8/8/2018 MOTION, Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Set	Action		07/05/2018-10:03	11/15/2018-10:03
Cripps, Jordan Michael	8/8/2018 MOTION, Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Set	Action		07/05/2018-10:03	11/15/2018-10:03
Cripps, Jordan Michael	8/8/2018 MOTION, Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Set	Action		07/05/2018-10:03	11/15/2018-10:03
Marple, Molly M	NY 104-20-2818 0400:26 991 Order / Item 4	Item		06/28/2018-16:00	11/15/2018-16:00
Marple, Molly M	Order / Item 5 - Setting Final Date	Order		06/28/2018-16:00	06/28/2018-16:00
Marple, Molly M	Response to Defs Joint Response	Response		06/22/2018-16:33	11/15/2018-16:33
Boyer, Carrie	Joint Response to PPs Answer to Order May 31, 2018	Response		06/18/2018-15:01	11/15/2018-15:01
Marple, Molly M	Order / Item 6 - Setting Final Date	Order		06/18/2018-11:04	06/18/2018-11:04
Marple, Molly M	Notice for Hearing or Rescission	Notice		06/11/2018-15:14	10/01/2018-15:14
Marple, Molly M	Response to Order	Response		06/07/2018-15:04	11/15/2018-15:04
Marple, Molly M	Order from 5/29/2018 Motion Roster	Order		05/31/2018-10:06	05/31/2018-10:06
Deitch, Stephen	Memorandum in Support of Motion for SJ/No to Strike	Memorandum		05/29/2018-16:56	11/15/2018-16:56
Woodley Law Firm	Memorandum in Support of Motion for SJ	Memorandum		05/29/2018-16:55	11/15/2018-16:55
West Federal	Memorandum in Support of Motion for SJ/No to Strike	Memorandum		05/29/2018-14:51	11/15/2018-14:51
Smith, Steven L.	8/23/2018 JURY Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Set	Action		05/22/2018-14:20	11/15/2018-14:20
Marple, Molly M	8/23/2018 JURY Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Set	Action		05/22/2018-14:20	11/15/2018-14:20
Cripps, Jordan Michael	8/23/2018 JURY Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Set	Action		05/22/2018-14:20	11/15/2018-14:20
Hill, Amy L.H.	8/23/2018 JURY Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Set	Action		05/22/2018-14:20	11/15/2018-14:20
Wheeler, Samuel Melvil	8/23/2018 JURY Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Set	Action		05/22/2018-14:20	11/15/2018-14:20
Chester, Zachary James	8/23/2018 JURY Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Set	Action		05/22/2018-14:20	11/15/2018-14:20
Henschel, Amy Lynn	8/23/2018 JURY Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Set	Action		05/22/2018-14:20	11/15/2018-14:20
Byrne, William Alfred Jr.	8/23/2018 JURY Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Set	Action		05/22/2018-14:20	11/15/2018-14:20
Collins, David	(14) 8/23/2018 JURY Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Set	Action		05/22/2018-11:09	11/15/2018-11:09
Byrne, William Alfred Jr.	8/29/2018 MOTION, Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Set	Action		05/22/2018-10:06	11/15/2018-10:06
Henschel, Amy Lynn	8/29/2018 MOTION, Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Set	Action		05/22/2018-10:06	11/15/2018-10:06
Chester, Zachary James	8/29/2018 MOTION, Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Set	Action		05/22/2018-10:06	11/15/2018-10:06
Wheeler, Samuel Melvil	8/29/2018 MOTION, Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Set	Action		05/22/2018-10:06	11/15/2018-10:06
Hill, Amy L.H.	8/29/2018 MOTION, Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Set	Action		05/22/2018-10:06	11/15/2018-10:06
Cripps, Jordan Michael	8/29/2018 MOTION, Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Set	Action		05/22/2018-10:06	11/15/2018-10:06
Marple, Molly M	8/29/2018 MOTION, Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Set	Action		05/22/2018-10:06	11/15/2018-10:06
Smith, Steven L.	8/29/2018 MOTION, Roster/Notice of Case Roster Publication Set	Action		05/22/2018-10:06	11/15/2018-10:06

EXHIBIT G

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

In the Court of Appeals

RECEIVED

MAY 04 2021

SC Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM DORCHESTER COUNTY
Court of Common Pleas

The Honorable Deadra L. Jefferson, First Judicial Circuit
The Honorable Edgar W. Dickson, First Judicial Circuit
Honorable Maite Murphy, First Judicial Circuit

Appellate Case No. 2018-002185

Molly M. Morphew, Appellant

v.

Stephen Dudek, Doreen Cross, David Collins, Allison Williams, First
Federal, Michael Scarafale, Susan Nicholson, Carolina One Real Estate,
Carrie Boyer, Woody Law Firm, Respondents

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Molly M. Morphew, Appellant for said case, hereby certify that I have, on this date indicated below, served counsel below with an APPELLANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS OR STRIKE RESPONDENTS' MOTION TO DISMISS; AND COMPEL COMPLIANCE, by mailing a copy of same via United States Mail, postage prepaid and return address clearly indicated on said envelope, to counsel at the following address:


Steven L. Smith, Esquire
P.O. Box 40578
Charleston, SC 29423-0578
Attorney for Respondents:
Stephen Dudek
Doreen Cross
Susan Nicholson

David A. Collins, pro se
P.O. Box 30052
Charleston, SC 29417
Respondent, pro se

Michael Scarafile
Carolina One

Amy L. Neuschafter, Esquire
Collins & Lacy, PC
11945 Grandhaven Drive, Ste D
Murrells Inlet, SC 29576
Attorney for Respondents:
Carrie Boyer
Woody Law Firm

Amy L. B. Hill, Esquire
Gallivan, White & Boyd, PA
P.O. Box 7368
Columbia, SC 29202-7368
Attorney for Respondents:
Allison Williams
First Federal Bank (aka South State
Bank)



Molly M. Morphew, pro se

May 1, 2021

April 30, 2021

The Honorable Jenny Abbott Kitchings
Clerk of Court
South Carolina Court of Appeals
1015 Sumter Street
Columbia, South Carolina 29211

RECEIVED

MAY 04 2021

SC Court of Appeals


Re: Appellate Case No. 2018-002185
Molly M. Morphew v. Stephen Dudek, Doreen Cross, et al.

Dear Ms. Kitchings:

Please find enclosed the original and six (6) copies of APPELLANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS OR STRIKE RESPONDENTS' MOTION TO DISMISS; AND COMPEL COMPLIANCE, and the CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE, to be recorded and filed.

Also enclosed is a copy of above to be kindly recorded and returned in the self-addressed, stamped envelope.

Thank you and very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Molly Morphew', written over a circular stamp or mark.

Molly Morphew, pro se


Cc: Amy L. Neuschafer, Esq.
Amy B. Hill, Esq.
Steven L. Smith, Esq.
David A. Collins, pro se

FedEx

SS


SC Court of Appeals
MAY 04 2021

RECEIVED



XH USCA
29211 CAE sc.us

TUE - 04 MAY 10:30A
7736 07 12 5506
PRIORITY OVERNIGHT




FedEx Ship Manager - Print Your Label

PEEL HERE

1015 SUMNER STREET
SOUTH CAROLINA COURT OF APPEALS
HONORABLE JENNY ABBOTT KITCHINGS
RICHMOND, GA 31206
UNITED STATES

104
10:30
5506
E



GREEN B. SAVA - (947) 314-7299
MOLLY DORRIS - (947) 314-7299
181 SHERMAN RD
RICHMOND, GA 31206
BILL SENDER

Align bottom of post and stick at bill or pouch here.

Align top of FedEx's green shipping label here.