

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA )  
 )  
COUNTY OF RICHLAND )

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

Nancy Morris, as Personal Representative )  
of the Estate of David Allan Woods, )  
 )  
Plaintiff, )

Civil Action No. 2017-CP-40-6773

v. )

ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S  
MOTION TO ALTER OR AMEND

State Fiscal Accountability Authority, )  
South Carolina Insurance Reserve Fund, )  
Andrew J. Bland, Richard T. Burkholder, )  
Leemon E. Carner, Priscilla Bland, )  
Jerry Speissegger, Jr., )  
 )  
Defendants. )

**RECEIVED**

MAY 12 2021

SC Court of Appeals

This matter is before this Court on the Plaintiff's Motion to Alter or Amend Order filed October 4, 2019. Although the Plaintiff may have timely filed her motion pursuant to Rule 59(e), SCRCF, the Plaintiff never provided the Court with a copy of the motion in accordance with Rule 59(g), SCRCF, and as a result, the Court was not aware until recently that the motion had been filed. Based upon the failure of the Plaintiff to comply with Rule 59(g) SCRCF, the Motion to Alter or Amend Order is denied. *See, Smith v. Fedor*, 422 S.C. 118, 809 S.E.2d 612, 616 (Ct. App. 2017) (“the trial court properly denied Smith’s motion for reconsideration because he did not timely provide a copy of the motion to the judge”).

Moreover, after careful consideration of the Plaintiff's motion and the record in this case, the Court is unable to discover any material fact or principle of law that either has been overlooked or disregarded.

In addition, pursuant to Rule 59(f), SCRCP, the Court is of the opinion that oral argument is not necessary.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that the Plaintiff's Motion to Alter or Amend Order is denied.

AND IT IS SO ORDERED.

---

L. CASEY MANNING  
Circuit Court Judge,  
Fifth Judicial Circuit



Richland Common Pleas

**Case Caption:** Nancy Morris , plaintiff, et al vs South Carolina Insurance Reserve Fund  
**Case Number:** 2017CP4006773  
**Type:** Order/Other

So Ordered

s/L. Casey Manning, 2061