

The Supreme Court of South Carolina

John Dewayne Garvin, Petitioner,

v.

State of South Carolina, Respondent.

Appellate Case No. 2020-001418

ORDER

Petitioner, who is proceeding without counsel in this case, has filed two motions.

In the first motion, petitioner asks for access to certain lawyer disciplinary records for the purpose of supplementing the appendix with those records. Since these disciplinary records were not before the post-conviction relief judge, they are not part of the lower court record, and cannot be included in the appendix. *Jamison v. State*, 410 S.C. 456, 765 S.E.2d 123 (2014); Rule 243(f) of the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules. Accordingly, the first motion is denied.

In the second motion, petitioner alleges the transcript of the hearing held in this case is not true, accurate or complete. He asks this Court to grant him access to the audio recording and steno notes from this hearing. Since this matter is more appropriately considered using the procedures provided by the South Carolina Court Reporters Manual, the motion filed with this Court is dismissed. Section XIII(B) ("Court reporters shall not grant any request to listen to audio recordings or to read steno notes unless the requestor has received written authorization from the presiding judge or, in his/her absence, the chief judge for administrative purposes in that circuit.") and Section XIII(C) (outlining procedure for challenging accuracy

of transcripts), South Carolina Court Reporter Manual.¹


FOR THE COURT

C.J.

Columbia, South Carolina
May 25, 2021

cc: William Harold Ray, Esquire
Mr. John D. Garvin, 355509
The Honorable Amy W. Cox

¹ Available at www.sccourts.org/courtreporter/courtreportermanual.pdf.