

The Supreme Court of South Carolina

Julian Young, Respondent-Petitioner,

v.

State of South Carolina, Petitioner-Respondent.

Appellate Case No. 2020-000896

ORDER

On May 21, 2021, respondent-petitioner sought a fourth extension of time to serve and file a cross-petition for writ of certiorari, asserting there are extraordinary circumstances justifying this extension. Subsequently, respondent-petitioner requested leave to file an amended return to the State's petition for writ of certiorari.¹ The State has consented to both motions. The motion for an extension of time to serve and file the cross-petition for a writ of certiorari is granted, and the cross-petition served and filed on May 28, 2021 is accepted as filed.

The motion for leave to file an amended return is also granted. However, counsel for respondent-petitioner submitted a "corrected amended return" on May 26, 2021—the fourth version of the return filed with this Court—which totals 48 pages. Rule 243(g) of the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules (SCACR) provides that a return shall not exceed 25 pages in length. Although counsel for petitioner indicated by email that she would file a motion to exceed the page limit, she has failed to do so. Accordingly, the amended return is stricken. Counsel for respondent-petitioner shall serve and file an amended return that complies with Rule 243(g) no later than June 12, 2021.

¹ The time to serve and file a return to the petition for a writ of certiorari, which the State filed on October 20, 2020, has been extended at least four times. This matter was also held in abeyance pending the resolution of respondent-petitioner's motion to dismiss the State's notice of appeal.

Finally, we caution counsel for respondent-petitioner that, based on the number of requests for extensions and to serve and file documents out of time, no further extensions of time will be granted.



FOR THE COURT C.J.

Columbia, South Carolina
June 1, 2021

cc:
Tara Dawn Shurling, Esquire
Benjamin Hunter Limbaugh, Esquire