

Exhibit

" Threat of Imminent "

DANGER # 2

=

LAWRENCE L CRAWFORD AKA  
JONAH GABRIEL JANJAH T. TISHBITE

#300839 FBI RM 2160

LEE CI 990 Wisnisky Hwy

Bishopville, SC 29010

and RE to being deprived access  
to law library hindering my access  
to the courts behind retaliation.

→ 101 Director Bryan Stirling,

WARDEN WISE,

WARDEN JISDALE,

GRUENAPLE OFFICE,

Mrs. McCRAE,

STATE GENERAL COUNSEL

10812

LEE C. Z. classification,  
SC US DISTRICT COURT,

I don't know what is going  
on with officer McCRAE. She  
just keep on jiggling at me for  
NO REASON AT ALL. EVER SINCE  
HEADQUARTERS AND WARDEN JISDAKE  
REQUIRED HER TO MAKE MY LEGAL  
COPIES. I AM CONSTANTLY SUBJECTED  
TO REPEATED ACTS OF RETALIATION BY  
THIS OFFICER FOR THE FREE EXERCISE  
OF MY CONSTITUTIONALLY PROTECTED  
RIGHTS UNDER THE AMERICANS WITH  
DISABILITIES ACT. In the past 2-3

WEEKS I HAVE BEEN LIMITED ACCESS  
TO THE LAW LIBRARY WHEN I HAVE  
SUBSTANTIAL COURT DEADLINES,  
MANY OF THEM ARE LESS THAN  
60 DAYS. ON APRIL 28, 2021  
OFFICER MCCRAE CALLED DARYL TO  
THE LAW LIBRARY AS A HELPER  
BUT FAILED TO CALL ME WHEN I  
HAVE COURT DEADLINES AND HE  
DOES NOT. OFFICER STOKES SAID MY  
NAME WAS NOT ON THE LIST WHEN  
DARYL WAS. ON MAY 3, 2021 I  
TRIED TO GO TO WORK IN THE  
AFTERNOON AND OFFICER MCCRAE

~~stated~~ I was no longer a law  
library helper. She said that  
I don't need a law library  
helper who can't follow policy  
and procedure. What is going on  
with this officer ladies and  
gentlemen? I object to this unjust  
retaliatory behavior on the part  
of this officer. I respectfully  
demand what policy and procedure  
do she claim that I can't follow?  
This is an emergency grievance  
due to the fact that I also do  
have substantial court deadlines

ending and officer MCCRAE'S  
RETRIBUTORY BEHAVIOR CAN CAUSE  
IRREPARABLE DAMAGE TO MY PENDING  
LEGAL ACTIONS. I HAVE NOT VIOLATED  
POLICY AND PROCEDURE IF I AM  
EXEMPT FROM THE POLICY AND OR  
PROCEDURE DUE TO MY DISABILITY  
VIA MY RIGHTS UNDER THE ADA.  
IT IS NOT VIOLATING POLICY AND  
PROCEDURE SIMPLY BECAUSE I  
INQUIRED IF A PARTICULAR THING CAN  
BE DONE BY POLICY AND PROCEDURE  
WHICH I DID RELATED TO ASKING IF  
I CAN MAKE COPIES OF ADMININATE'S

5 of 12

documents that ARE filed in  
my cases as exhibits. This is a  
clear injustice and clear  
retaliation because I sought the  
exemption afforded to me for copies  
of legal documents given to me  
by SCDC HEADQUARTERS the ADA  
COORDINATOR AND WARDEN FISDALE.  
IF THERE IS ANYONE who is in  
violation of SCDC policy and procedure  
in that education building it is  
HER. SHE OPENED THE DOOR TO THIS  
RESPONSE by this unjust claim, not  
me. So let's put it all on the  
table. Let's put it all out there.

It is a violation of "so-called"  
some policy and procedure for  
officer McCRACKEN to give the head  
law library clerk UNRESTRICTED ACCESS  
to her computer terminal, ACCESS  
to EVERY SINGLE inmate in the DEPT.  
of CORRECTIONS PERSONAL information,  
ACCESS to the internet and God  
KNOWS whatever ELSE sensitive  
some information of the SC DEPT.  
of CORRECTIONS that is on her  
computer terminal. Then when I  
bring this inappropriate behavior  
to her attention she starts "jiggling  
at me" with these repeated acts  
of RETALIATION because I sought

to EXERCISE my right to obtain  
legal copies under the ADA. It  
is a violation of SCDC "policy and  
PROCEDURE" for officer MCCRACKEN to  
to accept food and other favors from  
employees who work in the Education  
building in addition inappropriate  
employee relationships,  
having these employees remain  
with her during lunch because  
they are feeding her as a  
condition to her favor of they  
being allowed in the law library  
and because I won't engage in  
these egregious acts of inappropriate  
employee relationships,

essentially paying her to work there. She then tells me that I CAN NO LONGER WORK THERE AS A LIBRARY HELPER, WHEN I DON'T DO ANYTHING, BECAUSE I'M A THREAT TO REVEALING WHAT IS GOING ON UP THAT LOW LIBRARY UNDER THE GUISE OF SOME FALSE CLAIM THAT I DON'T KNOW HOW TO FOLLOW POLICY AND PROCEDURE. IN WHAT INSTANCE IS THIS TRUE? NOT BY MERELY MAKING AN INQUIRY INTO POLICY? OFFICER McCRAE STARTED THIS. SHE SHOULD HAVE SIMPLY LEFT ME ALONE. NO, SHE WANTS TO CONTINUALLY POKE


9/9/12

the bear. So lets put it all out  
there. Who is in violation of  
policy, her or me? Officer  
McCrane does not need to be in  
that law library if this is how  
she is going to conduct herself.  
Her actions violate 42 USC §§  
1985(2) and 12203(a)(6) of ADA. If  
the officer wants to talk policy?  
Policy says I am entitled to  
37.2 hours weekly in the  
law library. Classification and  
warden is date said I can have a  
job in the law library. If officer  
McCrane cannot show a specific policy I

violated where inquiring if  
something can be done by  
policy is not a violation of policy?  
I have had actions are clearly  
based on retaliation for me  
exercising my rights under the  
ADA to be exempt from the  
copy policy. A copy of this will  
be served on Warden Jisdale  
first to give him an opportunity  
to correct the injustice. (5) days  
later everyone else will be  
served. This is emergency  
grievance. It is my seeking

HOD

of informal resolution and  
exhaustion of state administrative  
remedies under ATRA. You have  
60 days to fix this or legal  
actions shall follow. Classification  
assigned me to the law library  
and warden judge supported  
that decision. It is not a violation  
of policy by making an inquiry  
to policy as what would be permitted  
by policy.

Respectfully  
Jonah The Fishbite  


May 4, 2021

12812

LAWRENCE L CRAWFORD

#300839 F2A Rm 1260

LEE C.R. 990 Wisacky Hwy  
Bishopville SC 29010

LEE GRUENGLOR  
OFFICE