

u

~~Exhibit~~ yah dipha

u

2

PHYLLIS "YAHDNA" OVERSTREET-U-DEEN
15306 ROBEY AVENUE SUITE #207
HARVEY ILLINOIS 60426


FILED FOR RECORD
2021 APR 15 PM 2:24
JANET C. HASTY
CLERK OF COURT
KERSHAW COUNTY, S.C.

IN RE: TO FILING AN APPLICATION FOR FORENSIC DNA TESTING
REGARDING CASE 04-GS-385 LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD.

TO: THE KERSHAW COUNTY CLERK OF COURT,

I AM THE GOD MOTHER TO KORRESHA CRAWFOR AND FAMILY MEMBER, SISTER-IN-LAW OF LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD # 300839 WHO IS PRESENTLY INCARCERATED AT LEE C.I. IN BISHOPVILLE, S.C. PER LAWRENCE'S BROTHER, LENEAU, FOR OUR FAMILY MEMBERS, SPEAKING WITH MS. ASHLEY AT THE S.C. SUPREME COURT. THE 5TH. CIRCUIT SOLICITOR'S OFFICE THAT THE KERSHAW CLERK DIRECTED US TO CALL, AND THE CLERK HERSELF, WHO, THE S.C. SUPREME COURT INFORMED OUR FAMILY THAT WE DON'T NEED AN ATTORNEY TO FILE THIS APPLICATION FOR FORENSIC DNA TESTING, NOR DO WE NEED TO PAY ANY FILING FEE SINCE THIS IS A CRIMINAL MATTER, WHICH CONVERSATIONS OCCURRED ON JANUARY 29, 2021. I AM NOW SEEKING TO FILE THIS ATTACHED DNA APPLICATION SEEKING THE TESTING OF DNA THAT OUR FAMILY MEMBER, LAWRENCE CRAWFORD, WAS SOMEHOW HINDERED IN FILING. PER OUR CONVERSATION WITH THE KERSHAW COUNTY CLERK. WE INFORMED HER THAT THIS APPLICATION FOR FORENSIC DNA TESTING WOULD BE MAILED TO THE COURT, THEREUPON, YOU WOULD ENSURE THAT IT IS FILLED AND I BE RETURNED THE CLOCK STAMPED COPIES OF THE FILED DOCUMENT. ATTACHED ARE (4) COPIES OF THE DNA APPLICATION THAT WE DISCUSSED. PLEASE RETAIN A COPY FOR THE COURT FILES AND THEN CLOCK STAMP THE ADDITIONAL REMAING (3) AND RETURN THEM TO ME AT THE ADDRESS CAPTIONED ABOVE. FOR YOUR KINDNESS I WOULD BE VERY GRATEFUL. I AND OUR FAMILY THANK YOU ALL IN ADVANCE. STILL REMAIN.

RESPECTFULLY,

PHYLLIS "YAHDNA" OVERSTREET-U-DEEN


STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)	IN THE COURT OF (Select one)
) COUNTY OF <u>KERSHAW</u>)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> GENERAL SESSIONS
)	<input type="checkbox"/> FAMILY COURT
)	5TH. JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
<u>PHYLLIS "YADINA" OVERSTREET-U-DEEN</u>)	APPLICATION FOR
Name of applicant and Inmate number (if applicable))	FORENSIC DNA TESTING
OR)	
IN THE INTEREST OF)	ORIGINAL INDICTMENT NO.
<u>KORRESHA CRAWFORD</u>)	2004 -GS- 28 - 0385
Juvenile)	OR
)	ORIGINAL PETITION NO.
v.)	
State of South Carolina)	-JU- -

INSTRUCTIONS – READ CAREFULLY

In order for this application to receive consideration by the Court, it shall be in writing (legibly handwritten or typewritten), signed by the applicant and verified (notarized), and it shall set forth in concise form the answers to each applicable question. If necessary, applicant may continue an answer to a particular question on the reverse side of the page or on an additional page. Applicant shall make clear to which question any such continued answer refers.

Since every application must be sworn under oath, any false statement of a material fact therein may serve as the basis of prosecution and conviction for perjury. Applicants should, therefore, exercise care to assure that all answers are true and correct.

If the application is taken *in forma pauperis*, it shall include an affidavit (attached at the end of the form) setting forth information which establishes that applicant will be unable to pay the fees and costs of the proceedings. When the application is completed, the original shall be mailed to the Clerk of Court for the County in which the applicant was convicted or adjudicated.

I understand that DNA testing is only available if I have been convicted or adjudicated of an offense listed in S.C. Code Ann. § 17-28-30, that I am currently incarcerated for that offense, and that I am asserting that I am innocent of the offense. Further, if the conviction or adjudication was the result of a plea of guilty or nolo contendere, the application must be filed within seven years of the date of sentencing.

- Identify the proceedings in which the applicant was convicted or adjudicated:
CASE 2004-GS-28-0385 FOR THE CHARGE OF MURDER
"

FOR THE RECORD. MY NAME IS PHYLLIS "YADINA" OVERSTREET-U-DEEN. MY CURRENT ADDRESS IS 15306 ROBEY AVENUE SUITE # 707 HARVEY, ILLINOIS 60426. I AM LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD'S FORMER SISTER-IN-LAW AND THE GODMOTHER TO THE DECEASED CHILD, KORRESHA CRAWFORD. OUR FAMILY HAS BEEN IN RECENT CONTACT WITH MS. ASHLEY, MS. GRANNY AND MEMBERS OF THE S.C. SUPREME COURT WHO INFORMED OUR FAMILY THAT SINCE THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA OR KERSHAW COUNTY WAS GIVING MY BROTHER-IN-LAW, LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD, A PROBLEM IN FILING THIS D.N.A. APPLICATION, THAT THE MEMBERS OF OUR FAMILY CAN DO IT ON HIS BEHALF, AND WE DON'T NEED TO HIRE AN ATTORNEY TO DO IT. WE WERE INSTRUCTED BY THE S.C. SUPREME COURT THAT ANY OF OUR FAMILY MEMBERS CAN DO IT. THEREFORE, I DECIDED TO COME TO THE AID OF MY BROTHER-IN-LAW AND FILE IT. THIS WAS CONFIRMED IN OUR FAMILY'S CONVERSATION WITH MEMBERS OF THE 5TH. CIRCUIT SOLICITOR'S OFFICE. AFTER CALLING THE KERSHAW COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE AND INFORMING HER OF THESE MATTERS. OUR FAMILY WAS INFORMED BY THE CLERK THAT THERE IS NO FILING FEE REQUIRED IF ANY OF US INTENDED TO FILE THE APPLICATION ON LAWRENCE'S BEHALF AS DIRECT FAMILY MEMBERS. THIS IS THIS FILING'S AIM.

I MOTION FOR AND SEEK BY THIS FILING A PICK UP ORDER AND IT BE REQUIRED THAT LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD BE PICKED UP FROM WHATEVER INSTITUTION HE RESIDES AND BE MADE TO APPEAR AT EVERY SINGLE HEARING, WITHOUT EXCEPTION, DUE TO THE STATE AND OR COUNTY CONSPIRING UNDER COLOR OF STATE LAW TO PREVENT HIM FILING THIS APPLICATION.

2. Give the date of the entry of the judgment and sentence: SEE EXHIBIT, "LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD" ATTCHED WITHIN THIS DOCUMENT. FOR THE RECORD. THERE IS
and current place of incarceration: NO NEED TO BE REDUNDANT. THE SAME EXACT

3. Identify all previous or ongoing proceedings, together with the grounds therein asserted, taken by the applicant to secure relief from his conviction or adjudication:

- (a) ANSWERS AND INFORMATION SEEN WITHIN THE EXHIBIT SOUGHT
- (b) FILED BY HIM IS NOW BEING FILED BY ME AS HIS FAMILY MEMBER
- (c) AND FAMILY MEMBER OF THE DECEASED CHILD.

4. Make a reasonable attempt to identify the physical evidence or biological material that should be tested: _____

"

Identify the specific type of DNA testing being sought:

"

"

5. Explain why the identity of the applicant was or should have been a significant issue during the original court proceedings, notwithstanding the fact that the applicant may have pled guilty or nolo contendere or made or is alleged to have made an incriminating statement or admission as to identity:

"

"

"

"

6. Explain why the physical evidence or biological material sought to be tested was not previously subjected to DNA testing, or if the physical evidence or biological material sought to be tested was previously subjected to DNA testing, provide the results of the testing and explain how the requested DNA test would provide a substantially more probative result:

"

SEE EXHIBIT "LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD" ATTACHED.

"

"

7. Explain why if the DNA testing produces exculpatory results, the testing will constitute new evidence that will probably change the result of the applicant's conviction or adjudication if a new trial is granted and is not merely cumulative or impeaching:

"

"

"

8. I assert that I am actually innocent of the listed offense, that this offense is listed in S.C. Code Ann. § 17-28-30 and that I am currently incarcerated for the listed offense. I attest that this application is made to demonstrate innocence and not solely to delay the execution of a sentence or the administration of justice.

9. If DNA testing is conducted and results are determined to be inculpatory by the Court, I understand that:

- (a) The Court may hold me in contempt of court if it determines that my assertion of actual innocence was intentionally false;
- (b) The Court may assess the cost of any DNA testing against me;
- (c) The South Carolina Department of Corrections may use this determination to deny good conduct credit; and,
- (d) The Department of Probation, Parole, and Pardon Services can use this determination to deny parole.

PHYLLIS "YADINA" OVERSTREET U-DEEN

Print Applicant Name




Signature of Applicant

EXHIBIT, "LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD "

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

I, LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD AKA JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE, DO HEREBY CERTIFY, THAT I HAVE MAILED AND OR SERVED A COPY OF AN APPLICATION FOR FORENSIC DNA TESTING ON THE KERSHAW COURT GENERAL SESSIONS COURT AND THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL, BY U.S. MAIL, POSTAGE PREPAID, BY DEPOSITING IT IN THE INSTITUTION MAILBOX ON APRIL 27, 2020.

RESPECTFULLY,
JAHJAH AL MAHDI

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Lawrence L. Crawford", with a large, stylized flourish extending from the end of the signature.

APRIL 27, 2020

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

COUNTY OF KERSHAW

LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD #300839

Name of applicant and Inmate number (if applicable)

OR

IN THE INTEREST OF

KORRESHA CRAWFORD

Juvenile

v.

State of South Carolina

) IN THE COURT OF (Select one)
) GENERAL SESSIONS
) FAMILY COURT
) 5TH. JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

) APPLICATION FOR
) FORENSIC DNA TESTING

) ORIGINAL INDICTMENT NO.

) 2004 -GS- 28 - 0385

) OR

) ORIGINAL PETITION NO.

) -JU-

JANET C. HASTY
CLERK OF COURT
KERSHAW COUNTY, S.C.

2021 APR 15 PM 2:26

FILED FOR RECORD

INSTRUCTIONS - READ CAREFULLY

In order for this application to receive consideration by the Court, it shall be in writing (legibly handwritten or typewritten), signed by the applicant and verified (notarized), and it shall set forth in concise form the answers to each applicable question. If necessary, applicant may continue an answer to a particular question on the reverse side of the page or on an additional page. Applicant shall make clear to which question any such continued answer refers.

Since every application must be sworn under oath, any false statement of a material fact therein may serve as the basis of prosecution and conviction for perjury. Applicants should, therefore, exercise care to assure that all answers are true and correct.

If the application is taken *in forma pauperis*, it shall include an affidavit (attached at the end of the form) setting forth information which establishes that applicant will be unable to pay the fees and costs of the proceedings. When the application is completed, the original shall be mailed to the Clerk of Court for the County in which the applicant was convicted or adjudicated.

I understand that DNA testing is only available if I have been convicted or adjudicated of an offense listed in S.C. Code Ann. § 17-28-30, that I am currently incarcerated for that offense, and that I am asserting that I am innocent of the offense. Further, if the conviction or adjudication was the result of a plea of guilty or nolo contendere, the application must be filed within seven years of the date of sentencing.

1. Identify the proceedings in which the applicant was convicted or adjudicated:

CASE 2004-GS-28-0385 FOR THE CHARGE OF MURDER

"

2. Give the date of the entry of the judgment and sentence: MARCH 2004 LIFE IN PRISON
FOR THE CRIME OF MURDER

and current place of incarceration: LEE C.I. 990 WISACKY HWY BISHOPVILLE SC

3. Identify all previous or ongoing proceedings, together with the grounds therein asserted, taken by the applicant to secure relief from his conviction or adjudication:

(a) CASES 19-10011 IN THE 5TH. CIRCUIT; CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 IN

(b) THE RICHLAND COUNTY COURT OF COMMON PLEAS; CASE 19-8136 IN

(c) THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT VIA WRIT OF MANDAMUS

4. Make a reasonable attempt to identify the physical evidence or biological material that should be tested: DNA SAMPLES TAKEN FROM KORRESHA CRAWFORD TAKEN AT

THE TIME OF HER DEATH HELD IN THE POSSESSION OF THE K.C. CORONER

Identify the specific type of DNA testing being sought: TEST FOR POTENTIAL PATERNITY
VIA STANDARD FROM MICHAEL LEE OR HIS DNA TRACES. SUCH DNA FOUND
WOULD GO TO PROVING WHETHER THE TRUE CAUSE OF DEATH WAS SUPPRESS

5. Explain why the identity of the applicant was or should have been a significant issue during the original court proceedings, notwithstanding the fact that the applicant may have pled guilty or nolo contendere or made or is alleged to have made an incriminating statement or admission as to identity:

SEE ATTACHED SHEETS

"

"

"

6. Explain why the physical evidence or biological material sought to be tested was not previously subjected to DNA testing, or if the physical evidence or biological material sought to be tested was previously subjected to DNA testing, provide the results of the testing and explain how the requested DNA test would provide a substantially more probative result:

SEE ATTACHED SHEETS

FILED FOR RECORD

2021 APR 15 PM 2:24

JANET C. HASTY
CLERK OF COURT
KERSHAW COUNTY, S.C.

EXHIBIT, "ORIGINAL FILING"

LAW OFFICE L CRAWFORD AKA
JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE
#300 839 Wando A-127
LIEBER C/O PO Box 205
Ridgerville, SC 29472

RIE Application for FOREIGN
DNA Testing


RIGHLAND COUNTY
FILED
2017 JAN 12 PM 2:01
JEANETTE W. BRIDE
C.C.P. & CLERK

To: Richland Clerk

I sent in an application for
DNA testing on DECEMBER 28,
2016. Can you tell me if it was
RECEIVED and is there a CASE

NUMBER ASSIGNED FOR THE CASE?
THIS IS MY RECEIVED REQUEST.
THANK YOU.

Respectfully,
JAH-JAH AL MAHDI



RICHLAND COUNTY
FILED
2017 JAN 12 PM 12:01
JEANETTE W. McBRIDE
C. C. P. & G. S.

JANUARY 8, 2017

THE APPLICANT GIVES THE KERSHAW COUNTY COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS AND ALL PARTIES JUDICIAL NOTICE. THE APPLICANT SEEKING APPLICATION FOR FORENSIC DNA TESTING WAS INITIALLY FILED IN THE RICHLAND COUNTY GENERAL SEESIONS COURT ON JANUARY 12, 2017. DUE TO ACTS OF OBSTRUCTION, AFTER BEING DIVERTED TO THE JUDGE'S CHAMBERS. THE APPLICATION WAS STAMPED RECEIVED BUT NEVER ACTUALLY FILED IN THE GENERAL SEESIONS COURT. THE JUDGE AND OR RICHLAND COURT CLERK WAS REQUIRED TO TRANSFER THE PLEADING TO THE KERSHAW COUNTY COURT PURSUANT TO RULE 82 ON VENUE AND JURISDICTION BUT FAILED TO DO SO. THIS IS SEEN BY THE ATTACHED EXHIBIT LISTED AS EXHIBIT, "ORIGINAL FILING" ATTACHED.

THE APPLICANT ALSO GIVES THE KERSHAW COUNTY COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS AND ALL PARTIES JUDICIAL NOTICE THAT THERE IS A PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS FILED TO REQUIRE THAT THE KERSHAW COUNTY GENERAL SESSIONS MOVE FORWARD WITH THE NEEDED DNA TESTING WHICH IS PENDING UNDER CASE 19-8136 IN THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT. A COPY OF THAT PLEADING AND THE ORIGINAL APPLICATION FOR DNA TESTING THAT WAS FILED IN RICHLAND COUNTY WAS SERVED ON THE CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE OF KERSHAW COUNTY GIVING HIM NOTICE OF THE PENDING U.S. SUPREME COURT ACTION. THUS, THE SEEKING OF THIS DNA TESTING IS OVER (3) YEARS OLD BY PROCESS DUE TO THE CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE THAT HAS OCCURRED RELATED TO THIS CASE.

ANSWER TO QUESTION NUMBER (5):

THE IDENTITY OF THE APPLICANT AND OR PARTY IN QUESTION, SPECIFICALLY, MICHAEL CHRISTOPHER LEE, THE APPLICANT'S STEP SON, IS SIGNIFICANT BECAUSE ALL INDICATION GIVE CAUSE TO BELIEVE THAT THE TRUE CAUSE OF DEATH OF THE APPLICANT'S DAUGHTER, KORRESHA CRAWFORD, WAS NOT CAUSED BY THE APPLICANT WHERE SHE MAY HAVE DIED OF NATURAL CAUSES RESULTING FROM THE RAPE AND SEXUAL ASSAULT OF HER HALF BROTHER, MICHAEL CHRISTOPHER LEE. INDICATION POINT TO THE STATE PROSECUTOR AND COUNTY ACTORS CONCEALING THESE FACTS TO PRODUCE A FICTITIOUS HOMICIDE, CONCEALING, SUPPRESSING, THE TRUE CAUSE OF DEATH IN THE AUTOPSY BEHIND RELIGIOUS AND

RACIAL HATRED.

FOR THE RECORD. IT WAS ESTABLISHED BY TESTIMONY AT THE APPLICANT'S TRIAL THAT MICHAEL LEE ADMITTED ON THE COURT RECORD THAT HE WAS INDEED SEXUALLY INVOLVED WITH THE APPLICANT'S DAUGHTER WHICH IS HIS HALF SISTER. THUS, IT WAS THE STATE AND COUNTY ACTORS POSITION THAT IF MICHAEL LEE'S DNA SHOWED UP IN THE SAMPLES. IT WOULD NOT PROVE THE APPLICANT'S CLAIMS SINCE HE ALREADY ADMITTED THAT HE WAS INDEED SEXUALLY INVOLVED WITH HER. THE STATE AND COUNTY ACTORS ARE MISREPRESENTING THE FACTS. AS THE EVIDENCE GATHERED FROM THE APPLICANT'S HOME WHICH WAS ILLEGALLY SEIZED WITHOUT A SEARCH WARRANT WOULD INDICATE. ONCE THEIR INVOLVEMENT WAS DISCOVERED. THEY WERE LITERALLY KEPT APART FROM EACH OTHER BY "LOCK AND KEY" BEING DENIED ACCESS TO EACH OTHER FOR (3) MONTHS PRIOR TO THE APPLICANT'S ARREST. THEREFORE, IT WOULD BE SCIENTIFICALLY IMPOSSIBLE FOR MALE SEMEN, SPECIFICALLY MICHAEL'S SEMEN, TO SURVIVE IN HER BODY FOR (3) MONTHS GIVEN THE FEMALE NATURAL CLEANSING CYCLE RELATED TO HER MENSTRUATION. INSOMUCH, MALE SEMEN CANNOT LAST IN A WOMAN'S BODY FOR (3) MONTHS WITHOUT BEING NATURALLY FLUSHED OUT. THUS, IF MICHAEL LEE'S DNA SHOW UP IN THOSE SAMPLES. ALL INDICATIONS WOULD THEN POINT TO IT BEING "FETAL TISSUE", BEING HETEROGENEOUS, POSSESSING THE DNA OF BOTH MOTHER AND FATHER. MALE SEMEN MAY NOT BE ABLE TO SURVIVE IN A WOMAN'S BODY FOR (3) MONTHS, BUT "FETAL TISSUE" CAN. IF THE DNA IS TESTED TO MICHAEL CHRISTOPHER LEE AND HIS DNA SHOWS UP IN THE SAMPLES TAKEN FROM KORRESHA CRAWFORD THAT ARE IN THE HANDS OF JOHNNY FELLORS, THE CORONER, AND THE KERSHAW COUNTY CORONER'S OFFICE. IT WOULD PROVE THAT SHE WAS NOT ALLEGEDLY BEAT TO DEATH BY HER FATHER. IT WOULD GO TO PROVING AND SUPPORTING THE CLAIM THAT SHE MAY HAVE BEEN MADE PREGNANT BY HER HALF BROTHER BEFORE THEIR SEPARATION, THAT SHE MAY HAVE DEVELOPED GESTATIONAL DIABETES SINCE HER BLOOD SUGAR LEVEL WAS DOWN TO 17dm./ml., THAT SHE SPONTANEOUSLY ABORTED THE FETUS AND IT KILLED HER, ALSO DUE TO SHE NOT RECEIVING A DECONTAMINATE CLEANING, AND THEY CRIMINALLY SUPPRESSED THESE FACTS IN THE AUTOPSY SINCE THIS CASE OCCURRED AROUND THE TIME OF 9/11, IT WAS SAID THAT THE APPLICANT

WAS CHRISTIAN, MUSLIM AND JEW COMBINED BY HIS RELIGIOUS BELIEFS AND THE STATE AND COUNTY ACTORS HAD AN INTENSE HATRED OF ANYONE THAT ASSOCIATED THEMSELVES IN ANY WAY WITH THE MUSLIM FAITH.

THE TESTING WOULD PROVE HER DEATH WAS CAUSED BY THE RAPE AND SEXUAL ASSAULT OF HER HALF BROTHER AND THE COUNTY AND STATE ACTORS COAXED MICHAEL LEE IN WHAT TO SAY AT TRIAL TO AVOID PROSECUTION FOR HIS GIVING FALSE TESTIMONY AT THE APPLICANT'S TRIAL TO SAVE HIMSELF AND FRAME THE APPLICANT WITH THE AID OF THE STATE AND COUNTY ACTORS. THERE IS ALSO PENDING HABEAS CORPUS ACTION AND MANDAMUS UNDER CASE 19-8136 IN THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT THAT IS ALSO SOUGHT TO ADDRESS THESE CONCERNS WHERE THE DNA TESTING IS NEEDED FOR EVIDENCE IN THOSE PENDING CASES PURSUANT TO S.C. CODE ANN §§ 17-28-350, 17-28-70, 17-7-25 AND 23-3-635.

ANSWER TO QUESTION NUMBER (6):

THE DNA WAS NOT PREVIOUSLY TESTED BECAUSE THE STATE AND COUNTY ACTORS INTENTION WAS TO ESSENTIALLY KIDNAP THE APPLICANT DUE TO THE CLAIMS BEING ARGUED UNDER CASE 19-8136 BEFORE THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT, BY FRAMING THE APPLICANT BEHIND RACIAL AND RELIGIOUS HATRED. IF THE DNA WAS TESTED AS WAS SOUGHT BY THE APPLICANT. THE CONSPIRING COUNTY AND STATE ACTORS WOULD NOT HAVE BEEN ABLE TO SUPPRESS THE TRUE CAUSE OF DEATH IN THE AUTOPSY. PLEASE BE ADVISED THE THE CORONER, JOHNNY FELLORS, SHOWED UP AT THE APPLICANT'S BOND HEARING WITH THE AUTOPSY COMPLETED AND IN HAND, WHEN THE APPLICANT WAS BEING CHARGED WITH (2) COUNTS OF CRIMINAL UNLAWFUL NEGLIGENCE AND STATED CLEARLY THAT, "NO ALLEGED BEATING KILLED KORRESHA. AT MOST, IT "MAY" HAVE BEEN A CONTRIBUTING FACTOR". THIS WAS HIS EXACT TESTIMONY, BUT IT WAS NOT RECORDED. THE DNA BEING TESTED WOULD AID AT GETTING AT THE TRUTH AS TO WHY THE CORONER MADE THIS STATEMENT.

ANSWER TO QUESTION NUMBER (7):

THE DNA EVIDENCE WHEN TESTED PRODUCES EXCULPATORY

EVIDENCE BECAUSE IT WILL PROVE THAT THE APPLICANT'S CHILD WAS NOT MURDERED BY THE APPLICANT, BUT DIED OF NATURAL CAUSE FROM THE RAPE AND SEXUAL ASSAULT OF HER SIBLING, AND THE STATE AND COUNTY ACTORS SUPPRESSED THIS MATERIAL EVIDENCE OF ACTUAL INNOCENCE, DESPITE NUMEROUS REQUEST FOR DISCOVERY WHICH INCLUDED THE SEEKING OF THE INVESTIGATIVE FILE HELD AT SLED, FILE NUMBER 5501014 WHICH WOULD GIVE FURTHER INDICATION THAT THE APPLICANT IS RIGHT IN HIS ASSERTIONS DUE TO THAT FILE ALSO CONTAINING THE CORONER'S NOTES WHICH THEY ALSO SUPPRESSED. IT MUST BE DEEMED NEWLY DISCOVERED EVIDENCE SINCE IT WAS CONTINUALLY SOUGHT BY THE APPLICANT UNTIL THIS PRESENT DATE AND THE STATE AND COUNTY ACTORS CRIMINALLY SUPPRESSED IT CONSPIRING UNDER COLOR OF STATE LAW IN VIOLATION OF THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT HOLDINGS UNDER WEARRY V. CAIN, 136 S.Ct. 1002, 194 L.Ed.2d. 78 (U.S.2016) WHICH CALL FOR THE APPLICANT'S CONVICTION TO BE VACATED ALSO DUE TO THE OTHER INJUSTICES THAT OCCURRED IN THIS CASE. THERE IS NO TIME LIMIT ON SEEKING THE APPLICATION FOR DNA TESTING SINCE WE ARE DEALING WITH THE CHARGE OF MURDER. THE U.S. SUPREME COURT RULED ON THE WEARRY CASE IN 2016. THE APPLICANT WAS DILIGENT IN GETTING THE MATTERS TIMELY BEFORE THE COURT WHERE THE RICHLAND COURT AND JUDGES WERE REQUIRED TO TRANSFER THAT INITIAL FILING TO THE KERSHAW COUNTY GENERAL SESSIONS COURT PURSUANT TO RULE 82. THUS, THE APPLICANT IS TIMELY.

SEE ATTACHED SHEETS

"

"

"

7. Explain why if the DNA testing produces exculpatory results, the testing will constitute new evidence that will probably change the result of the applicant's conviction or adjudication if a new trial is granted and is not merely cumulative or impeaching:

SEE ATTACHED SHEETS

"

"

"


8. I assert that I am actually innocent of the listed offense, that this offense is listed in S.C. Code Ann. § 17-28-30 and that I am currently incarcerated for the listed offense. I attest that this application is made to demonstrate innocence and not solely to delay the execution of a sentence or the administration of justice.

9. If DNA testing is conducted and results are determined to be inculpatory by the Court, I understand that:

- (a) The Court may hold me in contempt of court if it determines that my assertion of actual innocence was intentionally false;
- (b) The Court may assess the cost of any DNA testing against me;
- (c) The South Carolina Department of Corrections may use this determination to deny good conduct credit; and,
- (d) The Department of Probation, Parole, and Pardon Services can use this determination to deny parole.

LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD

Print Applicant Name



Signature of Applicant

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)

County of KERSHAW)

VERIFICATION)

I LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD, being duly sworn upon my oath, depose and say that I have subscribed to the foregoing application; that I know the contents thereof; and that the matters and allegations set forth are true.

Lawrence L. Crawford

Signature of Applicant

SWORN to and subscribed before me this 4
day of May, 2020

Diana Eastredge (L.S.)
Notary Public

My Commission Expires: 3/3/2024

FILED FOR RECORD
2021 APR 15 PM 2:24
JANET C. HASTY
CLERK OF COURT
KERSHAW COUNTY, S.C.

APPLICATION TO PROCEED WITHOUT PAYMENT
OF COSTS AND AFFIDAVIT
IN SUPPORT THEREOF

I, LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD, hereby apply for leave to proceed in this action without prepayment of fees or costs or security therefor. In support of my application I declare under penalty of perjury that the following facts are true:

- (1) I am the applicant in this action and I believe I am entitled to redress.
- (2) Because of my poverty I am unable to pay the costs of said proceeding or give security thereof.



Signature of Applicant

SWORN to and subscribed before me this 4
day of May, 2020.


Notary Public (L.S.)

My Commission Expires: 3/3/2021

FILED FOR RECORD
2021 APR 15 PM 2:23
JANET C. HASTY
CLERK OF COURT
KERSHAW COUNTY, S.C.


STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)

County of)

VERIFICATION)

I PHYLLIS OVERSTREET-U-DEEN

, being duly sworn upon my oath, depose and say that I have subscribed to the foregoing application; that I know the contents thereof; and that the matters and allegations set forth are true.



Signature of Applicant

SWORN to and subscribed before me this 9
day of April, 2021


Notary Public (L.S.)

My Commission Expires: 03/28/2023



JANET C. HASTY
CLERK OF COURT
ERSHAW COUNTY, S.C.

APR 15 PM 2:23


FILED FOR RECORD

APPLICATION TO PROCEED WITHOUT PAYMENT
OF COSTS AND AFFIDAVIT
IN SUPPORT THEREOF

PHYLLIS OVERSTREET-U-DEEN


I, _____, hereby apply for leave to proceed in this action without prepayment of fees or costs or security therefor. In support of my application I declare under penalty of perjury that the following facts are true:

- (1) I am the applicant in this action and I believe I am entitled to redress.
- (2) Because of my poverty I am unable to pay the costs of said proceeding or give security thereof.



Signature of Applicant

SWORN to and subscribed before me this 9
day of April, 2021



(L.S.)

Notary Public

My Commission Expires: 03/28/2023



JANET C HASTY
CLERK OF COURT
KERSHAW COUNTY, S.C.

FILED FOR RECORD
APR 15 PM 2:23