

IN THE SUPREME COURT

#2019 CP 23 5712

Douglas Hill

Petitioner

STATE

Respondent

NOTICE OF INTENT
TO APPEAL Rule 243 w/
HABEAS CORPUS ATTACHED

THE APPLICANT PUR. TO BUTLER V. STATE
(1990) WOULD NOTICE HIS INTENT TO
APPEAL THE ORDER OF DISMISSAL
DATED 21 JULY 2020 IN THE ABOVE
CASE, PUR. TO RULE 243 SCACR THE
APPELLANT WOULD SHOW HIS SENTENCE OF
LIFE PUR. 17-25-45 (b) SC CODE (1996)
IS ILLEGAL SENTENCE IN VIOLATION
OF EX POST FACTO CLAUSE OR

U.S. CONSTITUTION, AS 17-27-45 (b) DID NOT GO INTO EFFECT UNTIL JANUARY 1996 BUT APPELLANTS OCCURED IN JUNE 1995. THEREFORE AS A MATTER OF LAW 17-25-45 (b) DID NOT APPLY TO APPELLANT AS IT WAS NOT IN EFFECT AT TIME APPELLANT CRIME WAS COMMITTED.

APPELLANT ASSENTS PUR FERGUSON V. STATE (SC 2000) HE IS SO MENTALLY ILL AND INCAPACITATED HE COULD NOT ASSIST HIS FIRST PCL COUNSEL TO HAVE THIS "DEAD BATE WINNING ISSUE" RAISED AND RULED UPON "BUT FOR" HIS MENTAL ILLNESS HE WOULD ASSENTED ET POST FACTO SENTENCE VIOLATIONS

PUR 17-27-20 A) 4) HIS SENTENCE IS ILLEGAL AND MUST BE CORRECTED

SL Douglas Hill

7/27/20