

EXHIBIT C

Richard A Harpootlian
PLAINTIFF(S)

South Carolina Department Of Commerce et al
DEFENDANT(S)

DISPOSITION TYPE (CHECK ONE)

- JURY VERDICT.** This action came before the court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and a verdict rendered.
- DECISION BY THE COURT.** This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision rendered.
- ACTION DISMISSED (CHECK REASON):** Rule 12(b), SCRPC; Rule 41(a), SCRPC (Vol. Nonsuit); Rule 43(k), SCRPC (Settled);
 Other
- ACTION STRICKEN (CHECK REASON):** Rule 40(j), SCRPC; Bankruptcy;
 Binding arbitration, subject to right to restore to confirm, vacate or modify arbitration award;
 Other
- STAYED DUE TO BANKRUPTCY**
- DISPOSITION OF APPEAL TO THE CIRCUIT COURT (CHECK APPLICABLE BOX):**
 Affirmed; Reversed; Remanded;
 Other

RECEIVED
Jul 23 2021
SC Court of Appeals

NOTE: ATTORNEYS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR NOTIFYING LOWER COURT, TRIBUNAL, OR ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCY OF THE CIRCUIT COURT RULING IN THIS APPEAL.

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED: See attached order (formal order to follow) Statement of Judgment by the Court:

This matter is before the Court on Defendants' October 19, 2020 motion to alter or amend the October 9, 2020 Order granting in part and denying in part the parties' cross motions for summary judgment. The Court addresses one of the issues raised in Defendants' motion in a separate order, filed with consent of the parties, in which the Court amends its Summary Judgment Order and vacates section III.C of the Order. This order addresses the remaining issues raised in Defendants' motion for reconsideration of the Order, specifically, the proper application of the "confidential proprietary information" exemption codified at South Carolina Code Section 30-4-40(a)(5)(c). The Court hereby DENIES the Defendants' motion for reconsideration of the Court's Order with respect to the "confidential proprietary information" exemption addressed in section III.B of the Order.

ORDER INFORMATION

This order ends does not end the case. See Page 2 for additional information.

For Clerk of Court Office Use Only

This judgment was electronically entered by the Clerk of Court as reflected on the Electronic Time Stamp, and a copy mailed first class to any party not proceeding in the Electronic Filing System on 06/24/2021 .

NAMES OF TRADITIONAL FILERS SERVED BY MAIL

Court Reporter:

E-Filing Note: The date of Entry of Judgment is the same date as reflected on the Electronic File Stamp and the clerk's entering of the date of judgment above is not required in those counties. The clerk will mail a copy of the judgment to parties who are not E-Filers or who are appearing pro se. See Rule 77(d), SCRCP.



Richland Common Pleas

Case Caption: Richard A Harpootlian vs South Carolina Department Of Commerce ,
defendant, et al

Case Number: 2019CP4005675

Type: Order/Electronic Form 4

So Ordered

s/ R.E. Hood #2164