

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
COUNTY OF SPARTANBURG
IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL CASE

CASE NO. 2019 CP-42-0275

RECEIVED
AUG 12 2021
SC Court of Appeals

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2021 JUL 27 10:17 AM - SPARTANBURG - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2019CP4202747

Michael E. Williams,

Raymond Arnold a/k/a Raymond Arnold, Jr.,

PLAINTIFF(S)

DEFENDANT(S)

Submitted by: The Court

Attorney for : Plaintiff Defendant
or
 Self-Represented Litigant

DISPOSITION TYPE (CHECK ONE)

- JURY VERDICT.** This action came before the court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and a verdict rendered.
- DECISION BY THE COURT.** This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision rendered. See Page 2 for additional information.
- ACTION DISMISSED (CHECK REASON):** Rule 12(b), SCRPC; Rule 41(a), SCRPC (Vol. Nonsuit); Rule 43(k), SCRPC (Settled); Other
- ACTION STRICKEN (CHECK REASON):** Rule 40(j), SCRPC; Bankruptcy; Binding arbitration, subject to right to restore to confirm, vacate or modify arbitration award; Other
- DISPOSITION OF APPEAL TO THE CIRCUIT COURT (CHECK APPLICABLE BOX):**
 Affirmed; Reversed; Remanded; Other

NOTE: ATTORNEYS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR NOTIFYING LOWER COURT, TRIBUNAL, OR ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCY OF THE CIRCUIT COURT RULING IN THIS APPEAL.

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED: See attached order (formal order to follow) Statement of Judgment by the Court: **This matter came before the Court on Defendant's Motion to Alter or Amend Judgment Pursuant to Rule 59(e). A WebEx hearing was held on Defendant's Motion on July 27, 2021. The Parties were properly notified of the hearing. Jeffrey T. Spell was present on behalf of the Defendant Raymond Arnold, and Joseph Maddox was present on behalf of the Plaintiff. Defendant's Motion is denied. This Court properly precluded and/or estopped Defendant from arguing the tax sale in which Plaintiff purchased Defendant's property was improper, as Defendant engaged in prior litigation through completion against Plaintiff on that very issue. Though Plaintiff Michael E. Williams did not have an opportunity to litigate his quiet title claim in that prior case, as he was not served, Defendant Raymond Arnold had a full and fair opportunity to pursue this issue against Michael E. Williams and did not. In fact, Defendant Raymond Arnold specifically agreed that all claims against all defendants, including Michael E. Williams, in case 2014-CP-42-04750 were dismissed with prejudice. Mr. Arnold is estopped from litigating this issue further.**

ORDER INFORMATION

This order ends does not end the case.

Additional Information for the Clerk :

INFORMATION FOR THE JUDGMENT INDEX

Complete this section below when the judgment affects title to real or personal property or if any amount should be enrolled. If there is no judgment information, indicate "N/A" in one of the boxes below.

Judgment in Favor of (List name(s) below)	Judgment Against (List name(s) below)	Judgment Amount To be Enrolled (List amount(s) below)
		\$
		\$
		\$

If applicable, describe the property, including tax map information and address, referenced in the order:



Spartanburg Common Pleas

Case Caption: Michael E. Williams VS Raymond Arnold

Case Number: 2019CP4202747

Type: Master/Order/Form 4

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ Shannon M. Phillips - 3087