



# The South Carolina Court of Appeals

JENNY ABBOTT KITCHINGS  
CLERK

V. CLAIRE ALLEN  
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

POST OFFICE BOX 11629  
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29211  
1220 SENATE STREET  
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29201  
TELEPHONE: (803) 734-1890  
FAX: (803) 734-1839  
[www.sccourts.org](http://www.sccourts.org)

August 18, 2021

Mr. Matthew C. Buchanan, Esquire  
PO Box 207  
Columbia SC 29202

Ms. Joanna Katherine Delany, Esquire  
PO Box 11433  
Appellate Defense  
Columbia SC 29211

Re: The State v. Cedric Hopkins  
Appellate Case No. 2018-002060

Dear Counsel:

Enclosed is the decision of the Court. The remittitur will be sent as provided by Rule 221(b) of the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules.

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Catherine J. Jamieson, deputy". The signature is written in a cursive style.

CLERK

cc: The Honorable L. Casey Manning

**THIS OPINION HAS NO PRECEDENTIAL VALUE. IT SHOULD NOT BE  
CITED OR RELIED ON AS PRECEDENT IN ANY PROCEEDING  
EXCEPT AS PROVIDED BY RULE 268(d)(2), SCACR.**

**THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
In The Court of Appeals**

The State, Respondent,

v.

Cedric Hopkins, Appellant.

Appellate Case No. 2018-002060

---

Appeal From Richland County  
L. Casey Manning, Circuit Court Judge

---

Unpublished Opinion No. 2021-UP-301  
Heard June 16, 2021 – Filed August 18, 2021

---

**AFFIRMED**

---

Appellate Defender Joanna Katherine Delany, of  
Columbia, for Appellant.

Matthew C. Buchanan, of the South Carolina Department  
of Probation, Parole and Pardon Services, of Columbia,  
for Respondent.

---

**PER CURIAM:** Cedric Hopkins appeals the circuit court's order revoking his probation, arguing the circuit court erred because the Department of Probation, Parole and Pardon Services issued a probation violation citation after his term of probation had expired. We affirm.

We find Hopkins failed to raise this issue to the circuit court during the probation revocation hearing; rather, he raised it for the first time in his motion to reconsider. Thus, this issue was not preserved for appellate review. *See State v. Hamilton*, 333 S.C. 642, 648, 511 S.E.2d 94, 96-97 (Ct. App. 1999) (stating that to be preserved for review, an issue must be raised during the probation revocation hearing and may not be raised for the first time in a motion for reconsideration).

**AFFIRMED.**

**LOCKEMY, C.J., and HUFF and HEWITT, JJ., concur.**