

IN THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

RECEIVED

SEP 17 2021

SC Court of Appeals

CASE DOCKET NO. 2021-000354

APPEAL FROM THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW COURT
HONORABLE JUDGE ROBINSON ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

CASE NO. 21CO033 GRIEVANCE NO. LCI. 595-20

LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD AKA JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE,

APPELLANT

Vs.

THE S.C. DEPT. OF CORRECTIONS,

RESPONDENT(S)

AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; MOTION FOR
A REHEARING ON THE MOTION TO FILE IN FORMA PAUPERIS;
MOTION TO CHALLENGE THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS JURISDICTION
TO ISSUE THE ORDER DATED SEPTEMBER 2, 2021; MOTION TO
VACATE THE JUDGMENT FOR FRAUD UPON THE COURT; MOTION FOR
AN EXTENSION OF TIME TO RESET ONCE THE COURT RULES ON
THIS MOTION; MOTION TO FILE THIS MOTION IN FORMA PAUPERIS

AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR

IN RE: CASE 2021-000354 ET. AL.,

TO: THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS ET. AL.,

HERE THE COURT AND PARTIES WILL FIND:

(1) A COPY OF THE BRIEF OF THE APPELLANT.

(2) A COPY OF THE DESIGNATION OF MATTER WITH ITS ATTACHMENTS. THE COURT AND PARTIES ALREADY HAVE COPIES OF THESE DOCUMENTS. THESE DOCUMENTS ARE ATTACHED TO THE FACE OF THIS MOTION FOR ALL PURPOSES INCLUDING THE SEEKING TO VACATE THE COURT OF APPEALS ORDER FILED SEPTEMBER 2, 2021.

(3) A COPY OF EXHIBIT, "FRAUD/RETALIATION # 1". THIS IS A COPY OF THE LETTER THE COURT OF APPEALS SENT THE APPELLANT DATED AUGUST 9, 2021.

(4) A COPY OF EXHIBIT, "FRAUD/RETALIATION # 2". THIS IS A COPY OF THE MOTION TO RECALL THE REMITTITUR FILED IN CASE 2020-0001667.

AS A MEMBER OF THE SOLE CORPORATION AND FOREIGN SOVEREIGN FIDUCIARY HEIR, KING, KHALIFAH, IMAM, HIGH PRIEST AND PROPHET OF THE ONE TRUE GOD. I PLACE THE TORAH, THE BIBLE, THE QURAN AND SUNNAH OF THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD UP AS EVIDENCE, AS WILLS AND TESTAMENTS OF THE ONE TRUE GOD AND THE SOLE CORPORATION, AS LEGAL BINDING "CONTRACTS", "COVENANTS" PROTECTED UNDER ARTICLE 1 § 10 OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION, UNDER ARTICLE IV § 2, UNDER THE FREE EXERCISE CLAUSE OF THE 1st. AMENDMENT AS WELL AS STATE AND

FEDERAL PROBATE LAW AND I HEREBY INVOKE THE PROVISIONS OF THE FOREIGN SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY ACT OF 28 U.S.C. § 1602-1612 ET. SEQ. FOR THE FILING OF THIS MOTION AND CASE. THE APPELLANT IS FOREIGN SOVEREIGN KING, KHALIFAH BY HIS ORIGINAL STATUS AS SUCH (EMPHASIS ADDED) PURSUANT TO THE (3) HOLY BOOKS AND SUNNAH OF THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD (PBUH). THE APPELLANT MOTIONS FOR A REHEARING ON THE MOTION TO FILE IN FORMA PAUPERIS AND MOTION TO VACATE THE ORDER DENYING THE RIGHT TO FILE IN FORMA PAUPERIS DUE TO FRAUD UPON THE COURT, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE, PARADIS v. CHARLESTON COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT, --S.E.2d.--, 2021 WL 3668152 (S.C.App.2021); BENTON v. BURNS, 2017 WL 491251 (D.C.Md.2017); PEGG v. HEARNBERGER, 835 F3d. 112 (4th.Cir.2017); U.S. v. HARE, 820 F3d. 93 (4th.Cir.2016); PAUL ADAMS v. CALIFORNIA INSTITUTION, 2016 WL 6464444.

THESE MATTERS ARE PRESENTLY BEFORE THE U.S. SUPREME COURT, THE 3rd. CIRCUIT UNDER CASE 21-1330 AND OTHER VARIOUS FEDERAL COURTS. UNDER STATE AND FEDERAL PROBATE LAW, THE HEIR IS THE SAME PERSON AS HIS ANCESTOR. AS A MEMBER OF THE SOLE CORPORATION DEFAULTED ON BY THE UNITED STATES AND (193) MEMBER STATES OF THE UNITED NATIONS BINDING UPON THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ALSO BY THE SUPREMACY CLAUSE. IT IS A FUNDAMENTAL PRINCIPLE OF LAW THAT A FORMALLY RECOGNIZED SOVEREIGN MAY SUE IN UNITED STATES COURTS AND MAY BENEFIT FROM SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY WHEN THEY SUE, WHERE THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA AND THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT DEFAULTED ON THE APPELLANT BEING THIS PERSON WRITTEN WITHIN THE (3) HOLY BOOKS AND SUNNAH OF THE PROPHET MUHAMMAD (PBUH) VIA THE PROCEDURAL PROCESSING RULE RELIED UPON UNDER CASE 2020-001667, FURTHER SUPPORTED BY JUDICIAL HOLDINGS UNDER UNITED STATES v. WHEELER, 886 F3d. 415 (4th.Cir.2018) AND FORTBEND COUNTY, TEXAS v. DAVIS, 139 S.Ct. 1843(U.S.2019) IN THAT THE U.S. SUPREME COURT ADJUDICATED ONCE THE PROCEDURAL PROCESSING RULE IN QUESTION UNDER CASE 2020-001667 WAS TIMELY ASSERTED IT BECOMES MANDATORY INCLUDING ALL RIGHTS RELIED UPON RELATED THERETO, MADE APPLICABLE TO THE STATES VIA THE 5th. AND 14th. AMENDMENT DUE PROCESS CLAUSE AND THE 14th. AMENDMENT EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS CLAUSE;

ZIVOTOFSKY EX REL ZIVOTOFSKY v. KERRY, 576 U.S. 1, 135 S.Ct. 2076, 192 L.Ed.2d. 83 (U.S.2015); THACKER v. TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY, 139 S.Ct. 1435, 203 L.Ed.2d. 668 (U.S.2019).

INSOMUCH, WHEN THE COURT LOOKS AT THE PROCEEDING CONDUCTED UNDER CASE 2020-0001667. THE COURT OF APPEALS NEVER ISSUED AN ORDER GRANTING OR DENYING THE RIGHT TO FILE IN FORMA PAUPERIS. THE COURT JUST RULED ON THE CASE GIVING CLEAR INDICATION BY THEIR ACTIONS THAT THE RIGHT TO FILE IN FORMA PAUPERIS WAS GRANTED.

WHEN YOU LOOK AT THE LETTER ISSUED FROM THE COURT OF APPEALS RELATED TO CASE 2021-000354 DATED AUGUST 9, 2021. THE CLERK INFORMED THE APPELLANT AND DEFENDANT THAT THE DEFENDANT'S INITIAL BRIEF WAS DUE WITHIN (30) DAYS OF THE LETTER GIVING CLEAR INDICATION THE MOTION WAS GRANTED AS WAS IDENTICALLY DONE UNDER CASE 2020-0001667 OR THE CLERK WOULD HAVE NEVER INSTRUCTED THE DEFENDANTS THAT THEIR BRIEF WAS DUE IN (30) DAYS.

SO WHAT HAS HAPPENED TO CHANGE THE COURT OF APPEALS MIND IN ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT? LOOK AT THE DATE ON THE MOTION TO RECALL THE REMITTITUR IN CASE 2020-0001667. IT IS DATED AUGUST 30, 2021 PRIOR TO THE RULING UNDER CASE 2021-000354. NOW LOOK AT THE DATE ON THE FRAUD ORDER IN CASE 2021-000354. IT IS DATED SEPTEMBER 2, 2021 AFTER THE COURT OF APPEALS WAS GIVEN NOTICE THAT THE APPELLANT SOUGHT TO RECALL THE REMITTITUR IN CASE 2020-0001667. IT IS THE APPELLANT'S CONTENTION THAT ONCE THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS SAW THAT THEY COULD NOT TRAP THE APPELLANT IN A PROCEDURAL QUAGMIRE IN CASE 2020-0001667. THE COURT GOT ANGRY AND IN ACTS OF RETALIATION VIOLATING 42 U.S.C. § 12203(a)(b) OF ADA, IN ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE. THE COURT OF APPEALS AFTER GIVING CLEAR INDICATION UNDER THIS CASE THAT THE MOTION TO FILE IN FORMA PAUPERIS WAS GRANTED BY THE LETTER ISSUED IN CASE 2021-000354 DATED AUGUST 9, 2021 REQUIRING THE DEFENDANT TO FILE BRIEF, AS SIMILARLY DONE UNDER CASE 2020-0001667. THE COURT OF APPEALS THEN

COMES BACK IN THAT FRAUD UPON THE COURT AND RETALIATION, AND ISSUES THIS NOW FILED ORDER DENYING THE MOTION TO FILE IN FORMA PAUPERIS. THE FOREIGN SOVEREIGN APPELLANT OBJECTS, MOTIONS TO VACATE THE ORDER FOR FRAUD UPON THE COURT AND UNCONSTITUTIONAL ACTION AND MOTIONS FOR A REHEARING ON THE MOTION TO FILE IN FORMA PAUPERIS FOR THIS MOTION AND HIS PRIOR PLEADINGS.

THE COURT OF APPEALS CITED MARTIN v. STATE, 321 S.C. 533, 535, 471 S.E.2d. 134, 134-35(1995) WHERE THAT COURT ADJUDICATED, "IN THE ABSENCE OF A STATUTORY PROVISION ALLOWING THE GENERAL WAIVER OF FILING FEES, WE CONCLUDE MOTIONS TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS MAY ONLY BE GRANTED WHERE SPECIFICALLY AUTHORIZED BY STATUTE OR REQUIRED BY THE CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS (EMPHASIS ADDED)."

ONCE THE APPELLANT INVOKED THE PROVISIONS OF THE 1st. AMENDMENT RIGHT TO ACCESS THE COURTS; HIS 1st. AMENDMENT RIGHTS UNDER THE FREE EXERCISE CLAUSE RELATED TO HIS RELIGIOUS BELIEFS; THE 6th. AMENDMENT RIGHT TO A FAIR AND IMPARTIAL ADMINISTRATIVE PROCESS; THE 14th. AMENDMENT DUE PROCESS CLAUSE; THE EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS CLAUSE AND THE FEDERAL PROVISIONS ARGUED, TREATIES AND LAWS OF THE UNITED STATES, WHICH INCLUDE THE PROVISIONS UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 1602-1612 ET. SEQ.? IT IS PERSPICUOUS THAT THIS CASE DOES MEET THE CRITERION OF THE WAIVERING OF FILING FEES BY THAT WHICH IS REQUIRED BY CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS AND WHERE 42 U.S.C. § 12203(a)(b) AND 28 U.S.C. § 1602-1612 ARE ADDED WHERE TIME LIMITS ARE EXTENDED FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN WHICH IS DEMONSTRATED BY YOUR FRAUD, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE. IT PRODUCES STATUTORY PROVISIONS WHERE THIS COURT HAS NOW ALSO VIOLATED THE SEPARATION OF POWERS CLAUSE BY ESSENTIALLY OVERRULING LEGISLATIVE INTENT VIA STATUTORY PROVISIONS ARGUED RENDERING THE ORDER UNCONSTITUTIONAL AND VOID WHICH ALSO VOIDS THE COURT OF APPEALS JURISDICTION UNDER THE CONSTITUTIONAL PRONG TO SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION FOR THIS UNCONSTITUTIONAL ACTION. THE APPELLANT

MOTIONS FOR A REHEARING AND MOTION TO VACATE THE ORDER FOR UNCONSTITUTIONAL ACTION, VIOLATION OF DUE PROCESS AND FRAUD UPON THE COURT, MONTGOMERY v. LOUISIANA, 136 S.Ct. 718, 193 L.Ed.2d. 599, 84 U.S.L.W. 4063(U.S.2016); STEEL CO. v. CITIZENS FOR A BETTER ENVIRONMENT, 523 U.S. 83, 118 S.Ct. 1003(U.S.1998); TAMM v. CINCINNATI INSURANCE COMPANY, 2020 WL 60932 (S.D.N.Y.2020); SANDERS v. SAVANNAH HIGHWAY AUTOMOTIVE COMPANY, --S.E.2d.--, 2020 WL 6154305 (S.C.App.2020); WELLS FARGO BANK N.A. v. H.M.H. ROMAN TWO N.C., LLC., 859 F3d. 295 (4th.Cir.2017); 24 SENATORIAL DIST. REPUBLICAN COMMITTEE v. ALCORN, 820 F3d. 624(4th.Cir.2016); CRESWICK v. UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH CAROLINA, --S.E.2d.--, 2021 WL 3629915 (S.C.App.2021); EL-BAWAB v. JACKSON STATE UNIVERSITY, 2018 WL 543040 (S.D.Miss.2018); VERSER v. SMITH, 2017 WL 528381 (N.D.ILL.2017); NATIONAL FOUNDATION OF INDEPENDENT BUSINESS v. SEBELIUS, 567 U.S. 519, 132 S.Ct. 2566, 183 L.Ed.2d. 450 (U.S.2012); I.N.S. v. CHADHA, 462 U.S. 919, 103 S.Ct. 2764, 77 L.Ed.2d. 317 (U.S.1983); STATE EX REL BIAFORE v. TOMBLIN, 236 W.Va. 528, 782 S.E.2d. 223 (2016).

INSOMUCH, I DON'T FEAR YOU PEOPLE. I AM THE SERVANT OF THE ONE TRUE GOD OF THE HEAVENS AND EARTH, A MEMBER OF THE SOLE CORPORATION, THE FORERUNNER TO HIS CHRIST. I AM THE BRANCH OF JEWISH PROPHECY, THE ELIJAH OF CHRISTIAN PROPHECY, THE MAHDI OF ISLAMIC PROPHECY, THE DIRECT DESCENDANT OF THE EARTH'S GREATEST PROPHETS AND KINGS, THE FIDUCIARY FOREIGN SOVEREIGN HEIR, KING, KHALIFAH OF THE (4) GLOBAL THRONES OF AFRICA, ITS DIASPORA, OF JUDAISM, OF ISLAM AND CHRISTIANITY, THE RE-ESTABLISHED GLOBAL THEOCRATIC STATE FORETOLD BY GOD'S HOLY PROPHETS TO COME. I AM LAWGIVER OF THE ONE TRUE GOD. I AM THE BLACK MESSIAH, MARTIN LUTHER KING JR'S SUCCESSOR. I AM HIGH PRIEST OF THE LEVITICAL PRIESTHOOD OF THE LINE OF AARON WHO IS FORETOLD TO COME TO REBUILD THE TEMPLE OF GOD IN JERUSALEM AND YOU RAT STINKING BASTARDS IN THIS STATE FRAMED ME FOR THE MURDER OF MY OWN CHILD!!! YOU HAVE BLOODIED YOUR JUDICIAL ROBES IN THIS STATE FOR FAR TOO LONG. YOUR ACTIONS SPIT IN THE FACE OF "JUSTICE AND


FAIRNESS". YOU ARE WEIGHED IN THE BALANCE AND ARE FOUND WANTING BEFORE THE SIGHT OF THE ONE TRUE GOD AND HIS HOLY ANGELS. AS THE LAST PROPHET OF GOD TO COME INTO THE WORLD BEFORE CHRIST RETURN, I AM HERE TO CALL YOUR EVILS, WICKEDNESS AND ACTIONS, AND THE DEEDS AND ACTIONS OF THE EVILDOERS OF THIS WORLD INTO ACCOUNT AND FILL THE EARTH WITH JUSTICE AND FAIRNESS THE SAME WAY IT IS PRESENTLY FILLED WITH TYRANNY AND OPPRESSION. THE APPELLANT HAD HIS PSYCH. EVAL, 9 DIFFERENT FORENSIC PSYCHOLOGIST, AND NOT ONE FOUND ME DELUSIONAL OR PSYCHOTIC. THE APPELLANT WAS DEEMED MENTALLY COMPETENT AND EVEN REPRESENTED HIMSELF AT TRIAL. THESE FACTS ARE COMPOUNDED BY THE CLAIM THAT THE (193) MEMBER STATES WHICH INCLUDE THE UNITED STATES DEFAULTED ON THESE JURISDICTIONAL CLAIMS THAT CANNOT BE WAIVED OR FORFEITED UNDER CASE 2020-0001667 AND ITS RELATED CASES AND CAN BE RAISED AT ANY TIME INCLUDING AFTER THE ORDER WAS ISSUED. THUS, MY HEREDITARY RIGHTS AND RELIGIOUS BELIEF ARE PROTECTED BY THE FOREIGN SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY ACT, BY THE 1st. AMENDMENT, BY CONTRACT AND OR COVENANT AS WELL AS STATE AND FEDERAL PROBATE LAW AND VARIOUS STATUTORY PROVISIONS. FRAUD VITIATES EVERYTHING THAT IT ENTERS AND AN ORDER PROCURED BY FRAUD UPON THE COURT MAY BE COLLATERALLY ATTACKED FOR THAT FRAUD UPON THE COURT. THIS INCLUDES ALL ACTS, ORDERS, JUDGMENTS AND DECREES OF ALL COURTS ON RECORD, MYLES v. DOMINO'S PIZZA, LLC., 2017 WL 238436 (D.C.Md.2017); FIRST TECHNOLOGY CAPITAL, INC. v. BANCTEC, INC., 2016 WL 7444943 (D.C.Ky.2016); MARTIN v. TARGET CORP. OF MINNESOTA, F.Supp.2d., 2013 WL 1187034 (D.N.J.2013); McCLAIN v. 1st. SECURITY BANK OF WASHINGTON, 2016 WL 8504775 (W.D.Wash.2016).

JUDGMENTS MAY BE ATTACKED FOR FRAUD UPON THE COURT AT ANY TIME. THE POWER TO VACATE JUDGMENTS FOR FRAUD UPON THE COURT IS FREE OF PROCEDURAL LIMITATIONS WHICH INCLUDE FRAUD BY OFFICERS OF THE COURT WHERE SUCH ACTS EFFECT THE INTEGRITY OF THE NORMAL JUDICIAL PROCESS. COURTS HAVE INHERENT EQUITY POWER TO SET ASIDE JUDGMENTS WHENEVER THEIR ENFORCEMENT WOULD BE MANIFESTLY UNCONSCIONABLE BECAUSE OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT. THEREFORE, THE APPELLANT MOTIONS TO CHALLENGE THE COURT'S JURISDICTION, MOTION

FOR A REHEARING, MOTION TO VACATE THE ORDER, MOTION TO FILE THIS DOCUMENT AND ALL WITHIN THIS CASE IN FORMA PAUPERIS AND MOTION FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME TO RESET TO PAY ANY ILLEGALLY ALLEGED FILING FEE ONCE THE COURT RULES ON THIS DOCUMENT, IN RE: GENESYS DATA TECHNOLOGIES, INC., 204 F3d. 124 (4th.Cir.2000); UNITED STATES v. CONRAD, 675 Fed. Appx' 263, 265 CA4 (N.C.2017); FOX EX REL FOX v. ELK RUN COAL CO. INC., 739 F3d. 131, 87 Fed. R. SERV.3d. 534 (4th.Cir.2014); M.D.C. INNOVATIONS, LLC. v. NORTHERN,--Fed. Appx'--, 2018 WL 1129607 (4th.Cir.2018); HAMER v. NEIGHBORHOOD HOUSING SERVICES OF CHICAGO, 138 S.Ct. 13, 199 L.Ed.2d. 249(U.S.2017); PHILLIPS v. BROCK & SCOTT PLLC, 2017 WL 3226866 (D.C.Md.2017).

RESPECTFULLY,

JONAH THE TISHBITE

A large, stylized handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Jonah The Tishbite', is written over the typed name. The signature is highly cursive and includes a large circular flourish.

SEPTEMBER 13, 2021

1-2-8

EXHIBIT, "FRAUD/RETALIATION # 1"



The South Carolina Court of Appeals

JENNY ABBOTT KITCHINGS
CLERK

V. CLAIRE ALLEN
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

POST OFFICE BOX 11629
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29211
1220 SENATE STREET
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29201
TELEPHONE: (803) 734-1890
FAX: (803) 734-1839
www.sccourts.org

August 09, 2021

Ms. Imani Diane Byas, Esquire
4444 Broad River Rd.
Columbia SC 29221

Re: Lawrence Crawford, #300839 v. SCDC
Appellate Case No. 2021-000354

Dear Counsel:

The Court has received Appellant's notice of appeal corrections. The Respondent's initial brief and designation of matter are due within thirty (30) days from the date of this letter.

Very truly yours,

Catherine J. Jamison, deputy
CLERK

cc: Lawrence L. Crawford, 300839

RECEIVED
SEP 17 2021
SC Court of Appeals

File in ~~CASE~~

2021-000354

2

EXHIBIT, "FRAUD/RETALIATION # 2"

IN THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

APPELLATE CASE NO. 2020-0001667

APPEAL FROM RICHLAND COUNTY
THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

CASE NO. 2006-CP-400-3567 ET. AL.,

LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD AKA JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE

PLAINTIFF/APPELLANT

Vs.

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ET. AL.,

DEFENDANTS

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE


I, LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD AKA JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE, DO HEREBY CERTIFY, THAT I HAVE MAILED AND OR SERVED A COPY OF AN AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTION; MOTION TO CHALLENGE THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS JURISDICTION TO SEND THE

REMITTITUR; MOTION TO RECALL THE REMITTITUR AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR, ON THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS P.O. BOX 11629, THE MCKAY LAW FIRM 1303 BLANDING STREET COLUMBIA S.C. 29201, THE RICHLAND COMMON PLEAS COURT 1701 MAIN STREET COLUMBIA, S.C. 29201 AND ALL INVOLVED PARTIES BY U.S. MAIL POSTAGE PREPAID ON AUGUST 30, 2021.

RESPECTFULLY,

JONAH THE TISHBITE

AUGUST 30, 2021

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Jonah The Tishbite", with a large circular flourish at the end.

IN THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

APPELLATE CASE NO. 2020-0001667

APPEAL FROM RICHLAND COUNTY
THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

CASE NO. 2006-CP-400-3567 ET. AL.,

LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD AKA JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE

PLAINTIFF/APPELLANT

Vs.

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ET. AL.,

DEFENDANTS

AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; MOTION TO
CHALLENGE THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS JURISDICTION TO SEND
THE REMITTITUR; MOTION TO RECALL THE REMITTITUR
AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR

IN RE: CASE 2020-001667 ET. AL.,

TO: THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS ET.AL.,


HERE THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS WILL FIND:

(1) A COPY OF THE DOCUMENT ENTITLED, "AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; NOTICE SEEKING LEAVE TO PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERT.; MOTION FOR LEAVE TO SEEK PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERT. JOINTLY; MOTION FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME" (5) PAGES DATED AUGUST 27, 2021.

INASMUCH, THE APPELLANT MOTIONS TO RECALL THE REMITTITUR IN THIS CASE AND CHALLENGES THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS JURISDICTION TO ISSUE IT. THE APPELLANT FILED FOR SEEKING PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI BEFORE THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT WHICH WAS ACKNOWLEDGED BY THE S.C. SUPREME COURT VIA LETTER DATED AUGUST 19, 2021. UNTIL THE U.S. SUPREME COURT RULES ON THESE MATTERS JURISDICTION WOULD LIE BEFORE THE U.S. SUPREME COURT. THE PLAINTIFF MOTIONS TO RECALL THE REMITTITUR IN THIS CASE UNTIL THE SUPREME COURT GRANTS OR DENIES THAT SOUGHT AFTER REVIEW.

RESPECTFULLY,

JONAH THE TISHBITE

A large, stylized handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Jonah The Tishbite', with a circular flourish at the end.

AUGUST 30, 2021

IN THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

RECEIVED

APPELLATE CASE NO. 2020-001667

SEP 17 2021

SC Court of Appeals

APPEAL FROM RICHLAND COUNTY
THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

DOCKET CASE NO. 2006-CP-400-3567 ET. AL.,

LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD AKA JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE

APPELLANT/PETITIONER

Vs.

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ET. AL.,

RESPONDENT(S)


AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

I, LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD AKA JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE, DO HEREBY CERTIFY, THAT I HAVE MAILED AND OR SERVED A COPY OF AN AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; MOTION TO

FILE THE DOCUMENT ENTITLED, "AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; MOTION TO CHALLENGE THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS JURISDICTION TO SEND THE REMITTITUR; MOTION TO RECALL THE REMITTITUR AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR", IN FORMA PAUPERIS; MOTION FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME TO RESET AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR, ON THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS P.O. BOX 11629 COLUMBIA, S.C. 29211, ON THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL VIA THEIR ATTORNEY ON RECORD AT THE MCKAY LAW FIRM 1303 BLANDING STREET COLUMBIA, S.C. 29201 AND THE RICHLAND COUNTY COURT OF COMMON PLEAS 1701 MAIN STREET COLUMBIA, S.C. 29201, BY U.S. MAIL POSTAGE PREPAID, BY PLACING IT IN THE INSTITUTION MAILBOX OR FAMILY EMAILING IT TO THEM ON SEPTEMBER 10, 2021.

RESPECTFULLY,
JONAH THE TISHBITE

SEPTEMBER 10, 2021

A large, stylized handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Jonah The Tishbite', is written over the typed name. The signature is highly cursive and loops around itself.

IN THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

RECEIVED

SEP 17 2021

SC Court of Appeals

APPELLATE CASE NO. 2020-001667

APPEAL FROM RICHLAND COUNTY
THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

DOCKET CASE NO. 2006-CP-400-3567 ET. AL.,

LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD AKA JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE

APPELLANT/PETITIONER

Vs.

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ET. AL.,

RESPONDENT(S)

AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; MOTION TO
FILE THE DOCUMENT ENTITLED, "AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING
JUDICIAL NOTICE; MOTION TO CHALLENGE THE S.C. COURT OF
APPEALS JURISDICTION TO SEND THE REMITTITUR; MOTION TO
RECALL THE REMITTITUR AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR",
IN FORMA PAUPERIS; MOTION FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME
TO RESET AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR


IN RE: CASE 2020-001667

TO: THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS ET. AL.,

THE APPELLANT/PLAINTIFF FILED THE ABOVE CAPTIONED DOCUMENT SEEKING TO RECALL THE REMITTITUR IN THIS CASE DUE TO TIMELY SEEKING WRIT OF CERTIORARI BEFORE THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT. THE REMITTITUR SHOULD HAVE NEVER BEEN SENT DOWN IN THIS CASE WHEN JURISDICTION LIED BEFORE THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT UNTIL THE SEEKING OF THE WRIT. WAS RULED ON. THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS ALREADY DETERMINED BY ITS ACTIONS OF RULING ON THIS APPEAL THAT THE CASE FALLS WITHIN THE PARAMETERS FOR WAIVING OF FILING FEES DUE TO IT BEING A CHALLENGE OF CONVICTION AND OTHER CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS ARGUED WITHIN THE PRIOR PLEADING. IF THE COURT OF APPEALS DID NOT DETERMINE THAT THIS CASE FALLS WITHIN THE EXCEPTION FOR THE REQUIREMENT OF FILING FEES? THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS WOULD HAVE NEVER RULED IN THE CASE BECAUSE THE PAYING OF FILING FEES OR THE WAIVING THEREOF IS A JURISDICTIONAL PREREQUISITE TO THE COURT BEING ABLE TO RULE IN THIS CASE WHICH IT DID. THUS, IF THE WAIVING OF FILING FEES FOR THE INITIAL PLEADING WAS JUSTIFIED AND PERMITTED UNDER THE EXCEPTION TO PAYING FILING FEES. SO MUST ANY SUBSEQUENT MOTION OR PLEADING THAT IS ATTACHED THERETO INCLUDING THIS MOTION TO RECALL THE REMITTITUR WHEN THE COURT DID NOT HAVE JURISDICTION TO SEND IT DOWN IN THE FIRST PLACE AND WE ARE DEALING WITH THE SAME EXACT CASE. THEREFORE, THE APPELLANT/PLAINTIFF MOTIONS TO WAIVE THE FILING FEES FOR THE SUBMITTING OF THIS MOTION AND SEEK THAT THE REMITTITUR IN THIS CASE BE RECALLED FROM THE RICHLAND COUNTY COMMON PLEAS COURT. TO DENY SUCH WOULD BE AN ABUSE OF DISCRETION,

A VIOLATION OF DUE PROCESS AND A VIOLATION OF THE APPELLANT'S RIGHTS UNDER THE EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS CLAUSE. THE APPELLANT MOTIONS FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME TO RESET TO BE IN COMPLIANCE IN CASE FOR SOME REASON THIS MOTION IS NOT GRANTED.

RESPECTFULLY,
JONAH THE TISHBITE

A large, stylized handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Jonah The Tishbite', is written over the typed name. The signature is highly cursive and loops around itself.

SEPTEMBER 10, 2021

IN THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

4

RECEIVED

SEP 17 2021

SC Court of Appeals

CASE DOCKET NO. 2021-000354

APPEAL FROM THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW COURT
HONORABLE JUDGE ROBINSON ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

CASE NO. 21CO033 · GRIEVANCE NO. LCI. 595-20

LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD AKA JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE,

APPELLANT

Vs.

THE S.C. DEPT. OF CORRECTIONS,


RESPONDENT(S)

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

I, LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD AKA JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE, DO HEREBY CERTIFY, THAT I HAVE MAILED AND OR SERVED A COPY OF AN AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; MOTION FOR A REHEARING ON THE MOTION TO FILE IN FORMA PAUPERIS; MOTION TO

CHALLENGE THE S.C. COURT OF APPEAL'S JURISDICTION TO ISSUE THE ORDER DATED SEPTEMBER 2, 2021; MOTION TO VACATE THE JUDGMENT FOR FRAUD UPON THE COURT; MOTION FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME TO RESET ONCE THE COURT RULES ON THIS MOTION; MOTION TO FILE THIS MOTION IN FORMA PAUPERIS AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR, ON THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS P.O. BOX 11629 COLUMBIA, S.C. 29211, ON THE S.C. DEPT. OF CORRECTIONS AND GENERAL COUNSEL VIA IMMANI DIANE BYAS AND ALL INVOLVED PARTIES BY U.S. MAIL, POSTAGE PREPAID, BY DEPOSITING IT IN THE INSTITUTION MAILBOX ON SEPTEMBER 14, 2021.

RESPECTFULLY,
JONAH THE TISHBITE

A large, stylized handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Jonah The Tishbite', with a circular flourish at the end.

SEPTEMBER 14, 2021

LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD

300039 FBI Rm 1260

LEE CT 990 WISACKY HWY

BISHOPVILLE SC 29010

RECEIVED

SEP 17 2001

SC Court of Appeals

SC COURT OF
APPEALS

P.O. Box 11629

COLUMBIA, SC 29211

inter agency mail
put it on the truck
labels. If doubt
NEED POSTAGE