

Robert H Sarn
PLAINTIFF(S)

James C Rhea, III et al
DEFENDANT(S)

RECEIVED
Sep 24 2021
SC Court of Appeals

DISPOSITION TYPE (CHECK ONE)

- JURY VERDICT.** This action came before the court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and a verdict rendered.
- DECISION BY THE COURT.** This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision rendered.
- ACTION DISMISSED (CHECK REASON):** Rule 12(b), SCRPC; Rule 41(a), SCRPC (Vol. Nonsuit); Rule 43(k), SCRPC (Settled); Other
- ACTION STRICKEN (CHECK REASON):** Rule 40(j), SCRPC; Bankruptcy; Binding arbitration, subject to right to restore to confirm, vacate or modify arbitration award; Other
- STAYED DUE TO BANKRUPTCY**
- DISPOSITION OF APPEAL TO THE CIRCUIT COURT (CHECK APPLICABLE BOX):**
 Affirmed; Reversed; Remanded;
 Other

NOTE: ATTORNEYS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR NOTIFYING LOWER COURT, TRIBUNAL, OR ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCY OF THE CIRCUIT COURT RULING IN THIS APPEAL.

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED: See attached order (formal order to follow) Statement of Judgment by the Court:

On August 18, 2021 a hearing was held before me upon Plaintiff's Motion to Alter or Amend Judgment entered on March 31, 2021. Present were: J. Martin Foster, attorney for Plaintiff; Daniel J. Ballou, attorney for John C. Rhea, III; J. Nathaniel Pierce, attorney for Tauschner Textile Industries, LLC; and Michael K. Hatch, attorney for City Electric Supply Co. After consideration of the memoranda and arguments of counsel, Plaintiff's motion is DENIED.

ORDER INFORMATION

This order ends does not end the case. See Page 2 for additional information.

For Clerk of Court Office Use Only

This judgment was electronically entered by the Clerk of Court as reflected on the Electronic Time Stamp, and a copy mailed first class to any party not proceeding in the Electronic Filing System on 08/25/2021 .

John Doe
Richard Roe

NAMES OF TRADITIONAL FILERS SERVED BY MAIL

Court Reporter:

E-Filing Note: The date of Entry of Judgment is the same date as reflected on the Electronic File Stamp and the clerk's entering of the date of judgment above is not required in those counties. The clerk will mail a copy of the judgment to parties who are not E-Filers or who are appearing pro se. See Rule 77(d), SCRCP.



York Common Pleas

Case Caption: Robert H Sarn VS James C Rhea III , defendant, et al

Case Number: 2019CP4601446

Type: Order/Electronic Form 4

So Ordered

s/ Teasa K. Weaver 3084