

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
COUNTY OF Charleston  
IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS

JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL CASE

CASE NO. 2016CP1003783

Retreat at Charleston National Country Club Home Owners Asso et al  
PLAINTIFF(S)

Winston Carlyle Charleston National LLC et al  
DEFENDANT(S)

DISPOSITION TYPE (CHECK ONE)

- JURY VERDICT.** This action came before the court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and a verdict rendered.
- DECISION BY THE COURT.** This action came to trial or hearing before the court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision rendered.
- ACTION DISMISSED (CHECK REASON):**  Rule 12(b), SCRPC;  Rule 41(a), SCRPC (Vol. Nonsuit);  Rule 43(k), SCRPC (Settled);  Other
- ACTION STRICKEN (CHECK REASON):**  Rule 40(j), SCRPC;  Bankruptcy;  Binding arbitration, subject to right to restore to confirm, vacate or modify arbitration award;  Other
- STAYED DUE TO BANKRUPTCY**
- DISPOSITION OF APPEAL TO THE CIRCUIT COURT (CHECK APPLICABLE BOX):**  
 Affirmed;  Reversed;  Remanded;  
 Other

NOTE: ATTORNEYS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR NOTIFYING LOWER COURT, TRIBUNAL, OR ADMINISTRATIVE AGENCY OF THE CIRCUIT COURT RULING IN THIS APPEAL.

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED:  See attached order (formal order to follow)  Statement of Judgment by the Court:

Defendant filed a Motion to Reconsider the Court's order granting AC Construction's Partial Summary Judgment with this Court on July 19, 2021. "The purpose of Rule 59(e), SCRPC, to alter or amend the judgment is to request the trial judge to reconsider matters properly encompassed in a decision on the merits." Arnold v. State, 309 S.C. 157, 172, 420 S.E.2d 834, 842 (1992). "A party may wish to file such a motion when she believes the court has misunderstood, failed to fully consider, or perhaps failed to rule on an argument or issue, and the party wishes for the court to reconsider or rule on it." Elam v. South Carolina Dept. of Transp., 361 S.C. 9, 24, 602 S.E.2d 772, 780 (2004). This Court Denies Defendant's Motion to Reconsider without the necessity of a hearing and decided on the record and briefs. Rule 59(f), SCRPC; Pollard v. City of Florence, 314 S.C. 397, 401-402, 444 S.E.2d 534, 536 (Cl. App. 1994).

ORDER INFORMATION

This order  ends  does not end the case.  See Page 2 for additional information.

For Clerk of Court Office Use Only

This judgment was electronically entered by the Clerk of Court as reflected on the Electronic Time Stamp, and a copy mailed first class to any party not proceeding in the Electronic Filing System on 08/23/2021 .

Solesmar Jesus De Oliveira  
Costa De Oliveira Construction LLC  
ECC Contracting LLC  
East Coast Carpentry Company  
Rodrigo Assis  
Edward Bruce Wilham  
Pohlman Quality Exteriors Inc  
Yasenia Alvarez Penaloza  
Sixto Melchor Ayala  
Mario Salfgado  
Givair De Carls  
Dino Schwartz  
Advanced Building Connection LLC  
Garcia Roofing LLC  
Feliciano Cruz Silva  
Carlos Marroquin  
Marroquin Construction  
Jessica Marroquin  
Juan Constructors  
Juan Garza Ramos

**RECEIVED**  
SEP 27 2021  
SC Court of Appeals

**NAMES OF TRADITIONAL FILERS SERVED BY MAIL**

ELECTRONICALLY FILED - 2021 Aug 23 4:07 PM - CHARLESTON - COMMON PLEAS - CASE#2016CP1003783

**Court Reporter:**

**E-Filing Note:** The date of Entry of Judgment is the same date as reflected on the Electronic File Stamp and the clerk's entering of the date of judgment above is not required in those counties. The clerk will mail a copy of the judgment to parties who are not E-Filers or who are appearing pro se. See Rule 77(d), SCRPC.

---



Charleston Common Pleas

**Case Caption:** Retreat at Charleston National Country Club Home Owners Asso ,  
plaintiff, et al VS Winston Carlyle Charleston National LLC ,  
defendant, et al

**Case Number:** 2016CP1003783

**Type:** Order/Electronic Form 4

So Ordered

s/Jennifer B. McCoy #2764