

RECEIVED

OCT 12 2021

SC Court of Appeals

James A. Giles # 264478

Turberville C.I. Elliott B-RM 16D

1578 Clarence Coker Hwy

Turberville, S.C. 29162

OCT 6, 2021

Honorable Judge Beatty

The Supreme Court of South Carolina

P.O. Box 11330

Columbia, S.C. 29211

Re: James A. Giles V. State of S.C.

Appellate Case No. 2020-000333

RECEIVED

OCT 12 2021

S.C. SUPREME COURT

Honorable Judge Beatty

ON JUNE 1, 2021 the Court of Appeal sent me a letter dated ON May 14, 2021 stating that the Court received a Motion to relieve Counsel from Your client. And the Court of Appeal gave Mr. James R. Falk ten (10) to file a respond to this Motion,

Also on JUNE 29, 2021 the Court of Appeal sent Mr. Falk the same exact letter, because Mr. Falk did not respond back the Court of Appeal first letter

Judge Beatty since then I have wrote the Supreme Court two (2) concerning this matter, because I had also wrote the Court of Appeal two letter about this matter, but I have not gotten any respond back

From the Supreme court or from the Court of Appeal concerning this Matter...

And I have wrote Mr. Falk several of letters, Also I have call Mr. Falk many of times, And I was paying for them calls, but like always Mr. Falk will not answer any of my calls, And he will not respond back to any of my letters....

So therefore there is a pattern in Mr. Falk behavior, because Mr. Falk will not respond back to the Court of Appeal letter and Motion, and he will not respond back to me at all, And that the reason, why this matter arose in the first place,

because I wrote the Supreme Court about Mr. Falk behavior, because Mr. Falk had filed a Petition for Writ of Certiorari on my behalf without communicating with me...

so therefore Mr. Falk was violating Rule 1.4 because Mr. Falk fail to communicate with me, before he file a Petition for Writ of Certiorari.... And I really do believe that Mr. Falk file one (1) of my weakness issues.

so therefore on October 6, 2021. I am writing the Supreme Court a third letter requesting to know the following information:

I am requesting for this honorable court to make Mr. Falk respond to the Court of Appeal letters and Motion to Relieve Counsel,

because Mr. Falk's action is holding up the Court to hear My Pending Appeal, and I have already been upheld by the Court System, due to the fact, that I have been incarcerated for Fifteen Years, AND I am just only on the Second Stage of My First Post-Conviction Relief Application, AND this is absurd, AND Contrary to Justice,

because I only have twenty (20) Months left before I Max-out My twenty (20) Years Sentence, so therefore I will Not be able to exhaust all of My lower Court legal remedy, so the South Carolina Courts have Violated My Fourteenth Amendment of UNITED STATES Constitution, AND Article 1.3. of the South Carolina Constitution,

because I am been denied of due Process AND equal Protection of LAW,

Also I am requesting from this Court, to order for Mr. Falk to return My entire file, and if Mr. Falk is Not My Attorney any longer, Then When the Court will Appoint Me another Attorney,

because I would like to Motion this honorable Court for a Recognizance or a bail Pending My Appeal, because before this honorable Court Make a ruling on My Pending Appeal, I will be at home.

Judge Beatty Will You Please let me know the status of this Matter

THANKS
James A. Giles

RECEIVED

OCT 12 2021

S.C. SUPREME COURT

JAMES A. Giles #264478
Turberville C.I Elliott B-Rm 168
1578 Clarence Coker Hwy
Turberville, S.C. 29162

RECEIVED
OCT 12 2021
SC Court of Appeals

COLUMBIA SC 290

OCT 12 2021 PM 5 L



The Supreme Court of South Carolina
Daniel E. Shearouse, Clerk of Court
P.O. BOX 11330

Legal Mail

Columbia, S.C. 29211

