

The South Carolina Court of Appeals

John Doe 2 and Jane Doe 4, John Doe 10, Jane Doe 11,
John Doe 193, Father Doe 194, John Doe 194, John Doe
245 and Father Doe 245, and John Doe 297, Appellants,


v.

The Bishop of Charleston, A Corporation Sole; Robert
Gugliemone, The Bishop of Charleston, in his official
Capacity; Rev. Monsignor Martin Laughlin, former
Administrator of the Diocese of Charleston, in his official
Capacity; Robert J. Baker, former Bishop of Charleston,
in his official capacity; Lawrence E. Richter, Jr.; David
K. Haller; and Richter and Haller, LLC, Respondents.

Appellate Case No. 2017-001996

ORDER

This Court issued an opinion in this case on March 3, 2021. Appellants thereafter filed a petition for rehearing, which this court denied on May 13, 2021. The remittitur was sent on June 24, 2021. Appellants have now filed a motion to recall the remittitur. After careful consideration, the motion is denied. *See Wise v. S.C. Dep't of Corr.*, 372 S.C. 173, 174, 642 S.E.2d 551, 551 (2007) ("When the remittitur has been properly sent, the appellate court no longer has jurisdiction over the matter and no motion can be heard thereafter. The only exception to this rule is when the remittitur is sent down by mistake, error or inadvertence of the Court." (internal citations omitted)).



FOR THE COURT

FILED
Oct 25 2021

Columbia, South Carolina

cc:

Gregg E. Meyers, Esquire

Richard S. Dukes, Jr., Esquire

Benjamin C. Bruner, Esquire

Susan Taylor Wall, Esquire

Henry Wilkins Frampton, IV, Esquire

Chelsea Jaqueline Clark, Esquire

Julie J. Armstrong