

OCTOBER 08/2021

①
of
①

The South Carolina Court
of Appeals.

State of South Carolina Respondent:

vs.

Johnny T. Padgett Appellant

Case No. SC19-00902.
Consider this Complaint
related to Appellant
Appeal Counsel.

RECEIVED
OCT 08 2021
SC Court of Appeals

To the S.C. Court of Appeals
Justices of the Court Attention:

To Hon. V. Claire Allen
Chief Deputy Clerk
P.O. Box 11629
1220 Senate Street,
Columbia - S.C. 29211.

Please Attach page (14) of (14) pages.
SEE Complaint page (1) through (13)
APPEAL Appellant Johnny Tyler Padgett,

①
of
(13)
page

October 8/2021

Johnny Tyler Padgett

317 N. Bucknutt Ferry Road
Spartanburg, South Carolina 29138

864-323-9740 for J.T.P.

Re: Appeals ~~2019000902~~ 2019000902
State vs. Johnny T. Padgett

To Hon. D. Clarke Allen
SC Court of Appeals
Op o Bot 11629.
Columbia SC 29207

RECEIVED

OCT 08 2021

SC Court of Appeals

Please accept this
communication as a letter
referenced to the above
matter.

In sending letter to ask you
concern case 2019-000902.
its being in your court
since June 28/2019. I
have not heard one word
about its. This appeals counsel
of Johnny Padgett is part of

SCCOA 10/08/2021

(2)
(13)

Assistance in my Case.
he did not raise any
Merits issues were raised
At my TRIAL. Those issues
were concerned my
Preliminary hearing and
the AFFIDAVIT attached to the
arrest warrant was on
sworn by the Charge
officer. By laws. The
Appel Counsel of Fudgett
should have raised these
important issues. But
he choose to ignored
it. I said you a letter
concerning these raised
at my TRIAL May 21 22 -
2019. were left in the TRIAL
Transcript and were not
raised by the Appel Counsel.
This is contrary to his
Client Johnny Fudgett; Fudgett
filed Complaint related to the
same issues and concern
This Appel Counsel there no
evidence's Fudgett any the
Court to reverse this Appel. The

(3)
of
(13)

SCCOA 10/08/2021

did not take the time to send
his last complaint to the
Court, the Appellant Counsel
is ineffective assistance
of Counsel; in the Client
Johnny Fudgett Case ~~20190002~~
that is because he never
raises no issues. ~~annuist~~
Fudgett made requests to
the magistrate Court request
preliminary hearing on the
charge. But the Affidavit
attach to the warrant
contained the allegations
of the crimes the charge
never sworn in to an
Notary public; the charge
officer sign her name out
of the present of a S.C.
Notary public. her affidavit
general and contained
the allegations of the crimes
and not witnessed by any
person. she were the type
sign and no S.C. Notary
public officer. Fudgett
Appellant Counsel fail to raise

(4)
of
(13)

SCCA 10/08/2021

issues to the Court. These issues is left out the knowledge of the judges

This situation is like a fruit of the poisonous tree. And the apples don't fall far from the apples tree.

The Appeal Counsel of Fudge intent to cover up the merits issues that the strength refer on while he failed to raise those issues later at Fudge trial. When Fudge take the danger self sufficient that was those (2) issues Fudge raise on the record before the judge, he denied Fudge motion to O.V.M.S. the charge was not returned by a jury. (14) Amendment in S.C.

I am the Appellant Fudge
I want this Court to order
Appeal Counsel raises these

(5)
of
(13)

DCCCPA 10/08/2021.

Merits issues and stop
attempted hidden liens to
protect the state. Those
issues will filed to the
SC Supreme Court of
this Court of Appeals
Continue however my legal
complaint related to my
Appeal Counsel.

No one told me any
things concerning my
Case. The Appeals Clerk
ever answered this phone
he will not be answer
to any my complaints
concerns the issues he
tried to raise those
Merits states above,

Please let me hear from
you with a order ordering
the Appeal Counsel raised
those Merits issues left in
the Court record. If not file
complaint to SC Supreme Court
to issues my complaint

(6)
of
(13)

DCCOA 10/08/2021

Armed repentance to being
my Appeal Counsel RUFFIN
is effectively Assistance
of Counsel in my Case 2019
000902 Appeal.

(1) "I" was denied a preliminary
hearing. A issues related
to the WARRANT ATTACH
Affidavit, Part of the 14
Amend. u.s. (constitution).

(2) The Charge officer
submitted unsworn
Affidavit statement &
contained the allegations
of the crimes were
not notarized by a SC
notary public officer.

(3) The Charge officer Jan
her names out of the present
of the notary, her statement
were unsworn. it's were
time and necessity of
LAW to provide preliminary
hearing. But for that was denied.

(7)
of
(13)

SCCOA 10/08/2021

The Appeal Counsel failed in both parts toward the Plaintiff Johnny Progett on appeals of the violations of his trial on the May 21-22-2019 Charge fail to stop for a Blue. The Charge officer Affiliated Violations the Appellant in the Criminal System of the Saluda County General Sessions.

The Appellant attach to the arrest warrant date on the January 10/2019. and the Appellant Signatures the Appellant were only the Charge officer. She did not appeared before a S.C. Notary Public Officer before the Charge officer Sign her Names. She signed her names out of the presence of the Appellant officer. She is a Federal Institution Violator of the 14 fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution. Charge officer fail on her Affidavit sworn

SCCOA 10/05/2021

(8)
of
(13)

TO NO SUCH PERSON. A
NOTARY PUBLIC OFFICER NEVER
SAW THE CHARGE OFFICER
ON THE JANUARY 10/2019. THE
TRIAL COURT OF DISTRICTS
PROTECT RIGHTS UNDER THE
FEDERAL CONSTITUTION FOURTEENTH
AMENDMENT.

AND THE MAGISTRATE COURT IS
"INVADE" BECAUSE THE MAGISTRATE
COURT DENIED PROTECT TO
"PRELIMINARY HEARING."

IN REGARD TO THE JUDGE AND THE
PROSECUTOR DOES NOT GET OR HAVE
A DUTY TO INDICATE WHETHER
THESE STANDARDS WERE APPLIED
TO THE VIOLATIONS OF THE APPELLATE
RIGHTS UNDER THE SC CRIMINAL
JUSTICE SYSTEM OF THE COUNTY
GENERAL JUDGES BY A JUDGE
AND A PROSECUTOR WHO FAILS TO
FOLLOW THE RULES AND FEDERAL
CONSTITUTIONS UNDER THE FOURTEENTH
AMENDMENT. BOTH IGNORED
APPELLATE TRIAL PROCEDURES. EVEN

SCCOA 10/08/2021

(9)
of
(13)

Appellant Appent Counsel
Choose to ignored Padgett
Constitutional Rights under the
Criminal Justice system of
Sutro County General Sessions
to protect the wrongs doing
of the Court officials. This is
the fruits of the poisonous tree
and Bad Faith in Padgett Appeal.

Padgett at his trial raised the
issues concerning the illegal
indictment. All concerning the
unsworn Affidavit statement
Attach to the arrest warrant
and which its contained the
allegations of the crime and
the Appent Counsel does have
the Court records concerning the
above complaint that those raised
issues at trial on May 21-22-
2019 the Appent Counsel failure's
upon Bad Faith in because
he have knowledge and what's
Padgett raised and what's
is Merits and what is the
Appent Counsel knew or he should

(10)
OP
(13)

SCLOA 10/08/2021

have from those raised issues at Priggett trial, on May 21-22-2019 of Great Heats. — But Appellate Counsel did not raise the Heats issue in his Brief on behalf of Johnny Priggett.

Appellate Counsel Ruffinis should raise those issues violations above this letter. Those issues is Heats, this not professional representation in the Great Criminal Justice system. In Appellant Priggett Appeal.

Appellate Counsel Mr. Ruffinis raised only one issue: allegations are on the Court enforced an attorney on Priggett after I chose, he did not want this public defender

What's about those Great Heats issues left hooded in the records out of the eyes of the parent and Great Justice of the Appellate Court, they need to

(11)
of
(13)

SCCOA 10/08/2021

All the issues raised by the appellant at his trial ~~proceedings~~ if counsel raised those very important issues then Fiddett case will be sent back to the Saluda County Circuit Court of General sessions.

Because the indictment indicted, because the arrested officer issues unlawful arrest to arrested Fiddett in January 9, 2019. And denied Fiddett the rights to challenge the unlawful arrest at the county court preliminary hearing on the unlawful arrest. And which violations state and federal constitutions. Under the 14 fourteenth Amendment. Charge officer signed her names out of presence of a Notary Public, Official, or officer for South Carolina her names only named on her affidavit, attach to the warrant to arrest counsel hidden at

3CEOP 10/08/2021

(12)

of
(13)

keeping it's out of the eyes
of the Appeals Court Justices.
This would not give the
Justice Fairness Tests to the
facts of Padgett TRIAL!!

This appeal 2019-000902. Counsel.
Should filed these raised issues
he left ALL in the records. This
is Denied Padgett the rights
to fairness Appeal A.D. Justice
Referral to the Florida County
General Sessions!!

I am the Appeal 2019,000902.
Appellant and Appeal Counsel
is KUFFINS of record the
ASK the Court to consider
this complaint related to
this Appeal Counsel A.D.
Padgett ASK the Court to order
the Appeal Counsel Mr. KUFFINS
to raised the Above issues
States Above!!

When was the issues should
have been raised by the

(13)

OCCOA 10/08/2021

(14)

Appeal Counsel of the Appellant

Appellant Fudgett also ask this court order Appeal Counsel send Fudgett of his Brief.

Fudgett ask the Appeals Court to consider his letter and order Appeal Counsel restate his Brief and address the above raised issues on the record of Fudgett trial.

"Fudgett," pray for an order to order the appeal Counsel restate his Brief to added in those raised it's. Above today placed at Fudgett: Appeal Counsel, ineffective, assistance of Counsel in Appellant Appeal."

Respectfully Submitted

Johnny Tyler Fudgett

~~Johnny Tyler Fudgett~~
Johnny Tyler Fudgett "Appellant,"

(14)
of
(14)

Re: Johnny Tyler Fuggett
2019-00902.

Attentions.

Attach on to page 13 End. (14)

The SC Court of Appeals Deputy
Clerk of Court Send Appellant
A letter date on the March
10/2020 states that appellant
filed NO merits Brief but
only filed Anders vs California,
386 U.S. 738. 87 S.Ct. 1396. 18 L.
Ed. 2d 493. (1967)

That was because Appell
Counsel left all the merits
raised issues in the Court
Court record of Santa County
General Sessions. This Counsel
in fore the Respondent will
protect from Appellant Appeal
Denial Appellant the rights to
Be heard from there obligations
of the trial of the Appellants.

The Appell Counsel should restore
or reinstates this Brief and
raised those issues left in the
Court records." ~~at the~~

-Journey Tyler Kadgett,
317 N. Booknight Ferry Rd.
Saluda, SC 29138

526291-11262

COLUMBIA, SC

OCT 08 2021 PM



RECEIVED

OCT 08 2021

SC Court of Appeals

Ms. V. Chaikre Allan
Chief Deputy Clerk
SC COURT OF APPEALS

OCT 07 2021 PM 2 L

COLUMBIA SC 290

526291-11262

P.O. Box 11627

Columbia - SC 29211