

# The South Carolina Court of Appeals

ARM Quality Builders, LLC, d/b/a, ARM Quality  
Builders, Appellant,

v.

Joseph A. Golson and Lycia B. Golson and Branch  
Banking Trust Company, Respondents,

AND

Joseph A. Golson and Lycia B. Golson, Third-Party  
Respondents,

v.

Ahmad Mazloom, Third-Party Appellant.

Appellate Case No. 2020-001406

---

## ORDER

---

Respondents have filed a motion requesting to have this court conduct an in camera review of an exhibit. Although there is no provision in the South Carolina Appellate Court Rules for sealing records or considering matters in camera in the appellate court, we have the power to control our own records. *See Ex parte Capital U-Drive-It, Inc.*, 369 S.C. 1, 12, 630 S.E.2d 464, 470 (2006). In *Ex parte Capital U-Drive-It*, the Supreme Court of South Carolina analyzed Rule 41.1(b), SCRCF, in deciding whether to seal or unseal a court record. 369 S.C. at 12, 630 S.E.2d at 470. Respondents' motion for in camera review of the exhibit is denied without prejudice to Respondents' right to file a new motion analyzing the factors delineated in Rule 41.1(b), SCRCF and *Ex parte Capital U-Drive-It, Inc.*, 369 S.C. 1, 12, 630 S.E.2d 464, 470 (2006).

  
FOR THE COURT

Columbia, South Carolina

cc:

Chelsea Jaqueline Clark, Esquire  
Edward Wade Mullins, III, Esquire  
James Randall Davis, Esquire

**FILED**  
**Nov 02 2021**