

LAWRENCE L CRAWFORD
#300839 F2B Rm 1260
LEE CT 990 Wistakey Hwy
Bishopville, SC 29010

201 SC Court of Appeals

PLEASE file in both

CASES 2021-000354 Appeal

2020-0001667.

RECEIVED

OCT 20 2021

SC Court of Appeals

Thank You

OCTOBER 14, 2021

14
LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD AKA
JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE
#300839 F2B. RM. 1260
LEE C.I. 990 WISACKY HWY.
BISHOPVILLE, S.C. 29010

RECEIVED

IN RE: CASES 21-1330 AND 2021-000354 ET. AL.,


OCT 20 2021

SC Court of Appeals

TO: THE 3rd. CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS,
THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS ET. AL.,

THE ATTACHED PLEADING IS BEING FILED TO RECALL THE MANDATE
IN CASE 21-1330 AND MOVE TO REINSTATE THAT APPEAL. ITS FILED TO
SEEK STAY ON ANY REQUIREMENT TO PAY ANY FILING FEES IN CASE
2021-000354 AND TO SEEK EXTENSION OF TIME RELATED THERETO. ALL
PARTIES ARE SERVED. PLEASE FILE THE PLEADING IN THE APPLICABLE
CASES INVOLVED PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 1407.

RESPECTFULLY,
JONAH THE TISHBITE ET. AL.,

A large, stylized handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Jonah The Tishbite Et. Al.', is written over the signature line.

OCTOBER 11, 2021

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE 1st., 3rd., 4TH. CIRCUIT(S) ET. AL.,

DOCKET CASE NO.(S) 21-1330; 21-6275; 19-2005 ET. AL.,

LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD AKA JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE:
RON SANTA McCRAY; YAHYA MUQUIT ET. AL.,

APPELLANTS/PETITIONERS

Vs.

RECEIVED
OCT 20 2021
SC Court of Appeals

THE UNITED STATES; JUDGE LINARES; WARDEN NELSON ET. AL.,

DEFENDANT(S)

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

WE, RON SANTA McCRAY, LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD AKA JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE ET. AL., DO HEREBY CERTIFY, THAT WE HAVE MAILED AND OR SERVED A COPY OF AN AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; MOTION TO RECALL THE MANDATE IN CASE 21-1330; MOTION TO REINSTATE THE APPEAL UNDER CASE 21-1330; MOTION TO RENEW THE MOTION TO FILE IN FORMA PAUPERIS DUE TO THREAT OF IMMINENT DANGER AND CLAIMS OF RES JUDICATA AND OR COLLATERAL

ESTOPPEL; MOTION TO STAY THE REQUIREMENT OF PAYING ANY FILING FEES UNDER CASE 2021-000354 DUE TO SEEKING 28 U.S.C. § 1407 TRANSFER AS TAG ALONG CASE; MOTION FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME UNDER CASE 2021-000354 TO RESET TO PAY ANY REQUIRED FILING FEE UNTIL THE 3rd.CIRCUIT AND U.S. SUPREME COURT GIVES REVIEW PURSUANT TO THIS DOCUMENT AND THE SEEKING OF WRIT OF CERTIORARI AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR, ON THE 3rd. CIRCUIT, THE 1st. CIRCUIT, THE 4TH. CIRCUIT, THE N.J. FEDERAL ATTORNEY, THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS, THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL, THE S.C. DEPT. OF CORRECTIONS, THE S.C. SUPREME COURT, THE MCKAY LAW FIRM, THE S.C. U.S. DISTRICT COURT, THE N.J. DISTRICT COURT, THE LAW FIRM OF DUBOSE-ROBINSON AND ALL OTHER INVOLVED PARTIES BY U.S. MAIL POSTAGE PREPAID BY DEPOSITING IT IN THE INSTITUTION MAILBOX ON OCTOBER 11, 2021. DUE TO SERVICE ON THE DISTRICT COURTS IT IS DEEMED FILED ON THAT DATE, HOUSTON V. LACK, 287 U.S. 266, 273-76, 108 S.Ct. 2379 (U.S.1988).

RESPECTFULLY,
JONAH THE TISHBITE

A large, stylized handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Ron Santa McCray'.

RON SANTA MCCRAY

A smaller, cursive handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Ron Santa McCray'.

OCTOBER 11, 2021

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE 1st., 3rd., 4TH. CIRCUIT(S) ET. AL.,

DOCKET CASE NO.(S) 21-1330; 21-6275; 19-2005 ET. AL.,

LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD AKA JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE:
RON SANTA McCRAY; YAHYA MUQUIT ET. AL.,

APPELLANTS/PETITIONERS

Vs.

RECEIVED

OCT 20 2021

SC Court of Appeals

THE UNITED STATES; JUDGE LINARES; WARDEN NELSON ET. AL.,

DEFENDANT(S)

AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; MOTION TO
RECALL THE MANDATE IN CASE 21-1330; MOTION TO REINSTATE
THE APPEAL UNDER CASE 21-1330; MOTION TO RENEW THE MOTION
TO FILE IN FORMA PAUPERIS DUE TO THREAT OF IMMINENT
DANGER AND CLAIMS OF RES JUDICATA AND OR COLLATERAL
ESTOPPEL; MOTION TO STAY THE REQUIREMENT OF PAYING ANY
FILING FEE UNDER CASE 2021-000354 DUE TO SEEKING 28
U.S.C. § 1407 TRANSFER AS TAG ALONG CASE; MOTION FOR AN
EXTENSION OF TIME UNDER CASE 2021-000354 TO RESET TO PAY
ANY REQUIRED FILING FEE UNTIL THE 3rd. CIRCUIT AND U.S.
SUPREME COURT GIVES REVIEW PURSUANT TO THIS DOCUMENT AND
THE SEEKING OF WRIT OF CERTIORARI AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR

IN RE: CASES 21-1330 AND 2021-000354 ET. AL.,

TO: THE 3rd. CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS,
THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS,
THE N.J. FEDERAL ATTORNEY,
THE S.C. ATTORNEY GENERAL,
THE S.C. DEPT. OF CORRECTIONS ET. AL.,

HERE THE COURT AND PARTIES WILL FIND ATTACHED:

(1) EXHIBIT, "FRAUD/OBSTRUCTION # 1". THIS IS A COPY OF THE ORDER ISSUED BY THE 3rd. CIRCUIT INFORMING THE APPELLANT(S) THAT THE 3rd. CIRCUIT HAS GRANTED THE MOTION FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE MOTION AND DEMONSTRATE THREAT OF IMMINENT DANGER. NOTE THAT IT IS DATED MAY 20, 2021.

(2) EXHIBIT, "FRAUD/OBSTRUCTION # 2". THIS IS A COPY OF THE AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS DATED JUNE 28, 2021 WHEN THE FIRST ATTEMPT OF OBSTRUCTION WAS MADE AND THE COURT TRIED TO UNJUSTLY CLAIM THE APPELLANTS FAILED TO PROSECUTE AND THE APPELLANTS HAD TO REBUT THE INJUSTICE.

(3) EXHIBIT, "MOTION TO FILE IN FORMA PAUPERIS". THIS IS THE [12] PAGE AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS SUBMITTED AS MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE IN FORMA PAUPERIS DUE TO THREAT OF IMMINENT DANGER DATED MAY 30, 2021. TAKE NOTICE THAT IT WAS FILED (10) DAYS LATER IN RESPONSE TO THE 3rd. CIRCUIT'S DEMAND THAT THE APPELLANT FILE THE PLEADING AND MOTION TO DEMONSTRATE THREAT OF IMMINENT DANGER.

(4) EXHIBIT, "ACCEPTANCE OF MOTION TO FILE IN FORMA

PAUPERIS". THIS IS A COPY OF THE ORDER ISSUED FROM THE 3rd. CIRCUIT ACKNOWLEDGING THAT THE APPELLANT WAS IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE COURT'S PREVIOUS ORDER TO FILE THE MOTION TO FILE IN FORMA PAUPERIS DUE TO THREAT OF IMMINENT DANGER WHERE THEY HELD THAT DOCUMENT IN ABEYANCE PENDING THE SENDING OF THE (6) MONTH FINANCIAL STATEMENT.

(5) EXHIBIT, "ADDITIONAL DOCUMENT SUBMISSION". THIS IS A COPY OF THE AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE ASKING ACCEPTANCE OF THE FUNCTIONAL EQUIVALENT OF THE (6) MONTH STATEMENT AGAIN DEMONSTRATING THAT THE APPELLANT WAS IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE 3rd. CIRCUIT'S DEMANDS SENDING IN THE (6) MONTH FINANCIAL STATEMENT.

(6) EXHIBIT, "RES JUDICATA/ COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL. THIS IS A COPY OF THE ORDER ISSUED IN CASE 21-6275 GRANTING THE RIGHT TO FILE IN FORMA PAUPERIS IN THAT CASE BASED UPON THE SAME EXACT CLAIM OF THREAT OF IMMINENT DANGER ARGUED BEFORE THE 3rd. CIRCUIT. IF THE 4TH. CIRCUIT GRANTED THE REQUEST TO FILE IN FORMA PAUPERIS ON THE SAME EXACT CLAIM OF THREAT OF IMMINENT DANGER?, WHICH THEY DID? THE APPELLANT EXERCISES HIS CONSTITUTIONAL DUE PROCESS RIGHT OF RES JUDICATA AND OR COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL ON THE ISSUE OF FILING IN FORMA PAUPERIS RELATED TO THREAT OF IMMINENT DANGER.

THE APPELLANT MOTIONS TO RECALL THE MANDATE. THE APPELLANT MOTIONS TO REINSTATE THE APPEAL UNDER CASE 21-1330 TO ADDRESS THIS FRAUD UPON THE COURT AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE. THE APPELLANT RENEWS HIS MOTION TO FILE IN FORMA PAUPERIS DUE TO THREAT OF IMMINENT DANGERS EXERCISING ALL RIGHTS OF RES JUDICATA AND COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL ON THIS ISSUE BY THE RULING EMERGING FROM THE 4TH. CIRCUIT SINCE THE SAME WAS GRANTED BEFORE THE 4TH. CIRCUIT ON THE EXACT SAME CLAIM OF THREAT OF IMMINENT DANGER THAT EXISTED AT THE TIME BOTH THE CASES WERE FILED AND STILL EXIST TODAY.

(7) EXHIBIT, "FRAUD ORDER". THIS IS A COPY OF THE ORDER ISSUED BY THE 3rd. CIRCUIT ON OCTOBER 1, 2021. LET THE APPELLANT PLACE ON THE RECORD EXACTLY WHAT IS GOING ON HERE RELATED TO THE FRAUD AND OBSTRUCTION. THE N.J. FEDERAL ATTORNEY AND POTENTIALLY GOD KNOWS WHO OTHERS, CAME DOWN HERE TO SOUTH CAROLINA AND OR CALLED THE RICHLAND COMMON PLEAS COURT ASKING FOR THE FILES AND PLEADINGS THAT WERE FILED UNDER CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 AND ITS RELATED CASES. HOW THE APPELLANT KNOWS THIS IS THAT HIS SISTER YAHDNA CALLED THAT COURT ASKING FOR A PASS ORDER IN CASE 2006-CP-400-3567 AND WAS INFORMED OF THE CHAOS AND SEEKING OF THE FILES THAT WAS GOING ON IN THE RICHLAND COURT WHERE THE PARTIES ARE CONSPIRING TO CONCEAL MATERIAL FACTS IN VIOLATION OF 18 U.S.C. §§ 242 AND 1001. ONCE REVIEWING ALL THE DOCUMENTS PLACED BEFORE THAT STATE COURT, THE CONSPIRING PARTIES REALIZED THAT THE APPELLANT(S) WERE CORRECT. THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT DID MAKE A BACK DOOR APPEARANCE IN THAT CASE, CONCEALED THEIR APPEARANCE, FAILED TO TIMELY RESPOND GIVING WAY TO THE DEFAULT AND VOIDING OF JURISDICTION FOR UNCONSTITUTIONAL ACTION ASSERTED BY US BASED UPON THE PROCEDURAL PROCESSING RULE RELIED UPON SUPPORTED BY THE FORTBEND COUNTY, TEXAS v. DAVIS CASE OUT THE U.S. SUPREME COURT, WHICH SAID DEFAULT BINDS ALL STATES BY THE SUPREMACY CLAUSE. DUE TO THE MAGNITUDE OF THE DISCOVERY AND TO AID THE OTHER STATE COURTS CONSPIRING ACROSS MULTIPLE STATE AND FEDERAL JURISDICTIONS. THE 3rd. CIRCUIT'S ONLY OPTION WAS TO CRIMINALLY ASSERT THAT THE APPELLANT(S) FAILED TO PROSECUTE TO PREVENT THESE MATERIAL FACTS FROM BEING ENTERED WITHIN THE COURT RECORD AND TO CIRCUMVENT RULING ON THE SUBMITTED PLEADINGS VIOLATING THEIR OATHS OF OFFICE TO UPHOLD THE U.S. CONSTITUTION PURSUANT TO 5 U.S.C. §§ 3331, 3333, AND 7311. STOP THE FRAUD AND OBSTRUCTION HONORABLE JUDGES. RECALL THE MANDATE AND REINSTATE THIS APPEAL UNDER 21-1330 AND LET THIS CASE MOVE FORWARD. GET THEM DOCUMENTS THEY SENT DOWN TO THE STORAGE WAREHOUSE IN SOUTH CAROLINA TO CONCEAL THEM AND LETS GET IT ALL PLACED UPON THE COURT RECORD.

(8) EXHIBIT, "CONSPIRING ACROSS MULTI STATE AND FEDERAL JURISDICTIONS". THIS IS A COPY OF THE LETTER ISSUED FROM THE S.C. COURT OF APPEALS DATED OCTOBER 4, 2021 REGARDING CASE 2021-000354. THE APPELLANT IN THIS CASE MOTIONS FOR A STAY ON ANY REQUIREMENT TO PAY ANY ALLEGED FILING FEE UNTIL THE 3rd. CIRCUIT GIVES REVIEW BASED UPON THIS NOW SUBMITTED PLEADING DUE TO SEEKING 28 U.S.C. § 1407 TRANSFER OF THIS CASE AS A TAG ALONG CASE AND THE SEEKING OF WRIT OF CERTIORARI BEFORE THE U.S. SUPREME COURT RELATED TO THESE MATTERS. A COPY OF THE U.S. SUPREME COURT PLEADING IS ATTACHED. 3rd. CIRCUIT AND N.J. FEDERAL ATTORNEY YOU WERE ALREADY SERVED YOUR COPY OF THE U.S. SUPREME COURT PLEADING. IMANI YOUR COPY OF THAT PLEADING WAS SERVED ON YOU AS WELL. THE APPELLANT MOTIONS FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME TO PAY ANY FILING FEE UNDER CASE 2021-000354 TO RESET ONCE RULING FROM THE INVOLVED FEDERAL COURTS HAVE BEEN ISSUED.

RESPECTFULLY,

JONAH THE TISHBITE



RON SANTA McCRAY

Ron Santa McCray

OCTOBER 2, 2021

Lawrence L. Crawford
#300839
Lee Correctional Institution
990 Wisacky Highway
P.O. Box 1000
Bishopville, SC 29010

Exhibit
FRAUD / obstruction #1
=

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

No. 21-1330

Crawford v. Chief Jose Linares

To: Clerk

- 1) Motion by Appellant Lawrence Crawford for Judicial Notice and Extension of Time
-

The foregoing submission is construed as a motion for an extension of time to file a motion to proceed in forma pauperis with related PLRA forms and a motion demonstrating imminent danger. So construed, the motion is granted.

Appellant must file a motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis, along with an addendum to the affidavit in support of the motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis, a completed form authorizing assessments to be made from his prison account, a certified prison account statement, and a motion demonstrating imminent danger of serious physical injury on or before June 10, 2021 within twenty-one (21) days from the date of this Order. Failure to file a motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis with the supporting PLRA documents and imminent danger motion will result in dismissal of the appeal pursuant to Third Circuit LAR 3.3 and Misc. 107.1 without further notice.

For the Court,

s/ Patricia S. Dodszeit
Clerk

Dated: May 20, 2021
PDB/cc: Lawrence L. Crawford
Yahya Muquit

Exhibit

Fraud / obstructions

2

2

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL
FOR THE 3rd., 4th. CIRCUIT(S) ET. AL.,

DOCKET CASE(S) 21-1330; 21-6275 ET. AL.,

LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD AKA JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE;
RON SANTA McCRAY

APPELLANTS/PETITIONERS

Vs.

THE UNITED STATES; JUDGE LINARES; WARDEN NELSON ET. AL.,

DEFENDANT(S)

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

I, LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD DO HEREBY CERTIFY, THAT I HAVE MAILED AND
OR SERVED A COPY OF AN AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE;
MOTION TO REINSTATE THE APPEAL; MOTION FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME
AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR, ON THE 3rd. CIRCUIT COURT OF
APPEAL BY U.S. MAIL POSTAGE PREPAID BY DEPOSITING IT IN THE
INSTITUTION MAILBOX ON JUNE 28, 2021.

RESPECTFULLY,
JONAH THE TISHBITE

JUNE 28, 2021

1-of-3



IN THE COURT OF APPEAL
FOR THE 3rd., 4th. CIRCUIT(S) ET. AL.,

DOCKET CASE(S) 21-1330; 21-6275 ET. AL.,

LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD AKA JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE;
RON SANTA McCRAY

APPELLANTS/PETITIONERS

Vs.

THE UNITED STATES; JUDGE LINARES; WARDEN NELSON ET. AL.,

DEFENDANT(S)


AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; MOTION
TO REINSTATE THE APPEAL; MOTION FOR AN EXTENSION
OF TIME AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR

IN RE: CASE 21-1330

TO: THE 3rd. CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS ET. AL.,

WITH ALL DUE RESPECT. WHAT THE HECK DO THIS CLERK HAVE .
GOING ON HERE? HOW IN THE WORLD IS THIS CLERK SENDING ME A NOTICE
OF DEFICIENCY IN FILING IN FORMA PAUPERIS INFORMING ME THAT THE
APPEAL IS TO BE HELD IN ABEYANCE FOR (14) DAYS FROM JUNE 11, 2021
INFORMING ME THAT THE APPEAL WILL BE DISMISSED WITHOUT FURTHER
NOTICE NOT GETTING THIS NOTIFICATION TO ME UNTIL (3) DAYS AFTER
THE TIME TO RESPOND HAS EXPIRED? I OBJECT TO THIS OBSTRUCTION AND
INJUSTICE. I MOTION TO REINSTATE THIS APPEAL IF IT HAS BEEN
UNJUSTLY DISMISSED AND I MOTION FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME UNTIL
JULY 28, 2021 TO BE IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE COURT'S DEMAND. THE
DOCUMENTS THE COURT SEEKS MUST BE FORWARDED TO S.C.D.C.
HEADQUARTERS FOR A RESPONSE WHICH THE TURNAROUND TIME CAN TAKE UP
TO (30) DAYS. IN AN ABUNDANCE OF CAUTION I MOTION FOR AN
EXTENSION OF TIME UNTIL THE TIME SOUGHT TO BE IN COMPLIANCE.

RESPECTFULLY,
JONAH THE TISHBITE

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Jonah The Tishbite', with a large, stylized flourish extending from the end of the name.

JUNE 28, 2021

Exhibit

" Motion To File "

in forma pauperis

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE 3rd, 4TH. CIRCUIT(S) ET. AL.,

DOCKET CASE NO.(S) 21-1330; 21-6275 ET. AL.,

LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD AKA JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE;
RON SANTA McCRAY

APPELLANTS/PETITIONERS

Vs.

THE UNITED STATES; JUDGE LINARES; WARDEN NELSON ET. AL.,

DEFENDANT(S)

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE


WE, RON SANTA McCRAY AND LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD AKA JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE, DO HEREBY CERTIFY, THAT I HAVE MAILED AND OR SERVED A COPY OF AN AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; MOTION AND OR PETITION TO INTERVENE AND OR MOTION TO AMEND THE PARTIES; MOTION TO SUBMIT DOCUMENTATION TO REBUT 3 STRIKE RULE; MOTION TO EXPAND THE SCOPE AND FOR INCLUSION TO FURTHER ESTABLISH MULTI-DISTRICT LITIGATION; MOTION FOR SANCTIONS AND TO DISQUALIFY THE 4TH. CIRCUIT AND TRANSFER ALL PROCEEDINGS PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. §§ 1404, 1407; MOTION FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME TO SUBMIT

INFORMAL BRIEF OR FUNCTIONAL EQUIVALENT UNTIL RULING ON TRANSFER
IS GIVEN AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR, ON THE 4th. CIRCUIT, THE
3rd. CIRCUIT, THE TEXAS DISTRICT COURT AND ALL INVOLVED PARTIES
BY U.S. MAIL POSTAGE PREPAID, BY DEPOSITING IT IN THE INSTITUTION
MAILBOX ON MAY 28, 2021.

RESPECTFULLY,
JONAH THE TISHBITE



RON SANTA MCCRAY



MAY 28, 2021

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE 3rd, . . 4th. CIRCUIT(S) ET. AL.,

DOCKET CASE NO.(S) 21-1330; 21-6275 ET. AL.,

LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD AKA JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE;
RON SANTA McCRAY

APPELLANTS/PETITIONERS

Vs.

THE UNITED STATES; JUDGE LINARES; WARDEN NELSON ET. AL.,

DEFENDANTS

AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; MOTION AND
AND OR PETITION TO INTERVENE AND OR MOTION TO AMEND
THE PARTIES; MOTION TO SUBMIT DOCUMENTATION TO REBUT
AND QUESTION OF 3 STRIKE RULE; MOTION TO EXPAND THE
SCOPE AND FOR INCLUSION TO FURTHER ESTABLISH
MULTI-DISTRICT LITIGATION; MOTION FOR SANCTIONS AND
TO DISQUALIFY THE 4TH. CIRCUIT AND TRANSFER ALL
PROCEEDINGS PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 1407; MOTION FOR
AN EXTENSION OF TIME TO SUBMIT INFORMAL BRIEF OR
FUNCTIONAL EQUIVALENT UNTIL RULING ON TRANSFER IS
GIVEN AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR

IN RE: CASES 21-1330 IN THE 3rd. CIRCUIT; CASE 21-6275 IN THE 4TH. CIRCUIT; CASE 4:18-cv-167-O IN THE TEXAS DISTRICT COURT.

TO: THE 3rd. CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS,
THE 4th. CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS,
THE TEXAS DISTRICT COURT ET. AL.,

HERE THE COURT AND PARTIES WILL FIND:

(1) EXHIBIT, "FIDUCIARY HEIR". THIS IS A COPY OF THE "AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; MOTION TO FILE OBJECTIONS TO THE MAGISTRATE'S ORDER DATED OCTOBER 27, 2020; MOTION FOR AN INJUNCTION AND OR PROTECTIVE ORDER; NOTICE SEEKING LEAVE TO APPEAL PURSUANT TO FED. RULES 72(a) AND 73(c) AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR", (61) PAGES DATED NOVEMBER 10, 2020 FILED IN CASE 9:20-cv-2139-TLW-MHC. THE 3rd. CIRCUIT ALREADY HAS A COPY OF THIS DOCUMENT FILED UNDER CASE 21-1330. THE 4th. CIRCUIT HAS A COPY FILED UNDER CASE 21-6275. TEXAS DISTRICT COURT IF A COPY OF THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT ATTACHED. IT IS FORTHCOMING.

(2) EXHIBIT, "CASE NO. 2020-001615". THIS IS A COPY OF THE AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; MOTION TO FILE OBJECTIONS AS TO WHY THE CONDITIONAL ORDER SHOULD NOT BECOME FINAL IN CASE 2019-CP-08-1992; RENEWING THE PETITION TO INVOKE THE S.C. SUPREME COURT'S ORIGINAL JURISDICTION; RENEWING THE NOTICE SEEKING LEAVE TO APPEAL THE CONDITIONAL ORDER SEEKING REVIEW UNDER TORRENCE v. S.C. DEPT. OF CORRECTIONS; MOTION TO CHALLENGE THE BERKELEY COMMON PLEAS COURT'S JURISDICTION DUE TO CONTINUED ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT AND UNCONSTITUTIONAL ACTION AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR", (28) PAGES DATED MAY 16, 2021. THIS IS ONE OF THE MANY RELATED CASES PENDING BEFORE THE S.C. SUPREME COURT.

(3) EXHIBIT, "DEFAULT AND VOIDING OF JURISDICTION". THIS IS THE AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; MOTION TO CHALLENGE THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS JURISDICTION; MOTION FOR DEFAULT AND JUDGMENT; AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR", (22) PAGES DATED MARCH 20, 2021. THIS DOCUMENT IS FILED IN ALL OF OUR CASES AT THE STATE LEVEL INCLUDING CASE 2020-CP-23-01050 IN GREENVILLE COUNTY S.C. AND UNDER CASE 2021-000508 BEFORE THE S.C. SUPREME COURT.

(4) EXHIBIT, "TEXAS". THIS IS A COPY OF THE ORDER DATED MAY 12, 2021 THAT CAME FROM THE TEXAS DISTRICT COURT UNDER CASE 4:18-cv-000167-O WHICH DEMONSTRATE THAT THE MATTERS ARE STILL PENDING.

THESE DOCUMENTS ARE SUBMITTED TO DEMONSTRATE SEVERAL FACTS BEFORE ALL COURTS INVOLVED. FIRST--THE DOCUMENTS DEMONSTRATE THAT THESE MATTERS ARE INDEED PENDING ACROSS MULTIPLE DISTRICTS GIVING FULL FAITH AND CREDIT THAT THESE PROCEEDINGS IN FUNDAMENTAL FAIRNESS TO THE PLAINTIFFS, MUST BE DEEMED MULTI-DISTRICT LITIGATION WARRANTING AND PERMITTING US TO SEEK 28 U.S.C. § 1407 TRANSFER. SECONDLY---BY THE PLEADING BEFORE THE TEXAS DISTRICT COURT IT PRODUCES CLEAR EVIDENCE OF THE PLAINTIFFS CLAIMS THAT THEY NEVER INTENDED TO FILE THE CASES BEFORE THE THIRD AND FOURTH CIRCUIT THE COMPROMISED DISTRICT COURT JUDGES IN THOSE CASES HAD THE CASES FILED LISTING THE NAMES OF THE DEFENDANTS INCORRECTLY TO JUSTIFY ILLEGALLY DISMISSING THE CASES IN EGREGIOUS ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT VIOLATING OUR CONSTITUTIONAL DUE PROCESS RIGHT OF AUTONOMY PURSUANT TO McCOY v. LOUISIANA, 138 S.Ct. 1500, 200 L.Ed.2d. 821 (U.S.2018) IN HOW WE SOUGHT TO BRING THESE CASES. IT IS OVERWHELMINGLY PERSPICUOUS THAT THE UNITED STATES IS INDEED A PARTY UNDER CASE 4:18-cv-00167-O. SO WHY THE HECK WOULD THE PLAINTIFFS BE LISTING THE DEFENDANTS THE WAY THE SOUTH CAROLINA AND NEW JERSEY DISTRICT COURTS DID IN ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT, WHEN IT IS OBVIOUS FROM THE TEXAS FEDERAL DISTRICT

COURT PLEADING FILED IN THAT CASE IN ITS TOTALITY THAT THE PLAINTIFFS INTENT WAS TO LIST THE UNITED STATES AND THE DEFENDANTS IN THE RECORD WITHIN THE TEXAS DISTRICT COURT THE SAME WAY INTENDED IN THE OTHER TWO CASES WHICH ARE THE SOURCE OF THE APPEAL UNDER CASE(S) 21-1330 IN THE 3rd. CIRCUIT AND CASE 21-6275 IN THE 4th. CIRCUIT. TEXAS DISTRICT COURT AND ALL COURTS INVOLVED THESE DOCUMENTS ALSO DEMONSTRATE THAT THE PLAINTIFFS WERE CORRECT. WE ARE DEALING WITH PROCEDURAL PROCESSING RULES AT THE STATE LEVEL, SIMILAR TO THOSE ARGUED WITHIN THE CITED CASES AT THE FEDERAL LEVEL. ONCE THE UNITED STATES MADE A BACK DOOR APPEARANCE UNDER CASE 2013-CP-400-0084, CONCEALING THEIR PRESENCE BEFORE THE STATE COURT. THEREUPON, CONSPIRED TO RECEIVE UNDERCOVER PLEADINGS FROM THAT CASE BY THE ATTORNEYS INVOLVED INCLUDING ORDERS ISSUED FROM THE JUDGES THEMSELVES, BUT NEVER TOOK THE TIME TO REBUT ANY CLAIM, OR RESPOND TO THE SUMMONS PROPERLY SERVED UPON THEM, OR DEFEND ANY POSITION TAKEN BY THE PLAINTIFFS FURTHER ESTABLISHING THE FOREIGN SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY ACT RIGHTS ACT CLAIMS, THE SOLE CORPORATION CLAIMS, ESPECIALLY IN LIGHT OF THE FACT THAT CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 WAS ALSO REMOVED TO THE TEXAS DISTRICT COURT UNDER CASE 4:18-cv-000167-O TO PREVENT ANY ADDITIONAL ATTEMPTS AT FRAUD THE TIME THE STATE COURT TRIED TO RULE AND DISMISS IT? CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 IS STILL PENDING. THE ISSUE RELATED TO PROCEDURAL PROCESSING RULES HAS ALREADY BEEN ADJUDICATED UNDER UNITED STATES v. WHEELER, 886 F3d. 415 (4th.Cir.2018) WHICH SUBSTANTIATE THAT THE PROCEDURAL PROCESSING RULE(S) RELIED UPON ARE INDEED JURISDICTIONAL PLACING THE UNITED STATES IN DEFAULT ON ALL CLAIMS MADE. THIS IS FURTHER SUPPORTED BY U.S. SUPREME COURT HOLDINGS UNDER FORTBEND COUNTY, TEXAS v. DAVIS, 139 S.Ct. 1843(U.S.2019) WHERE THE U.S. SUPREME COURT ADJUDICATED WHETHER THE PROCEDURAL PROCESSING RULE IS JURISDICTIONAL OR NOT. IF THE PARTY TIMELY ASSERTS IT, WHICH THE PLAINTIFFS IN THIS CASE DID, IT AUTOMATICALLY BECOMES MANDATORY ESTABLISHING THE DEFAULT AGAINST THE UNITED STATE, THE (193) MEMBER STATES OF THE UNITED NATIONS, CONGRESS AND THE OTHER DEFENDANTS LISTED WITHIN THESE CASES SUBJUDICE. THUS, ALL CLAIMS

MADE BY THE PLAINTIFFS MUST BE GIVEN FULL FAITH AND CREDIT WITHIN ALL COURT RECORDS INVOLVED HERE, BOTH STATE AND FEDERAL WHICH PERMIT THE PLAINTIFFS TO OPERATE IN AND EXERCISE ALL RIGHTS, TITLES, IMMUNITIES AND PRIVILEGES ARGUED ESTABLISHED BY THE DEFAULT AND VOIDING OF JURISDICTION OCCURRING UNDER CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 REMOVED TO THE FEDERAL DISTRICT COURTS INVOLVED BEFORE THE VARIOUS STATE AND FEDERAL ACTORS CONSPIRED ACROSS MULTIPLE STATE AND FEDERAL JURISDICTIONS IN EGREGIOUS ACTS OF CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY, OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE AND FRAUD UPON THE COURT TO CONCEAL THESE MATERIAL FACTS. GAY MARRIAGE WITHIN EVERY STATE WITHIN THE UNITED STATES AND AROUND THE WORLD IS DEAD AND VOID! EVERY MARRIAGE MUST BE DEEMED LEGALLY ANNULLED. YOU HAVE ILLEGALLY EXECUTED AND OR ARRESTED AND OR ATTACHED THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OF THE SOLE CORPORATION AND FOREIGN SOVEREIGN CROWN IN VIOLATION OF THE PROVISIONS OF THE FOREIGN SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY ACT AND OTHER PROVISIONS OF LAW ARGUED WITHIN THE DOCUMENTS FILED WITHIN THESE CASES SUB JUDICE, GIVING THE PLAINTIFF(S) AS FIDUCIARY HEIR AND OR BENEFICIARIES OF THE TRUST STANDING TO BRING ALL ACTION SET BEFORE ALL COURTS INVOLVED. WE CLAIM THE RIGHT OF RES JUDICATA AND OR NON PARTY COLLATERAL ESTOPPEL AS IT PERTAINS TO ISSUE PRECLUSION REGARDING THE PROCEDURAL PROCESSING RULES ARGUED, PRESSLEY v. McMASTER, 2016 WL 1106601 (DSC.2016); ZINN v. C.F.I. SALES & MARKETING, LTD., 415 S.C. 93, 780 S.E.2d. 611 (S.C.2015); WILSON v. GMAC MORTG., LLC., F.Supp.3d., 2015 WL 5244967(DSC.2015).

INASMUCH, WE WANT THESE MATTERS REMANDED AND BACK BEFORE A JURY TO ALLOW ALL ISSUES OF CONCERN TO BE HEARD IN THEIR ENTIRETY WITHIN THE NEW JERSEY DISTRICT COURT, TRANSFERRING ALL MATTERS TO THE STATE OF NEW JERSEY EVEN IF AN INDEPENDENT CASE NUMBER MUST BE ASSIGNED DUE TO MULTI-DISTRICT LITIGATION, IN RE: PLUMBING FIXTURE CASES, 298 F.Supp. 484 (1968); IN RE: CHINEESE-MANUFACTURED DRYWALL PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION, 2015 WL 13387769(E.D.La.2015); BROOKLYN DOWNTOWN, LLC. v. NEW YORK HOTEL AND MOTELS TRADES, F.Supp.3d., 2015 WL 779441; IN RE:

ELECTRONIC BOOKS ANTITRUST LITIGATION, F.Supp.3d., 2014 WL 1282298 (S.D.N.Y.2014); SPARLING v. DOYLE, F.Supp.3d., 2014 WL 12489985 (W.D.Tex.2014).

THE PLAINTIFF(S) IN THIS CASE MOTION TO EXPAND THE SCOPE AND FOR INCLUSION AND THAT ALL DOCUMENTS FILED WITHIN CASE 4:18-cv-000167-O BE DEEMED FILED IN CASES 9:20-cv-2139-TLW-MHC; 9:19-cv-1400-TLW-BM; 1:18-cv-13459-NLH AND BEFORE BOTH CASES 21-1330 IN THE 3rd. CIRCUIT AND 21-6275 IN THE 4th. CIRCUIT. THEY ARE PRODUCE AS EVIDENCE OF A CRIME PURSUANT TO RULES OF EVIDENCE AND JUDICIAL NOTICE LEVYING CHARGES OF VIOLATIONS OF THE RELEVANT JUDGES OATHS OF OFFICE PURSUANT TO 5 U.S.C. §§ 3331, 3333 AND 7311 OF FEDERAL CODE, U.S. EX REL. KNIGHT v. RELIANT HOSPICE, INC., F.Supp.2d., 2011 WL 1321584(DSC.2011); HARBISON v. BELL, 556 U.S. 180, 129 S.Ct. 1481 (U.S.2009); MIDDLETON v. NISSAN MOTOR CO. LTD., F.Supp.2d., 2012 WL 3612572 (DSC.2012).

(5) EXHIBIT, "BENJAMIN CASE". THIS IS THE INITIAL DOCUMENT FILED TO ESTABLISH CASE 2021-000508 BEFORE THE S.C. SUPREME COURT.

(6) EXHIBIT, "CASE NO. 2020-001667". THIS IS A COPY OF THE PLEADING FILED UNDER CASE 2020-001667 NOW BEING HEARD UNDER CASE 2020-000974. THESE AND OTHERS PRESENTLY FILED BEFORE THE S.C. SUPREME COURT ARE TAG ALONG CASES SOUGHT TRANSFERRED PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 1407. THE LEGAL ISSUES THAT ESTABLISH THE SEEKING OF HABEAS CORPUS CLASS ACTION CERTIFICATION WERE ALSO DEFAULTED ON UNDER CASE 2013-CP-400-0084 STILL REMOVED TO AND PENDING BEFORE THE TEXAS DISTRICT COURT. THEREFORE, THEY CAN LEGALLY BE SOUGHT TRANSFERRED AS TAG ALONG CASES TO BE REMOVED AND OR TRANSFERRED TO THE NEW JERSEY DISTRICT COURT, IN RE: DROPLETS, INC., PATENT LITIGATION, 908 F.Supp.2d. 1377 (U.S. MULTI-DISTRICT PANEL). WE ARE SEEKING MULTI-DISTRICT PANEL REVIEW TO UNDO THE FRAUD, CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY AND OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE DONE IN THE S.C. DISTRICT COURT AND NEW JERSEY DISTRICT COURT RELATED TO THESE MATTERS.

(7) A COPY OF THE DOCUMENT ENTITLED, "AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; MOTION TO ACKNOWLEDGE THE WITHDRAWAL OF A PARTY; MOTION AND OR PETITION TO FILE IN FORMA PAUPERIS; MOTION FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE INFORMAL BRIEF OR FUNCTIONAL EQUIVALENT PLEADING AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR", (14) PAGES DATED MAY 20, 2021.

(8) EXHIBIT, "THREAT OF IMMINENT DANGER # 1".

(9) EXHIBIT, "THREAT OF IMMINENT DANGER # 2". THIS IS A COPY OF THE ORDER TO REPORT FOR THE PSYCHOTIC HOMICIDAL MENTAL HEALTH PATIENT INMATE, ANTONIO DERON ALSTON #258953, THE DEFENDANTS PLACED IN THE APPELLANT'S CRAWFORD CELL, POINTING THIS PSYCHOTIC INMATE LIKE A LOADED GUN IN A RECENT ASSASSINATION ATTEMPT. A COPY OF THIS EXHIBIT IS WITH THE 3rd. CIRCUIT. ALL OTHER COURTS CAN REVIEW IT IN THEIR FILINGS. WE MOTION TO EXPAND THE SCOPE AND FOR INCLUSION AND THE TEXAS DISTRICT COURT AND 4TH. CIRCUIT ENSURE THAT A COPY OF IT IS FILED WITHIN THEIR CASES.

THESE DOCUMENT ARE BEING FILED BEFORE ALL COURTS FOR THE PURPOSE OF FILING IN FORMA PAUPERIS PURSUANT TO ANY CHALLENGE OF THE 3 STRIKE RULE. THE FILING IN FORMA PAUPERIS DOCUMENTS FOR RON SANTA MCCRAY ARE FILED IN THE 4TH. CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS. WE MOTION TO EXPAND THE SCOPE AND FOR INCLUSION AND THE RON SANTA MCCRAY FILING IN FORMA PAUPERIS DOCUMENTS BE DEEMED FILED WITHIN BOTH CASES 4:18-cv-000167-0. AT ANY RATE, AS THE PLAINTIFF EXPLAINED. THE DEFENDANTS HAVE BEEN DOING EVERY UNSPEAKABLE ACT IMAGINABLE TO PREVENT THESE CASES FROM MOVING FORWARD TO INCLUDE RECENT ASSASSINATION ATTEMPTS LEVIED AT THE FIDUCIARY HEIR. EXHIBIT, "TREAT OF IMMINENT DANGER "2" LIST THE SPECIFIC NAME OF THE INMATE THEY ATTEMPTED TO USE IN THE MOST RECENT ASSASSINATION ATTEMPT POINTING HIM LIKE A LOADED GUN HOPING THIS INMATE WOULD POTENTIALLY KILL THE PLAINTIF THE FIDUCIARY HEIR. BY THE PLEADING THE PLAINTIFF(S) CONTEST ANY CHALLENGE PURSUANT TO ANY CLAIM OF 3 STRIKES.

(10) EXHIBIT, "NOTICE OF APPEAL # 2". THE "AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; MOTION TO CHALLENGE THE MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S JURISDICTION AND TO FILE OBJECTIONS TO HER ORDER ENTRY NUMBER 26 DATED FILED DECEMBER 10, 2020; SUBSEQUENT NOTICE SEEKING LEAVE TO APPEAL PURSUANT TO FED. RULES 72(a); 73(c) AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR", (14) PAGES DATED DECEMBER 21, 2020.

(11) EXHIBIT, "NOTICE OF APPEAL # 3". THIS IS A COPY OF THE AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; MOTION TO CHALLENGE THE MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S JURISDICTION AND TO FILE OBJECTIONS TO HER ORDERS ENTRIES NO.(S) 26 AND 27 DATED FILED DECEMBER 10, 2020; THIRD SUBSEQUENT NOTICE SEEKING LEAVE TO APPEAL; MOTION TO SUPPLEMENT THE PREVIOUSLY FILED MOTION FOR AN INJUNCTION AND PROTECTIVE ORDER PURSUANT TO FED. RULES OF PRO., RULE(S) 72(a) AND 73(c) AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR", (14) PAGES DATED DECEMBER 24, 2020.

(12) EXHIBIT, "4th. CIRCUIT FRAUD". THIS IS A COPY OF THE AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; MOTION FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME UNTIL THE 4TH. CIRCUIT RULES ON THE MOTION FOR RECUSAL AND TO AMEND THE PARTIES AND TO INTERVENE AND MOTION TO MOTION THEREFOR", (8) PAGES DATED MAY 22, 2021. THIS IS THE TYPED VERSION OF THE PREVIOUSLY FILED HANDWRITTEN DOCUMENT ENTITLED THE SAME.

IT IS BY THESE DOCUMENTS AND THE (61) PAGE DOCUMENT AFOREMENTIONED THAT THE PLAINTIFF RON SANTA McCRAY MOTIONS TO INTERVENE IN ALL THREE CASES PRESENTLY BEFORE THE COURTS, CASE 21-1330; 21-6275 AND 4:18-cv-000167-O. THE NAME OF RON SANTA McCRAY IS ALREADY FILED WITHIN THE RECORD IN THE TEXAS DISTRICT COURT SUB JUDICE. THE PLAINTIFF(S) OBJECT THAT THE ORDER ISSUED BY THE TEXAS DISTRICT COURT ONLY LIST THE NAME OF LAWRENCE CRAWFORD. WE SEEK THAT THE NAME RON SANTA McCRAY BE MADE AN OFFICIAL PART OF THE RECORD WITHIN THE TEXAS DISTRICT COURT AS AN

INTERVENING PARTY SINCE McCRAY IS A BENEFICIARY OF THE TRUST ARGUED BEFORE THE COURTS INVOLVED THAT WAS DEFAULTED ON BY THE UNITED STATES AND INVOLVED PARTIES. THE PLAINTIFF McCRAY MOTIONS TO INTERVENE AND BE LISTED AS AN PARTY AND OR INTERESTED PARTY UNDER CASE 21-1330. WE MOTION THAT THE PROCEEDINGS UNDER CASE 21-6275 BE AMENDED AND THE NAME OF RON SANTA McCRAY BE LISTED AS A PARTY IN THAT CASE AS WELL:

THE PLAINTIFFS MOTION THAT THE 4th. CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS UNDER CASE 21-6275 BE DEEMED DISQUALIFIED AND THESE MATTERS BE TRANSFERRED TO THE 3rd. CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS TO BE HEARD UNDER CASE 21-1330. THIS IS WHAT OCCURRED HERE. THE PLAINTIFF(S) RON SANTA McCRAY AND LAWRENCE CRAWFORD SOUGHT LEAVE TO APPEAL THE MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S ORDERS PURSUANT TO RULE 73(c). THE CHIEF SENIOR JUDGE OF THE 4TH. CIRCUIT THEN INSTRUCTS THE S.C. DISTRICT COURT NOT TO SEND THE NOTICES OF SEEKING LEAVE TO APPEAL UP TO THE 4TH. CIRCUIT TO IN ACTS OF FRAUD UPON THE COURT COMPROMISE THE ENTIRE PROCEEDINGS. THEN THE COMPROMISED JUDGE APPARENTLY IS CONSPIRING UNDER COLOR OF AUTHORITY AND OR LAW TO CONCEAL THE VERY FACT THAT HE MAY BE SITTING UPON HIS OWN CASE. THUS, THERE IS NO WAY THE APPELLANTS CAN HAVE A FAIR AND JUST REVIEW UNDER CASE 21-6275 WHEN ALL OF THIS CRIMINAL CONSPIRACY, OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE AND FRAUD UPON THE COURT GOING ON. IT IS THE APPELLANTS POSITION THAT THIS IS WHY THE FEDERAL ATTORNEYS UNDER CASE 21-1330 WANTED TO WITHDRAW FROM THIS CASE. IT WAS BECAUSE THEY CLEARLY KNEW ALL THIS LAWLESSNESS WAS GOING ON WITH THIS CASES AND THEY WANTED TO CLAIM PLAUSIBLE DENIABILITY. WE OBJECT. THE FEDERAL ATTORNEYS ARE NOT TO BE PERMITTED TO WITHDRAW WITHIN THE 3rd. CIRCUIT AND THE PLAINTIFF(S) DEMAND AN INVESTIGATION BY AN INDEPENDENT INVESTIGATIVE ENTITY. WE MOTION FOR A CHANGE OF VENUE PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. §§ 1404(a), 1407 IN THE INTEREST OF JUSTICE AND DUE TO MULTI-DISTRICT LITIGATION. WE MOTION FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME AND OR RESET ON ANY TIMETABLE GIVEN TO FILE INFORMAL BRIEF OR FUNCTIONAL EQUIVALENT TO BE GIVEN ONCE THE COURTS INVOLVE RULE ON THE MOTIONS TO INTERVENE, TO AMEND THE PARTIES, TO DISQUALIFY THE 4TH. CIRCUIT AND TRANSFER TO

THE 3rd. CIRCUIT, IN RE: NAZI ERA CASES AGAINST GERMAN DEFENDANTS LITIGATION, 198 F.R.D. 429 (D.N.J.2000); GENERAL ELEC. CO. v. BYRNE, 611 F2d. 670 (7th.Clr.1979); IN RE: SCHOOL ABESTOS LITIGATION, 977 F2d. 764, 61 U.S.L.W. 2268 (3rd.Clr.1992); IN RE: FOOD LION, INC., FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT EFFECTIVE SCHEDULING LITIGATION. 73 F3d. 528 (4th.Clr.1996); IN RE: MCCORMICK & COMPANY, INC., PEPPER PRODUCTS MARKETING AND SALES PRACTICES LITIGATION, 422 F.Supp.3d. 194 (D.D.C.2019).

RESPECTFULLY,

JONAH THE TISHBITE



RON SANTA MCCRAY

Ron Santa McCray

MAY 30, 2021

Lawrence L. Crawford
#300839
Lee Correctional Institution
990 Wisacky Highway
P.O. Box 1000
Bishopville, SC 29010

Exhibit, "Acceptance
of motion To File in
FORMA PAUPERIS

4
UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

No. 21-1330

Lawrence Crawford, et al v. Jose Linares

(District Court/Agency No. 1-18-cv-13459)

O R D E R

The Motion by Appellant Lawrence L. Crawford for leave to proceed in forma pauperis will be held in abeyance pending submission of additional documents. Appellant must submit:

The prison account statement for the 6 month period prior to the filing of the notice of appeal.

Failure to submit the form(s) within fourteen days of the date of this order will result in the dismissal of the appeal without further notice. See 3rd. Cir. LAR Misc. 107.

For the Court,

s/ Patricia S. Dodszuweit,
Clerk

Dated: June 11, 2021

cc:

Lawrence L. Crawford
Yahya Muquit

Exhibit
Additional document
Sub mission

==

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE 3rd., 4TH. CIRCUIT(S) ET. AL.,

DOCKET CASE NO.(S) 21-1330; 21-6275 ET. AL.,

LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD AKA GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE;
RON SANTA McCRAY

APPELLANTS/PETITIONERS

Vs.

THE UNITED STATES; JUDGE LINARES; WARDEN NELSON ET. AL.,

DEFENDANT(S)

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE


I, LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD AKA JONAH GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE, DO HEREBY CERTIFY, THAT I HAVE MAILED AND OR SERVED A COPY OF AN AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE; MOTION FOR ACCEPTANCE, ON THE 3rd. CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS AND ALL INVOLVED PARTIES BY U.S. MAIL POSTAGE PREPAID, BY DEPOSITING IT IN THE INSTITUTION MAILBOX ON JULY 20, 2021.

RESPECTFULLY,

JONAH THE TISHBITE

JULY 20, 2021

1-of-3



IN THE COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE 3rd., 4TH. CIRCUIT(S) ET. AL.,

DOCKET CASE NO.(S) 21-1330; 21-6275 ET. AL.,

LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD AKA GABRIEL JAHJAH T. TISHBITE;
RON SANTA McCRAY

APPELLANTS/PETITIONERS

Vs.

THE UNITED STATES; JUDGE LINARES; WARDEN NELSON ET. AL.,

DEFENDANT(S)

AFFIDAVIT OF FACTS GIVING JUDICIAL NOTICE;
MOTION FOR ACCEPTANCE


IN RE: CRAWFORD ET. AL.,

TO: THE 3rd. CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS ET. AL.,

THE 3rd.CIRCUIT REQUIRED THAT THE APPELLANT SEND IN A

COPY OF A (6) MONTH FINANCIAL STATEMENT. THE 3rd. CIRCUIT FORWARDED TO THE APPELLANT A COPY OF ITS STANDARD FORM. THE APPELLANT SENT THAT FORM TO THE APPROPRIATE OFFICE AT S.C.D.C. HEADQUARTERS ONLY TO BE RETURNED THIS ATTACHED (6) MONTH FINANCIAL STATEMENT FORM. THEREFORE, THE APPELLANT MOTIONS THAT THE COURT ACCEPT THIS ATTACHED (6) MONTH FINANCIAL STATEMENT SINCE IT IS THE FUNCTIONAL EQUIVALENT TO THAT WHICH THE COURT REQUIRES.

RESPECTFULLY,
JONAH THE TISHBITE

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read 'Jonah The Tishbite', with a large, stylized flourish extending from the end of the signature.

JULY 20, 2021

Lawrence Crawford
#300839
LEE CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION
990 Wisacky Highway
Bishopville, SC 29010-2021

Exhibit
Res Judicata / collateral
estoppel

2

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 21-6275
(9:20-cv-02139-TLW-MHC)

LAWRENCE L. CRAWFORD, a/k/a Johah Gabriel, a/k/a Jahjah T. Tishbite

Plaintiff - Appellant

v.

WARDEN NELSON; S.C.D.C.; DIRECTOR BRYAN STIRLING; THE S.C.D.C
MUSLIM CHAPLAINS; MS. FOX

Defendants - Appellees

ORDER

Lawrence Crawford, #300839, has applied to proceed without prepayment of fees and given written consent to the collection in installments of the filing fee from appellant's trust account in accordance with the terms of the Prison Litigation Reform Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(PLRA). The court grants appellant leave to proceed without full prepayment of fees and directs that:

an initial partial fee of 20 percent of the greater of the average monthly deposits or average monthly balance for the six-month period immediately preceding the filing of the notice of the appeal be paid from appellant's trust

account when funds are available; and

monthly payments of 20 percent of the preceding month's income be collected from the appellant's trust account and forwarded to the Clerk, U.S. District Court, each time the amount in the account exceeds \$10 until the filing fee has been paid in full.

Fees for this appeal shall be paid as follows:

***Total Fee: \$505**

*** Make payable to:**

"Clerk, United States Court" (not to Clerk, Fourth Circuit)

*** All payments shall include:**

Appeal No.: 21-6275

Civil Action No.: 9:20-cv-02139-TLW-MHC

*** All payments shall be mailed to:**

Clerk, U.S. District Court

Charleston Federal Courthouse

85 Broad Street

P. O. Box 150 Charleston, SC 29402-0150

In the event appellant is transferred to another institution, the balance due shall be collected and paid to the clerk by the custodian at appellant's next institution. Appellant's custodian shall notify the Clerk, U. S. District Court, in the event appellant is released from custody.

This order is subject to rescission should the court determine that appellant has had three prior cases dismissed as frivolous, malicious, or for failure to state a claim and appellant is not under imminent danger of serious physical injury.

A copy of this order shall be sent to appellant's custodian, to the Clerk, U. S.
District Court, and to all parties.

For the Court--By Direction

/s/ Patricia S. Connor, Clerk

Lawrence L. Crawford
#300839
Lee Correctional Institution
990 Wisacky Highway
P.O. Box 1000
Bishopville, SC 29010

Exhibit
Fraud order
=

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

No. 21-1330

Lawrence Crawford, et al v. Jose Linares

(U.S. District Court No.: 1-18-cv-13459)

ORDER

Pursuant to Fed. R. App. P. 3(a) and 3rd Cir. LAR 3.3 and Misc. 107.1(a), it is

ORDERED that the above-captioned case is hereby dismissed for failure to timely prosecute insofar as appellants failed to pay the requisite fee and file compliance as directed. It is

FURTHER ORDERED that a certified copy of this order be issued in lieu of a formal mandate.

For the Court,

s/ Patricia S. Dodszuweit
Clerk

Dated: October 01, 2021
PDB/cc: Lawrence L. Crawford,
Yahya Muquit,
Mr. William T. Walsh



A True Copy:

Patricia S. Dodszuweit

Patricia S. Dodszuweit, Clerk
Certified Order Issued in Lieu of Mandate

Exhibit

Conspiring across
multi state jurisdictions

II



The South Carolina Court of Appeals

JENNY ABBOTT KITCHINGS
CLERK

V. CLAIRE ALLEN
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

POST OFFICE BOX 11629
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29211
1220 SENATE STREET
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29201
TELEPHONE: (803) 734-1890
FAX: (803) 734-1839
www.sccourts.org

October 04, 2021

Lawrence L. Crawford, 300839
Lee Correctional Inst.
990 Wisacky Highway
Bishopville SC 29010

Re: Lawrence Crawford, #300839 v. SCDC
Appellate Case No. 2021-000354

Dear Mr. Crawford:

The Court received your affidavit of facts, which we construe as a petition for rehearing of the denial of your motion to proceed without payment of fees. Pursuant to Rule 221(c), SCACR, the Court will not entertain petitions for rehearing unless the action of the Court on your motion to proceed in forma pauperis finally decided the appeal. Accordingly, we are returning your filing to you without action. The \$250.00 filing fee for the notice of appeal must be paid within 10 days of the date of this letter or your appeal will be dismissed.

Very truly yours,

Catherine J. Fanning, deputy
CLERK

Enclosure

cc: Imani Diane Byas, Esquire

LAWRENCE L CRAWFORD

#300839 F2B Rm 1260

LEE CT 990 Wilsack Hwy

Bishopville, SC 29010

RECEIVED

OCT 20 2021
SC Court of Appeals

SC Court of Appeals

P.O. Box 11629

Columbia, SC 29211